

2019

Kentucky Farm Bureau Policies

As adopted by voting delegates of member county Farm Bureaus at the 99th annual meeting of the Kentucky Farm Bureau Federation

> Louisville, Kentucky December, 2018

Officers

President Mark Haney, Nancy

First Vice President Eddie Melton, Sebree

Second Vice President Sharon Furches, Murray

Executive Vice President Drew Graham, Winchester

2019 KFBF Board of Directors

Glenn Howell	Fulton
Sharon Furches	Murray
Kelly Thurman	Livermore
Eddie Melton	Sebree
Mary Kate Kordes	Central City
Larry Thomas	Elizabethtown
Pat Henderson	Irvington
Ray Allan Mackey	Elizabethtown
Jay Coleman	Cave City
Larry Clark	Greensburg
Scott Travis	Cox's Creek
Randy Chrisman	Lawrenceburg
Joe Paul Mattingly	Raywick
David Chappell	Owenton
Mark Kinsey	Crittenden
Mark Haney	Nancy
Kim McCoy	
Victor Rexroat	Russell Springs
David L. Campbell	Stanford
Terry Lawson	Mt. Vernon
Alex Barnett	Cynthiana
Shane Wiseman	Winchester
David McGlone	Grayson
Carroll Amyx	Campton
Cloyce Hinkle	Artemus
Don Miniard	Bledsoe
Marshall Coyle	Owingsville
Vickie Bryant	Tompkinsville
Tyler Ferguson	Flat Gap
Roger Fannin	Harlan
Dr. Nancy Cox	Lexington
Brandon Davis	Frankfort
Greg Drake II	Bowling Green

STATE STAFF

Drew Graham, Executive Vice President Rachel Thomas, Executive Assistant Sara Stivers, Senior Administrative Assistant Phil Dowdle, Director, Accounting & Finance

Jeff Harper, Director, Public Affairs Division Kyle Kelly, Director, Local Affairs

L. Joe Cain, Director, Commodity Division
Edward V. McQueen, Director, Market Information
Fran McCall, Commodity Specialist

B. Todd Bright, Director, Communication Division
Billy Toombs, Communication Support Coordinator
Tim Thornberry, Editor, Kentucky Farm Bureau News
Matt Hilton, Director, Studios
Austin Anderson, Assistant Director, Studios

Michael Corrigan, Studios Production Specialist Thomas M. Logue, Director, Operations Division

Katherine Fugate, Director, Travel & Events
Kelli Jolly, Assistant Director, Travel & Events
Dave Metzger, Director, Membership Systems & Support Services
Kim Stowe, Assistant Director, Membership Systems
Adam Rayborn, Assistant Director, Support Services
Billy Thomas, Building Maintenance Supervisor

Matthew W. Ingram, Director, Organization Division & Assistant to the Executive Vice President

John Sparrow, Executive Vice President & CEO, KFB Mutual Insurance Company

Jena Stone, Vice President, Accounting & Finance and Treasurer

Greg Kosse, General Counsel

Dee Riley, Vice President, Information Technology
Jeffrey L. Koch, Vice President, Product & Risk Management
Nicki McMahon, Vice President, Human Resources &
Support Services

Robert Payne, Vice President, Claims

Chuck Osborne, Vice President, Agency Support & Marketing
Ken Wilson, Director, Agency Support & Marketing
Eric Parker, District 1 Agency Support & Marketing Manager
Jessica Sullivan, District 2 Agency Support & Marketing Manager
Austin Morrow, District 3 Agency Support & Marketing Manager
Ryan Midden, District 4 Agency Support & Marketing Manager
Tony Turner, District 5 Agency Support & Marketing Manager
Chris French, District 6 Agency Support & Marketing Manager

How These Priority Issues Were Decided

Farm Bureau priority issues are the end result of a policy development process that begins at the community and county level and climaxes at the state and national level.

Once the delegates, representing all 120 Kentucky counties, adopt new policy ideas representing 473,148 Farm Bureau member families, the Farm Bureau Board of Directors, elected by the members of Farm Bureau, adopt priority issues for the upcoming year at a meeting of the board upon completion of our annual meet-

The board of directors examines the policies adopted by the delegates each year and selects those issues that have the likelihood of being pertinent for the next year. While we strive to implement all of our policy, priority issues are ideas that are timely and could have the most impact on the lives of rural Kentuckians.

2019 STATE PRIORITY ISSUES

AGRICULTURE PROGRAMS AND SERVICES

Maintain allocating 50% of the Master Settlement Agreement funds to the Agricultural Develop-Agreement Tunds to the Agricultural Development Board, and funds be spent for the purpose of improving the net farm income of individual farmers in production agriculture. Support continued funding of the Soil Erosion and Water Quality Cost-Share Program. Support an efficient, well-administered and adequately financed Kentucky Department of Agriculture.

Agriculture.

Support the modernization of the Grain Insurance Fund to adequately protect current and future Kentucky grain producers.

TAXATION

Kentucky's tax code should be reformed based on sound economic principles to create a more competitive business climate.

Strongly oppose freezing the state real property tax rate.

Support the provisions of House Bill 44 (KRS 132.010). Revenue from property taxes should continue to be limited to 4% plus new growth. Proposals to exceed 4% should automatically go to the voters.

Maintain Kentucky's sales tax exemptions for

production agriculture.

HIGHWAYS, ROADS, AND BRIDGES

Support the rural secondary and county road aid programs and continuation of the 22.2% allocation of the state gasoline tax revenue for

RURAL DEVELOPMENT

Support efforts that will retain and attract new business and industry to rural areas of Kentucky.

Support broadband and high speed internet service being available for the benefit of all Kentuckians.

Support efforts and incentives to improve all telecommunications in rural Kentucky.

WATER RESOURCES

Support the Kentucky Water Resources Board and advocate a coordinated effort to manage water resource projects across the Commonwealth.

WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT

Seek effective wildlife management that will reduce the wildlife population in an effort to alleviate continued crop and livestock losses, automobile accidents, human injuries, and loss

REGULATORY AUTHORITY

Oppose any agency exceeding legislative intent in the implementation of regulations.

Oppose the power of eminent domain being

used to take private property for private use.

FORESTRY

Support forestry initiatives that enhance the economy and create opportunities for woodland

2019 NATIONAL PRIORITY ISSUES

NATIONAL FARM POLICY

Federal crop insurance programs should be maintained at current levels to provide an effective safety net for agriculture.

Support crop insurance initiatives to maintain

the integrity of crop insurance.
Support NRCS assistance for the development of additional water resources for irrigation and livestock use.

Support modifying USDA Rural Development broadband programs to increase access to broadband service that meets or exceeds FCC standards.

TRADE

Support fair and open multilateral trade agreements that will open new markets and expand existing markets for U.S. agricultural products.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Support maintenance and improvement of transportation infrastructure, including rural highways, lock and dam systems, riverports, and railroads.

REGULATORY REFORM

Support a thorough regulatory review to ensure regulations do not impose an undue economic burden on any segment of society and the regulatory process is transparent and results achievable.

All regulations should be based on sound, peerreviewed scientific data that can be replicated.

Support congressional oversight of federal agency regulatory actions to ensure rules and regulations do not exceed the intent and authority of federal law.

IMMIGRATION AND FARM LABOR REFORM

Support immigration reform that includes restructuring the H-2A program to streamline the process making it more reliable, economical and simple for farmers to participate.

HEALTH CARE REFORM

Support changes to current health care law that will stabilize the market, encourage competition that will reduce health care costs and increase consumer choice.

FISCAL POLICY

In order to protect the future integrity of our nation's economy it is in our best interest to address budget deficits, which erode our ability to remain fiscally stable with the goal of reaching a balanced budget.

Federal tax code should be reformed based on sound economic principles to create a more

competitive business climate.

WILDLIFE ISSUES

Support a producer's right to protect livestock and property against nuisance wildlife predation.
Support a nationwide depredation order, or sale.

harbor provision, to take black vultures.

How These Policies Were Decided

Farm Bureau policies are the end result of a continuous process of policy development which begins at the community and county level and is climaxed at the state and national level.

The statements of policy presented in this book represent the thinking of 473,148 Farm Bureau member families in 120 counties, who were represented at the annual meeting December 1, 2018.

They are the official policies on state issues of all 120 county Farm Bureaus in Kentucky for

Kentucky Farm Bureau recommendations on national issues were forwarded to the Resolutions Committee of the American Farm Bureau Federation for consideration at the American Farm Bureau annual meeting. The official policies of Farm Bureau on national matters are printed in the American Farm Bureau policy booklet.

INDEX

State Priority Issues5
National Priority Issues
Mission Statement
Preamble
PART I - POLICY STATEMENT
Agriculture's Place in the Economy and Society12
Agricultural Research12
Citizenship
County Farm Bureaus14
Economy in Government
Education
Farmer Legislation 13
Farmer Cooperatives
Governmental Farm Programs
Health and Safety 13
Nonpartisan Organization 14
Our Democratic System
Soil and Water
Son and Water
PART II - STATE RESOLUTIONS
Agri-Terrorism92
Agricultural Inputs50
Agricultural Experiment Station and Research
Agriculture Disaster92
Alternative Farming Methods43
Animal Care27
Annexation
Aquaculture
Biotechnology
Bonded Indebtedness
Commodity Promotion
Community and Economic Development59
Conservation Program42
Constitutional Amendment96
Courts and Law Enforcement 93
Crime Prevention95
Dairy
Dams and Locks
Dog Law
Drug Control
Education
Elections
Eminent Domain
Energy
Environmental Issues
Equine
Extension Service
Farm and Family Credit
Farm Labor
Farm Service Agency
Farm Vehicle License
Food Quality and Cafety

Forage Crops	
Foreign Investment	
Forestry	
Government Officials	62
Grain	
Health	84
Health Insurance	85
Highways, Roads, and Bridges	73
Home Rule	62
Horticulture Crops	
Income Taxes	
Inheritance Taxes	
Insurance	
Kentucky Department of Agriculture	
Kentucky Fairs	97
Labor-Management Relations	07
Land Assessment	
Land Use Planning	
TOTAL AND THE CONTRACTOR OF TH	
Legislative Affairs	
Liability Issues	
Line Fences	
Littering	
Livestock	
Livestock and Poultry Disease	
Marketing and Contracting	
Mechanics Lien Law	
Mining	
Miscellaneous	97
Patriotism	
Pest and Weed Control	52
Planning and Zoning	
Pollinator Protection and Apiculture	
Poultry	28
Private Property Rights	56
Property Taxes	70
Public Relations	92
Radio and Television	93
Railroads	76
Regulatory Agencies and Commissions	96
Regulatory Services	
Revenue Sharing	
Right to Farm	
Rural Communications	
Rural Electrification	
Safety	
Sales and Use Taxes	
Soybeans	
Special Taxing Districts	
Status of Previous Resolutions	
Stream Restoration	
Taxation	
Tobacco	
Tobacco Settlement Funds	0.000
Transportation	
Trespass, Game Laws and Regulations	
Union Organization of Farmers	80

Unionization of Public Employees80

Utility Easements	45
Utility Lines and Pipelines	
Utility Rates and Regulations	84
Waste Management	49
Water Districts/Associations	40
Water Research	39
Water Resource Management	41
Welfare	81
Wetlands	44
Wildlife Management	53

MISSION STATEMENT

Farm Bureau is a voluntary organization of farm families and
 their allies dedicated to serving as the voice of agriculture by
 identifying problems, developing solutions and taking actions
 which will improve net farm income, achieve better economic
 opportunities and enhance the quality of life for all.

PREAMBLE

9.

10.

11

38.

40.

We, the members of the Kentucky Farm Bureau Federation, are
 proud of our organization and the contribution it has made to our
 national economy and to our social well-being. Abundant
 production on our farms is a national asset and we will continue to
 strive for the attainment of our goal of a well-fed and peaceful world.

17. Strides have been made in increasing our total agricultural 18. output, but volume of production cannot be relied upon as the only 19. means of attaining a high income. A sound national program will 20. provide an income to agriculture which corresponds with the 21. contribution that agriculture makes to the nation. Any federal or 22. state program calling for adjustment in agricultural production 23. must guard against declining incomes that may result from such 24. adjustment.

25. We recognize that efficiency in farming, as in any other 26. productive enterprise, has much bearing on the level of net income 27. and well-being of individual farmers. Progress calls for the adoption 28. of more and better machinery, new and improved varieties of field 29. crops, better bred and fed livestock, better farm management 30. practices and cooperative associations as a means of reducing 31. marketing costs and purchasing of farm supplies. We contend that 32. the conservation of our soil and water resources is essential to the 33. public welfare of all segments of our society, and is, therefore, an 34. obligation of the general public.

35. We wish to reaffirm our belief in the American way of life, with 36. equal opportunity for all and a government of the people, by the 37. people, and for the people.

PART I POLICY STATEMENT

The laudable objectives of the Kentucky Farm Bureau 43. Federation need to be kept in mind by all Farm Bureau members. 44. Its objectives are: to organize effectively; to promote and protect 45. the interest of Kentucky farmers; to enlarge and ennoble their field 46. of endeavor; to win for them that recognition of their usefulness and 47. respect to which they are justly entitled; to raise standards of 48. farming and rural homemaking throughout our beloved 49. Commonwealth; to establish a high code of ethics among the 50. members of our vocation; to represent farmers in any legitimate 51. manner which may promote their best interest - economically, 52. educationally, socially; to increase the effectiveness of county Farm 53. Bureaus by strengthening their educational and service programs; 54. to strengthen the whole Kentucky Farm Bureau program by 55. unifying the county programs while preserving local initiative; to 56. cooperate with all such agencies - University of Kentucky College 57. of Agriculture, Food and Environment, the U. S. Department of

- 1. Agriculture, the Extension Service, Career and Technical Education, the state Department of Agriculture, the Natural 3. Resources Conservation Service agencies and the Farm Service 4. Agency, thus advancing the best interests of all people in Kentucky. 5. In order to better accomplish these purposes, we are guided by the 6. following principles:
- 7. 1. Agriculture's Place in the Economy and Society: To promote 8. the best interest of Kentucky's agriculture and by so doing to serve 9. the best interest of all of the people, it must be recognized that 10. agricultural prosperity and rural well-being cannot be gained or 11. maintained at the detriment of others. On the other hand, prosperity and well-being of others must not be maintained at the 13. detriment of agriculture. We will take positive action to keep the 14. public informed of the rightful place of agriculture in the economy 15. and society of the country and will cooperate with other organized 16. groups for the good of all.
- 17. 2. Farmer Cooperatives: We stand on the proposition that 18. the development of farmer cooperatives is a democratic process 19. which may contribute to placing the business of farming on an 20. economically sound and efficient basis. Farmers in building for 21. themselves a sound agriculture have the responsibility of getting 22. their products to the consumer in the most efficient and economical 23. manner. Efficiency in marketing farm commodities and efficiency in 24. purchasing farm supplies and providing services, means the 25. elimination of economic waste, and insofar as cooperatives 26. contribute to this end they serve the farmer directly and indirectly by 27. contributing to the general welfare of the consumers. We will help 28. educate farmers in the development and use of sound 29. cooperatives.

30. We believe that farmers must take an active role of leadership in 31. formulating the policies and programs of their cooperatives.

We hold that farmers have the inalienable right to enter into 33. business on a cooperative basis whenever it is wise to do so and 34. we oppose any legislation that will tend to hamper the development 35. of sound farmer cooperatives. We particularly object to attempts to 36. weaken cooperatives through the use of unjust taxes. We will fight 37. these attempts to weaken cooperatives with all the legitimate 38. means at our disposal.

3. Education: We maintain that Kentucky can and must have 40. an adequate system of public education for all the people in the 41. state. It is our obligation to support legislation to secure sufficient 42. funds to properly support our public elementary schools, vocational 43. schools, high schools, colleges and universities. We maintain that 44. each person has the right to education that is best for them.

45.

We maintain that sound educational programs specifically 46. designed for the training of farmers, farm homemakers and farm leaders are vital to a sound and progressive agriculture. We, 47. 48. therefore, staunchly support the programs of the Colleges of 49. Agriculture, Extension Service, Vocational Agriculture and 50. Agricultural Education and Career and Technical Education to the 51. end that these services may be most helpful to farm people

4. Agricultural Research: We hold that an adequate program of 54. agricultural research is fundamental to agricultural progress. Since 55. the responsibility of conducting and interpreting this work is placed 56. on the Kentucky Agricultural Experiment Station, it must have 57. adequate facilities and finances to carry on a progressive program

- 1. of work. We believe that funds for agricultural research should be 2. centered at the Experiment Station and oppose other state agencies being authorized to do duplicating agricultural research.
- 5. Farm Legislation: It is our responsibility to initiate and/or 5. actively support proposed legislation designed to promote the best 6. interests of agriculture and the general public, and to aggressively 7. oppose the passage of legislation detrimental to agriculture and the 8. general public.
- 9. 6. Governmental Farm Programs: We maintain that farmers 10. must have the opportunity and should actively participate in and be 11. properly represented in the formulation of any and all governmental 12. programs to assist farmers which vitally affect the farm economy 13. and rural society. We further maintain that all such programs must 14. be efficiently and economically operated, and the farmers must have a voice in the administration and conduct of these programs.

We recommend that a standardized definition of agriculture be 17. developed and incorporated into statute wherever agriculture is 18. defined.

- 19. 7. Health and Safety: We maintain that health and safety of 20. farm people are vital to the welfare of agriculture and the nation. It 21. is our obligation to work for sound programs and facilities that would 22. enable all farm people to have proper sanitation and other 23. conditions favorable to healthful surroundings such as safe water 24. supply, adequate diet, and to have access to adequate medical, 25. dental care, and hospital facilities at a reasonable cost.
- 26. 8. Soil and Water: Valuable progress has been made in soil 27. and water conservation, use, need and regulation. Future progress 28. may well depend upon the degree of correlation of various agencies 29. and interests working on these problems. We recommend that each 30. county Farm Bureau work and cooperate with their local 31. Conservation District and other interests in its county, on a 32. watershed basis, and act as a unifying agency for bringing them 33. closer together.

We will cooperate with the State Association of Conservation 35. Districts and Watershed Conservancy Districts and others in 36. developing a plan to assist county units and individual farmers 37. in more fully participating in and taking advantage of the total 38. conservation program.

- 9. Economy in Government: We expect all tax revenue to be 40. used wisely for the greatest good to the people. We expect strict 41. economy at all levels of government.
- 10. Our Democratic System: With wisdom and foresight, our 43. forefathers founded a government designed to protect our rights of 44. life, liberty and property. The Constitution wisely provided for a 45. three-fold division of authority between the legislative, executive, 46. and judicial branches of the government and by design the power of 47. government was diffused among the states by the Bill of Rights.

48. Thus, with freedom established and protected, the initiative of 49. individuals was encouraged, resulting in the best possible use of 50. human and natural resources. It is our constant challenge to 51. maintain this system and the freedoms, the spiritual morality, and 52. high standard of living it has provided.

We maintain our belief in the equality of all persons under the law and we are opposed to granting special privileges to anyone.

The institution of marriage should only be recognized as the 56. legal union of a man and a woman.

We are opposed to any state-supported agency providing 2. benefits to "domestic" partners.

We strongly believe in the value of all individuals both born and 4. unborn

We support an effort to eliminate the pornographic menace to 6. the moral fiber of our nation.

7. In order to maintain and improve our capitalistic system and prevent 8. further steps toward socialism, we assert the following beliefs:

- 9. (a) We are opposed to a monopoly in any form and we believe 10. that the anti-trust laws of this country should be enforced. We 11. believe that the individual search for progress should not be inhibited by false promises of security.
- (b) We believe that regulation should be based on law and not 14. on directives by people without benefit of law. We further believe 15. that propagandizing of the public by government is dangerous to
- 17. (c) We believe farm people have the right and are the best 18. qualified to speak for farmers through the organization of their 19. choice without government coercion or intervention. We also 20. believe persons seeking national, state or local offices should state 21. their beliefs with respect to capitalism, socialism, and communism, 22. regardless of party affiliations. Furthermore, if we are to truly have 23. a government of the people, by the people, and for the people, 24. secrecy in government must be minimized.
- (d) We believe that emergency legislation should be repealed and 26. emergency agencies dissolved when the specific emergency is over.
- (e) We believe that Congress should use restraint in enlarging 27. 28. the powers of the Executive Branch.
- 11. Citizenship: It is the duty and responsibility of every citizen 30. of the United States to understand our way of life; to support, 31. protect and preserve it; to guard it from without or from within; to 32. make its democratic principles more effective and to teach its 33. principles to our children. To do these things we must be constantly 34. on the alert to ferret out and expose persons, organizations and 35. movements which would destroy our democratic institutions. We 36. must exercise our right to vote by participating in all local, state and 37. national elections. We must assume willingly all the responsibilities 38. and duties that rest upon self-governed free people.
- 12. Nonpartisan Organization: The Kentucky Farm Bureau 40. Federation is nonpartisan and holds that the actions of all govern-41. mental bodies should be directed toward serving the best interests 42. of all of the people rather than serving the interests of any partisan 43. group. All decisions should be considered on the merits of the 44. proposed act rather than on its sponsorship.

39.

51.

52.

53.

54.

55.

We believe that the present organizational structure of Kentucky 46. Farm Bureau and its affiliates should be maintained essentially 47. as presently exists and that all members of the governing boards of 48. the affiliates of the Kentucky Farm Bureau Federation shall be 49. current and active members of the Kentucky Farm Bureau 50. Federation Board.

COUNTY FARM BUREAUS

With the problems facing agriculture and the nation becoming 56. increasingly complex, it is all the more important that every county 57. Farm Bureau organize more effectively. Member participation is the 1. essential factor in the accomplishment of Farm Bureau objectives. 2. Good committee work within the county Farm Bureau provides a 3. desirable means for members to make a worthwhile contribution to 4. effective programs.

In order to provide more unification of action, and to enable the 6. Federation to render a greater service and more effective 7. assistance to the counties, we urge county Farm Bureaus to appoint 8. special committees entrusted with the responsibility of initiating and 9. carrying out needed programs of action on such matters as Rural 10. Roads, Rural Industry, Education, Rural Health, Safety, Citizenship, 11. Conservation and Natural Resources, and the more important farm 12. enterprises such as: Tobacco, Livestock, Dairy, Poultry, Forestry 13. and the various commercial crops.

PART II STATE RESOLUTIONS

14.

15.

16.

17.

24.

25.

26

27.

28.

18. In addition to those things set forth as standing policy of the 19. Kentucky Farm Bureau Federation, the House of Delegates of the 20. Federation in annual meeting, hereby adopts the following 21. statements of position and specific resolutions as related to state 22. issues, and places upon its members, officers and representatives 23. the responsibility of securing proper and adequate action thereon.

AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION AND RESEARCH

29 We urge adequate funding for the agricultural research facilities 30. and the experimental farms in order to develop and maintain an effective research program.

We support research that will protect the livestock and equine 33. industries and our economic resources by developing new capabil-34. ities to monitor, assess, predict and respond to emerging infectious 35. disease threats. We believe the top priority of any research facility 36. shall be ensuring all the appropriate safeguards are in place to protect the local and regional communities.

We urge that agricultural research at the University of Kentucky 39. be fully funded in order to fill all faculty vacancies as soon as 40. possible, and continue to recruit and maintain a high-quality staff.

We support additional forage and livestock extension specialists 42. at the University of Kentucky College of Agriculture, Food and 43. Environment.

We urge the University of Kentucky College of Agriculture, Food 45. and Environment to focus research on the major issues facing 46. Kentucky agriculture and make the results of that research available 47. to farmers as rapidly as possible. We also recommend expanding 48. research in the areas of environmental quality, water quality and 49. quantity, agricultural profitability and competitiveness, and alterna-50. tive crops.

51. We urge the University of Kentucky College of Agriculture, Food 52, and Environment to update AGR-1 to more accurately address 53. current crop production practices and technologies that result in 54. higher yields.

We are in favor of keeping land grant colleges, the University 56. of Kentucky, and Kentucky State University and state university 57. research farms intact. Should a change or reduction of any

1. existing research farm become necessary, there should be 2. corresponding purchases or addition in order to maintain or expand 3. programs of agricultural research.

We encourage enhancement of Agricultural Research and 5. Extension facilities at the University of Kentucky, Princeton, and 6. Robinson stations. We support the Grain and Forage Center of 7. Excellence at the University of Kentucky Research and Education 8. Center at Princeton.

9. We encourage cooperation between the University of Kentucky 10. College of Agriculture, Food and Environment and other state 11. universities to establish joint research, education programs and 12. facilities.

We urge commodity research for further expanded use of our products, including producer-financed programs.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

23.

28.

40.

EXTENSION SERVICE

We support an effective extension educational program of 20. agriculture, family and consumer sciences, 4-H, youth, and 21. community and economic development as embodied in the system 22. of local agents supported by area and state specialists.

We recommend that the Cooperative Extension Service intensify 24. its efforts at the local level to focus on the rapid changes taking 25. place in agriculture and to assist producers in a timely manner in 26. adjusting to these conditions such as drought, and low commodity 27. prices.

We encourage the Cooperative Extension Service to devote 29. greater resources to production agriculture and expedite the transfer of technology to farmers.

We understand the need for the extension program in other 32. areas, however, the extension service's involvement with agriculture 33. programs should remain a priority.

We urge Farm Bureau members to serve on extension councils. 35. 4-H councils, homemakers' councils, agriculture councils, and other 36. councils or committees to help plan local programs. Furthermore, 37. we recommend that one of the two members nominated by the 38. area extension councils to serve on the State Extension Council be 39. actively engaged in farming.

We recommend the state 4-H Council evaluate and encourage more 41. stringent criteria at the district level to qualify for the state show in order 42. to eliminate horses and/or riders that may pose a safety problem. The 43. horses qualifying for the State Fair show should be shown only in 44. classes for which they qualified at the area level.

We recommend that adequate funds be appropriated to bring 46. Kentucky's Cooperative Extension Service back to a competitive 47. position with other states in salary levels. We urge the Kentucky 48. General Assembly to provide funding for a performance-based 49. career ladder for county agents, and for improved training and 50. professional development of agents. This should be supported on 51. recurring General Fund dollars, not using tobacco settlement funds.

We recommend that the Kentucky General Assembly fund the 53. Cooperative Extension Service as a line item in the University of 54. Kentucky and the Kentucky State University budgets.

We encourage the University of Kentucky Extension Service to 56. fill any extension agent vacancy positions within a maximum of 57. twelve months.

We support the county based model for cooperative extension. We recommend that the University of Kentucky College of 3. Agriculture, Food and Environment work more closely with local extension councils to carry out priorities and recommendations of the councils. We support the efforts of local county extension boards to have an equal voice in the appointment and evaluation of agents and assistants in their counties. 7.

We recommend that the director operate the extension program 9. in an efficient manner and continually review the operation of the service in order to improve efficiency and to determine if there are 11, ways to eliminate excessive reports and any other nonproductive 12. procedures.

We recommend that the funds needed to expand the current 13. 14. farm analysis program at the University of Kentucky be appropriated. We urge county Farm Bureau leaders to be aware of situations relative to future needs of agriculture, home economics and 4-H 16. and plan for increased local support for such extension programs. 17.

We oppose any efforts by state or federal government to impose restrictions on extension research or extension field work with 20. producers of any legal commodity.

18.

19

21.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28

36.

40.

50.

We support educational efforts by the extension service to inform farmers of their rights and recourse under federal marketing 23. protection programs.

We support programs that will increase the demand for dairy products. These programs include the serving of real dairy 29. 30. products in all state institutions and parks.

We further support the effort to encourage school districts to 31. 32. adopt the Fuel Up to Play 60 program that encourages nutrition and fitness which includes the new look of the School Milk Program that 34 encourages plastic bottle packaging on the meal line.

We support schools offering all pasteurized fluid milk and milk products, including whole milk and flavored milk, as part of the school lunch program without losing federal subsidies.

We support the promotion of milk vending and smoothie 39. machines in schools.

We support the Junior Dairy Show Program.

We support the efforts of the Kentucky Dairy Development 41. 42. Council on behalf of dairy farmers and the entire dairy infrastructure. 43. We encourage cooperation between affiliated agricultural 44. organizations, industries, and dairy farmers to benefit all.

We support the efforts of the American Dairy Association and 46. the Dairy Alliance. These organizations should strive to communi-47. cate checkoff dollar usage through an industry-wide newsletter. 48. Dairy checkoff dollars should be utilized in market areas where the milk is sold. 49.

We strongly urge that all milk utilized for human fluid consump-51. tion be pasteurized. We oppose legislation that could lessen public health regulations on fluid milk for human consumption.

We will continue to work with the Dairy Products Association 54. of Kentucky and others to improve dairy health regulations. We support the manufactured dairy products industry's efforts for qual-56. ity improvement and will cooperate in helping develop a sound 57. program that will provide for proper state supervision.

We recommend that Dairy Management Incorporated continue
 research on all dairy products for the purpose of improving quality
 and consumer acceptance, and expanding new development of
 dairy products.

We recommend a greater effort to ensure that farm inspectors,
 regulatory officials and other industry professionals are familiar with
 dairy farming practices and are aware of the practical efforts that
 implementation of rules and regulations will require.

We encourage coordination between the state and regional
 testing labs in testing for contamination in the milk products. We
 support the Kentucky Farm Milk Handlers Law which will provide
 increased services to producers and processors.

We support funding of the statewide Uniform Dairy Inspection
 Program from the Kentucky General Fund. This program should
 continue to be administered by the Kentucky Cabinet for Health and
 Family Services.

17. We recommend that a study be conducted on marketing milk on18. a protein content basis as well as fat content basis.

We support passage of state or national legislation designed
 to protect dairy farmers in the event that buyers of milk
 become insolvent.

We support continued dairy research and education conducted
 in Kentucky through the most effective means possible including,
 but not limited to, a partnership between the University of Kentucky
 and Eastern Kentucky University dairy programs.

We oppose input from milk handlers in haul rate negotiations
 between dairy farmers and milk haulers.

We encourage cooperative efforts among universities in the
 southeast in extension, teaching, and research efforts to support
 and educate the dairy industry.

We recommend that adequate funds be allocated to university
 research projects with the goal of alleviating health issues such as,
 but not limited to, the digital dermatitis (heelwart) problem in dairy
 cattle.

We support a coalition of the Kentucky Department of
 Agriculture, the Kentucky Economic Development Cabinet, the
 University of Kentucky and other state universities, the Kentucky
 Governor's Office of Agriculture Policy and the Kentucky Dairy
 Development Council to implement a program that maintains
 the viability of existing dairies while attracting new dairies to the
 state of Kentucky. We encourage entrepreneurship through the
 Kentucky Proud Program to further develop value-added dairy
 production that emphasizes quality and professionalism.

44. We support the development of a federal milk pricing system
45. including, but not limited to supply management, exploring all
46. feasible pricing mechanisms to provide a reasonable rate of return
47. to dairy producers.

48. We support a two-tiered production program that addresses 49. excess production as it influences the price of milk.

We urge all dairy cooperatives to pay at or above the federally
 mandated price for milk.

52. We support legislation that would provide a reasonable rate of53. return for dairy producers, processors, and retailers by recovering54. costs from the marketplace by establishing a minimum price for milk.

We oppose inaccurate or misleading labeling of all dairy
 products.

We encourage 100 percent participation in Cooperatives
 Working Together (CWT) by dairy farmers.

We support the Kentucky Hunger Initiative and encourage
 providing dairy products to those in need.

. We support maintaining milk as the official beverage of Kentucky.

FORESTRY

Kentucky Farm Bureau recognizes the environmental benefits
 forest lands provide to the general public, such as clean air and
 water, wildlife habitat, and conservation of natural beauty, and
 believes the owners of forest lands should be compensated for
 continuing to provide these public benefits.

We urge cooperation among all forestry related organizations.

16. We recommend that the Kentucky Energy & Environment
17. Cabinet expand and elevate the Division of Forestry, in keeping with
18. the economic and environmental importance of Kentucky's forest
19. resources and the forest industries. Forestry should continue to be
20. recognized as an agricultural enterprise.

21. We recommend that the Division of Forestry increase the 22. number of state foresters in order to reduce the waiting time 23. for forester assistance and increase services provided, with an 24. emphasis in the Appalachian region of Eastern Kentucky for 25. landowner assistance.

We support the Forest Conservation Act in conjunction with the
 Agriculture Water Quality Act (AWQA).

We support the Division of Forestry's work in expanding
 protection from forest fires; assisting woodland owners in
 management, reforestation, insect disease, and non-native invasive
 plant control, expanding Kentucky's forest industries.

We recommend counties with significant forest resources invest a
 portion of coal, gas, and oil severance tax monies into woodland man agement activities for fire trails, timber stand improvement, and con trolling invasive species that affect our renewable forest resources.

We encourage the use of hardwoods such as the American
 Chestnut tree in the restoration of strip-mined/mountain top removal
 lands.

We encourage the Kentucky General Assembly to establish and
 fund a Forest Health Task Force, and make it a permanent part of
 state government. We request the task force publish its mission and
 submit a public annual report on the status of Kentucky's forest
 health.

44. We support forest that qualify for an agricultural deferment of 45. property tax should be assessed using an assessment model that is 46. appropriate for forest land.

47. We encourage the establishment of local forestry organizations 48. at the local level.

We support the continuation and expansion of collecting and
 publishing of log price information commensurate with other
 agricultural commodities.

52. We support rewards for information leading to the prosecution
53. and conviction for forest arson through the Wildland Fire and Arson
54. Prevention Task Force and the Kentucky Forest Industries
55. Association and the Target Arson Program in conjunction with Farm
56. Bureau's Theft Reward Policy.

57.

8.

9.

We recommend adjacent property owners engaged in logging
 clearly delineate the farm boundaries to prevent accidental
 encroachment on adjoining property.

We urge stricter enforcement of our laws and regulations relative
 to controlling fires in our forests. We urge vigorous prosecution of
 those who violate Kentucky's fire laws and regulations.

We support the creation and funding of an arson investigation
 team within the Kentucky Division of Forestry to aggressively
 investigate all woodland arson.

We support expansion of forestry research and natural resource
 education and extension at the University of Kentucky. We support
 the efforts of the Department of Agriculture, the Division of Forestry,
 and the Cooperative Extension Service to continue to provide
 information used to determine the value of the timber the owner
 plans to market.

16. We support the Forest Health Research and Education Center17. housed at the University of Kentucky and established by the18. University of Kentucky, the Kentucky Division of Forestry, and the19. U.S. Forest Service Southern Research Station.

We support the funding and staffing necessary to continue and
 expand the work by the University of Kentucky Department of
 Forestry and Natural Resources and the Kentucky Division of
 Forestry to conduct annual economic analysis of the forest industry
 in Kentucky.

25. We recommend additional funding for the Division of Forestry's26. tree nurseries including the restoration of the American27. Chestnut tree,

We recommend that the University of Kentucky Cooperative
 Extension Service recruit and locate forestry extension personnel in
 all areas of Kentucky to assist landowners in forest stewardship
 management and in utilizing all market facilities and services.

We support the University of Kentucky, the Kentucky Community
 and Technical College System, and the Department for Workforce
 Investment, reinstating a forestry technician program to prepare
 students as sawyers, kiln operators, lumber graders, other forestry
 related occupations and value-added wood products training.

37. We support the Kentucky wood industry's efforts to get afford-38. able workers' compensation rates.

We support the Master Logger Program and recommend
 appropriate funding to maintain the viability of the program.

We support the Forestry Best Management Practice Board in
 amending the Forest Conservation Act to strengthen the bad actor
 provisions.

44. We support efforts to require the harvesting or removing of trees45. and woody debris for commercial energy production to use Best46. Management Practices (BMPs) to protect water quality.

47. We support bonding of commercial loggers. We support the 48. utilization of all scientifically proven forestry practices to achieve 49. sound and sustainable forests.

We encourage local prosecutors to recognize theft of timber is
 not a trespass issue, but a criminal activity, and existing theft laws
 should be enforced.

We support the Kentucky Forest Leadership Program and urge
 county Farm Bureaus to sponsor students to attend this camp.

56.

57.

We urge county Farm Bureaus to assist members in
 taking advantage of the forestry assistance offered by the
 Division of Forestry's stewardship program and the stewardship
 incentive practices.

We support an exemption for farmers to burn debris during the
 established fire seasons.

We encourage all members who are timberland owners in
 Kentucky to have a forest stewardship plan on their land.

We strongly encourage and support cost-share programs for all
 forestry activities on private lands and we encourage the General
 Assembly to appropriate funds for the Forest Stewardship
 Incentives Fund.

13. We are opposed to comprehensive legislation that would regulate14. forest practices on privately owned forest land and recommend that15. no such action be undertaken by the Kentucky legislature.

We oppose mandatory third party certification of Kentucky's for est land in order to be eligible for biomass production programs.

We recommend that marketable timber and woody debris from
 highway rights-of-way and utility rights-of-way, oil/gas pipelines and
 wells be salvaged.

We support the collection and dissemination of annual forestry
 inventory data under the Kentucky Forest Conservation Act by the
 Division of Forestry. Moreover, the forest resource inventory should
 provide reliable information on the amount of timber that is available
 for purchase or use.

We support the Cabinet for Economic Development and its
 efforts to include agriculture and natural resources in their
 long-range economic development plan.

We recommend that the Cabinet for Economic Development
 intensify its efforts in marketing Kentucky forest products and
 increasing wood utilization.

32. We support the current effort in the state to promote the expansion33. of the secondary forest industries, including the Kentucky34. Department of Agriculture's Value-Added Wood Products Program.

We recommend the timberland assessment fee be increased from two cents to five cents per acre, provided that the assessment fee continues to be returned to the Kentucky Division of Forestry for the suppression of fires and for promoting forest health.

We commend the Kentucky Department of Agriculture for
 allowing trees grown in Kentucky and forest products made in
 Kentucky to be designated as Kentucky Proud.

GRAIN

46. We request that the University of Kentucky intensify its research 47. efforts in the following areas:

- control of barley yellow dwarf, a virus of small grains;
- (2) early maturing varieties of wheat in Kentucky;
- 50. (3) high test weight and high yielding wheat;
- (4) control of head scab in small grains;

42.

43.

44

45.

48

52.

(5) production such as grain rye and barley yield traits.

We recommend that the University of Kentucky develop public
 varieties of wheat.

55. For all commercially marketed seed, we recommend the labeling56. of the number of seed per pound on small grain seed tags, and the57. cold germination tests on seed corn tags.

We recommend that seed tags for all commodity grains reflect 2. the genetic purity of the bagged crop.

When seed companies sell insecticide pre-treated corn seeds, 4. farmers should be able to return the seeds, and be reimbursed for 5. the cost of the seeds.

6. Summaries of Kentucky seed law results should be published 7. annually. These laws should be rigidly enforced.

8. We encourage use of corn starch based products such as 9. biodegradable plastics, calcium magnesium acetate and eco-foam.

We recommend that Farm Bureau stress the importance of 11. producers using the best management possible in the operation 12. of harvesting and storing facilities in order to maintain a high 13. quality product.

Farmers should be able to choose the date that they lock in LDP 14. 15. rates while grain is in storage at feed mills.

Grain quality should not disqualify commodities from loan 16 17. deficiency payment eligibility if the county has been adversely 18. affected by weather.

19. We encourage grain elevators to pay a premium for low moisture 20. grain.

21. We support the adoption of legislation at the state level that 22. would require grain purchasers to use USDA grading practices.

All vacuum probes used in the marketing of grain should be 24. USDA approved. Foreign matter should be removed from a sample 25. of grain before the test weight is derived.

We recommend that any genetically engineered grain approved 27. by USDA and FDA not be considered contaminated grain in cargo.

26

28.

49.

50.

51.

52.

We recommend that Farm Bureau continue to monitor and 29. publish methods used in arriving at dockage in grain.

We recommend that chemical testing for aflatoxin/vomitoxin be 31. made available to farmers through the University of Kentucky and 32. the Breathitt Veterinary Center laboratories.

We recommend that Farm Bureau become more aggressive in 34. promoting a better understanding of marketing alternatives 35. available to farmers.

36 We commend the Kentucky General Assembly for the action 37. taken relative to the grain insurance law and encourage that it 38. continue to be monitored for protection of farmers.

39. We support modernizing and updating the Grain Insurance Fund 40. law to more adequately protect current and future Kentucky grain 41. producers.

42. Due to the increased value of grain, the grain insurance fund 43. coverage should be increased to adequately protect farmers and 44. the cap limit should be increased accordingly.

We request the Risk Management Agency (RMA) retain May 31 46. as the final planting date for corn for the entire state of Kentucky.

47. We support efforts to strengthen industrial hemp production for 48. seed in the state of Kentucky.

SOYBEANS

We urge the University of Kentucky to expand its program in 53. 54. controlling cyst nematode, sudden death syndrome and soybean 55. rust in soybeans through use of either resistant varieties or other 56. methods of control. We encourage the University of Kentucky and 57. the Department of Agriculture to conduct research on slug control

1. and management and further develop deer and insect resistant 2. soybean varieties.

We recommend that government funding continue for Asian 4. soybean rust sentinel plots across soybean growing areas.

We favor the use of a dry matter basis for grading and pricing of 6. soybeans and pricing seed by seed count rather than by weight.

We recommend that the Soybean Promotion Board continue 8. funding programs to help develop ways to increase the use of 9. soybeans and also find ways through research to increase the 10. test weight.

11. We urge the universities throughout Kentucky to intensify their 12. research efforts on the expanded use of soybeans for human food.

13. We recommend that the University of Kentucky develop public 14. varieties of soybeans.

We encourage the Soybean Promotion Board to continue 16. funding programs to increase the public awareness of soydiesel 17. fuels and soybean byproducts.

We request the Risk Management Agency (RMA) move the late 18. 19. planting date for soybeans to June 25.

20.

21.

22.

23.

LIVESTOCK

We support Kentucky's Beef Quality Assurance (BQA) program 25. and strongly encourage cattle producers, haulers, handlers, stock-26. yard employees, and processors to participate.

27. We support the Pork Quality Assurance Plus Program, the Youth 28. Pork Quality Assurance Plus Program, the Swine Welfare 29. Assurance Program, the Trucker Quality Assurance Program, and 30. the Environmental Assurance Program and recommend every 31. swine producer utilize these programs at all levels.

32 We recommend that the Kentucky Farm Bureau and Kentucky's 33. livestock organizations work in conjunction with their national 34. associations to combat negative campaigns regarding meat 35. consumption or production.

36. We will continue to investigate the export market potential for 37. Kentucky livestock and livestock products.

We support the veterinary training program established by the 39. Southern Regional Education Board.

We support the construction and funding for a livestock educa-41. tion center on the main University of Kentucky campus to give 42. hands-on educational opportunities to students.

43. We support expansion of the livestock industry as long as new 44. operations meet current environmental regulations by using Best 45. Management Practices (BMPs).

46. We support more education and funding for producers in 47. marketing feeder calves, sheep and goats in large commingled 48. groups.

49. We support development and adoption of livestock identification 50. technology which will enhance the implementation of value-based 51. marketing.

52. We support the establishment and implementation of an animal 53. disease traceability system that will provide support for animal 54. disease control and eradication.

An animal disease traceability system should be cost 56. effective, with adequate cost-share among government, industry. 57. and producers.

Any such program must protect producers from liability for acts 2. of others after livestock leaves the producers' hands, including 3. nuisance suits naming everyone who handled particular livestock.

An animal disease traceability system should ensure the 5. security of producer information and respect the privacy of 6. producers by only collecting data necessary to establish a 7. trace-back system. We recommend all information collected should 8. be exempt from the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

We recommend that information collected in support of an 10. animal disease traceability system be held by the Kentucky 11. Department of Agriculture and federal government access to this 12. data be limited to an animal health emergency. We support state 13. legislation that would exempt all information collected by the office 14. of the state veterinarian regarding animal health from the open

9.

16.

36.

38.

39.

40.

41.

42.

We support the implementation of the Kentucky Department of 17. Agriculture Office of the State Veterinarian requirement that all 18. sheep and goats presented at Kentucky livestock markets must 19. be identified with an official scrapie tag prior to arrival.

We urge the Kentucky Farm Bureau, Farm Service Agency 21. (FSA), Kentucky Department of Agriculture, University of Kentucky 22. College of Agriculture Food and Environment, and other state 23. universities, the Kentucky Cattlemen's Association, the Kentucky 24. Beef Network, the Kentucky Pork Producers Association, the 25. Kentucky Livestock Association, and the Kentucky Dairy 26. Development Council, the Kentucky Goat Producers Association, 27. and the Kentucky Sheep and Wool Producers Association, and the 28. Kentucky Sheep and Goat Development Office to work together to 29. develop an animal disease traceability system.

We support developing a public education campaign conducted 31. by the University of Kentucky Cooperative Extension Service 32. for homeowners living adjacent to livestock operations to alert 33. them of activities that could harm livestock, such as dumping yard 34. waste, etc.

We oppose mandatory country of origin labeling.

A veterinarian may report an abusive or negligent situation with 37. the owner's prior knowledge.

LIVESTOCK AND **POULTRY DISEASE**

We favor an expansion of sound programs to control and/or 44. eradicate communicable diseases in livestock.

We recognize the need for feed additives and medication in 46. livestock, poultry, and minor species. We favor careful use and 47. withdrawal restrictions of feed additives and therapeutics. We 48. oppose the banning of such additives and therapeutics without 49. adequate proof of danger.

We support the responsible use of antibiotics. We encourage the 51. inclusion of additional consideration of small ruminant producers in 52. the veterinary feed directive rule.

We support the Kentucky Department of Agriculture's programs 54. for testing and inspecting livestock for disease in the marketplace. 55. We recommend that Kentucky's health requirements for livestock be 56. coordinated, as nearly as practical, with adjoining states and we 57. encourage the office of state veterinarian to work cooperatively with

1. Kentucky Farm Bureau and state commodity organizations on 2. development and implementation of new regulations.

We recommend that the Kentucky Department of Agriculture 4. become part of the state's emergency management team to deal 5. with livestock problems during a natural disaster and national emergency situation.

We recommend the establishment of a contingency fund within 8. the Kentucky Department of Agriculture to be used to cover costs 9. involved in combating declared emergency disease outbreaks.

We are in favor of state funds being appropriated to fully 10. 11. maintain Kentucky's brucellosis program and when federal funds 12. are available for various segments of the program, the state should 13. be reimbursed.

We support education and research to prevent losses in small 15. ruminants due to internal parasites, such as haemonchus 16. contortus.

17. We recommend the Kentucky Department of Agriculture and its 18. commissioner continue the surveillance monitoring necessary to 19. maintain a brucellosis "free" status for livestock.

20. We support a reinstatement of the calfhood vaccination program 21. and its funding to further control brucellosis.

We support the continuation of the educational programs 23. offered by the state diagnostic laboratories in cooperation with the 24. Kentucky State Veterinarian, Kentucky Veterinary Medical 25. Association and University of Kentucky Extension Service to improve awareness of and elimination of endemic and emerging 27. diseases

We support state appropriations necessary to fund voluntary 29. testing and herd certification programs for paratuberculosis.

We support continued surveillance testing for pseudorabies and 31. encourage the state legislature to appropriate the necessary funds 32. to maintain such programs.

We support continued research regarding the correlation of 34. Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD) and cattle.

We support the use of tissue and/or fecal sources for natural inoculation against imported diseases for which there are not 37. effective treatments like Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDV) 38. and Transmissible Gastro Enteritis (TGE).

We encourage more accurate collection of swine serological 39. 40. slaughter trace-backs for pseudorabies. We support first-point 41. testing of breeding animals being marketed in Kentucky for 42. monitoring of pseudorables. We recognize the importance of the 43. swine industry and its contribution to the economic well-being of 44. American agriculture and we support efforts to increase the number 45. of swine in Kentucky.

We support public health officials deferring to the Office of the 47. State Veterinarian when dealing with cases of rabies in farm 48. livestock and the state veterinarian's office develop guidelines for 49. dealing with cases of rabies in farm livestock.

We encourage the equine and livestock industries to develop 51. and utilize vaccinations to prevent the spread of vector borne 52. diseases. As a further precaution, we recommend that the General 53. Assembly appropriate sufficient funds to expand the state Pest and 54. Weed Control Division's Mosquito Control Program.

We recommend that the State Board of Agriculture eliminate all 56. "swine permitted feedlots" for quarantined animals in Kentucky.

57.

The state diagnostic laboratories should coordinate their efforts
 and be operated under the procedures outlined by the state Animal
 Diagnostic Laboratory Advisory Committee.

4. We request the General Assembly to provide the State
5. Department of Agriculture and the diagnostic laboratories with
6. sufficient space and funds to conduct strong programs of detection
7. and elimination of livestock and poultry disease. We recommend
8. that both Kentucky's diagnostic laboratories be line items in their
9. respective university budgets.

We support sufficient funding for both diagnostic laboratories
 as mandated programs to meet the normal diagnostic needs of the
 poultry and livestock industries, and for enhancement of their capability to respond to emergencies such as Bovine Spongiform
 Encephalopathy, West Nile Virus, Scrapie or Avian Influenza
 outbreaks.

We recommend the laboratories be established as separate
 departments within their respective universities and their directors
 report directly to said universities. If fees are charged, the income
 generated should be allowed to benefit the laboratory charging the
 fee. We recommend that the director of each diagnostic laboratory
 have experience and expertise in livestock disease.

We recommend that farmers be allowed to use the laboratorywithout prior approval by a veterinarian.

We recommend the major mission or priority of the diagnostic
 laboratories be directed toward diagnostic work associated with
 livestock.

We support additional programs to dispose of dead animals and
 processing residue. We recommend the state legislature expand
 funding of programs with the Kentucky Department of Agriculture for
 a statewide dead animal removal program.

We further recommend that the University of Kentucky
 expand research on the feasibility and practicality of composting
 of livestock.

We urge the Kentucky Department of Agriculture and the
 Division of Conservation not reduce the funding available for dead
 animal removal programs.

We encourage cooperative efforts and funding throughout theCommonwealth to address the dead animal removal issue.

We oppose any legislation or rule that bans the rendering of any
 specific parts of ruminant animals.

We are encouraged by any systems identifying diseased and
 non-ambulatory cattle as not being resold. However, we are
 discouraged by the mis-use and abuse of these systems by traders.
 We recommend stronger methods for proof and identification of
 resold cattle.

46. The Kentucky Farm Bureau is opposed to the imposition of 47. unreasonable regulations by individual states affecting the 48. interstate movement of livestock. We urge state veterinarians 49. to work cooperatively with Kentucky market operators to 50. accommodate the issuance of health papers, permits, etc.

We support the compartmentation and secure food plans to
 protect movement and exports of the livestock and poultry
 industries during disease incidents.

54. We support additional funding to ensure that any veterinarian
55. student vacancies offered by our affiliated colleges of veterinary
56. medicine are filled with Kentucky students.

In an effort to address the shortage of large animal veterinary
 services in Kentucky, we support:

- Incentive programs for veterinarians and veterinary technicians and technologists;
- Seeking and funding additional slots for Kentucky students at veterinary schools;
- Updating and modifying the current laws to expand veterinary services to allow veterinary technicians and technologists, in direct consultation with a licensed veterinarian, to have a greater flexibility in providing animal care services;
- 4. Encouraging the expansion of veterinary mentoring
 programs;

13.

14.

17.

19.

22.

55.

56. 57.

- Improved recruiting and awareness efforts for Kentucky youth to take advantage of the veterinary opportunities;
- Ensuring the pre-veterinary programs in our Kentucky universities have sufficient funding; and
- Encouraging local communities to design aggressive recruiting programs to entice viable veterinary practices to serve the livestock producers of their communities.

ANIMAL CARE

We support the work of the Kentucky Board of Agriculture, the
 Kentucky Livestock Care Standards Commission, and the Equine
 Health and Welfare Council.

27. We support the Kentucky Livestock Care Standards Commission28. in their efforts to establish minimum standards for the raising,29. handling, feeding, housing or transportation of livestock, poultry,30. aquaculture and fur bearing animals.

Proper care and welfare of livestock and poultry are essential to
 the efficient and profitable production of food and fiber. No segment
 of society has more concern for the well-being of poultry and
 livestock than the producer. This is best exemplified by the high
 levels of production and low mortality rates achieved in modern
 livestock and poultry operations.

We recommend producers, haulers, handlers, stockyard
 employees and processors be educated on the importance of
 proper care of livestock and poultry.

40. We recommend the education of first responders on livestock 41. rescue and safe handling procedures during emergency situations.

42. We support an aggressive, comprehensive educational program43. presenting the facts of animal and poultry production to the general44. public and to school children.

45. We encourage discussion and education of producers and 46. consumers prior to adoption of new production practices that impact 47. animal care and sustainability.

48. We support legislation preempting local governments from 49. establishing animal care standards.

Kentucky Farm Bureau will cooperate with other agricultural related organizations to address the animal welfare issues.

We support the right to humanely and safely harvest horses
 and livestock.

POULTRY

1.

2

3.

10.

12.

13

16.

28.

32.

33.

34.

We support our poultry farmers and their role in the poultry 4. industry. We encourage the development of poultry and egg mar-5. keting services and will cooperate with other organizations and 6. agencies in improving and developing markets for poultry and eggs.

We support research into the development of sustainable. 8. renewable, agriculturally-produced bedding and litter for the poultry 9. industry.

We support research in bedding alternatives, waste and nutrient 11. management, and alternative methods for dead animal disposal.

We encourage poultry producers to be environmental stewards.

We support poultry research to investigate ammonia production 14. and technology to reduce ammonia levels and emissions in poultry 15. houses.

We support the continuation of the federal-state cooperative 17. agreement for surveillance of low-path H5/H7 avian influenza at 18. current levels.

We urge companies to justify mandatory modification of build-20. ings and equipment through research documentation. Any modifi-21. cation should be a long-term agreement, negotiated in writing, 22. between the grower and company before installation. The length of 23. contracts should adequately protect a grower's investment in build-24. ings and equipment.

25. We support pay averaging criteria being revised to compensate 26. for company production decisions that influence a farmer/producer's 27. settlement.

We support the relocation of the University of Kentucky poultry 29. research facility to enable the faculty to conduct relevant research 30. to meet the need of the ever-changing poultry industry. 31.

EQUINE

35. We support the use of equine for transportation, recreation, 36. tourism and business.

We recommend that Kentucky Farm Bureau cooperate with other 38. agencies involved in the equine industry to disseminate 39. information on horse-related activities.

40. We support recognizing equine as livestock and the horse indus-41. try as an agricultural commodity to remove the disadvantage that 42. currently exists in relation to other livestock. We also recommend 43. production items and services associated with the equine industry 44. be exempt from the sales and use tax. We support development 45. and utilization of equine identification technology.

46. We recommend legislation to develop existing and encourage 47. additional public horse riding trails on state lands and parks.

We support programs to promote the trail riding sector of the 49. equine industry.

50. We support preservation of existing horse riding trails which are 51. for non-motorized use on public lands in Kentucky.

We support federal funding for the equine industry in dealing with 52. 53. disasters.

We support funding for all equine facilities at the Kentucky 54. 55. Exposition Center and the Kentucky Horse Park.

We support programs such as the Kentucky Equine Education 57. Program (KEEP) to promote the equine industry in Kentucky.

We support programs such as the Kentucky Horse Council 2. (KHC) to promote the equine industry.

We support the University of Kentucky's Equine Program and 4. recommend adequate funding for its facilities and programs, 5. on- and off-campus.

We recommend that the Kentucky Department of Agriculture 6. 7. restore funding and support the 4-H horse program to the same 8. degree as traditional 4-H livestock programs.

We support fair and uniform guidelines and enforcement of horse 10. show inspections and proper training of horse show inspectors.

14.

15.

16.

17.

44.

45.

46.

47.

48.

We encourage equine owners to follow American Association of 11. 12. Equine Practitioners (AAEP) core vaccination guidelines for equine health and disease related issues. 13.

AQUACULTURE

18. 19. We support the aquaculture and aquaponic industry as an alter-20. native farming method and recommend the continued improvement 21. in government encouragement and assistance to aquaculture.

22. We recognize aquaculture commodities as a viable part of Kentucky's agriculture industry.

24 We oppose propagation fees as part of the permitting process to 25. raise any livestock or livestock product, including aquaculture.

26. We commend and support the Kentucky State University for its lead-27. ership and vision in developing the aquaculture industry in Kentucky 28. and encourage increased funding in order to promote the industry.

We support the efforts of the Kentucky Aquaculture Association Task 30. Force and encourage adequate funding.

31. We support cooperative educational efforts between the Kentucky 32. Department of Fish & Wildlife Resources and the Kentucky Aquaculture 33. Association to promote better understanding of aquaculture regulations and practices.

We support the development of paddlefish and sturgeon farming 36. through continued research on captive propagation and husbandry 37. practices. We also support a cooperative effort between paddlefish 38. and sturgeon farms and state and federal agencies. We recommend 39. amending the endangered species act to allow free interstate and 40. international commerce of legitimately grown or harvested paddlefish and sturgeon products.

42. We support all imported fish be held to the same quality standards 43. that is required of U.S. fish products.

POLLINATOR PROTECTION AND APICULTURE

49. We support monitoring the activities of the Pollinator Working 50. Group in connection with the protection of the monarch butterflies 51. and bees to make sure that agriculture will not be affected in a 52. negative way.

We support the enhancement of the honeybee population in 53. 54. Kentucky.

55. We support the four goals of the Kentucky Pollinator Protection 56. Plan which are the use of best management practices, increased 1. pollinator habitat, increased education and outreach and increased communication among stakeholders.

We support the development of BMPs that enhance pollinator 3. 4. habitat in both urban and rural areas.

5. We recognize the ecological importance of pollinators and the 6. necessity to judiciously utilize crop protection products to protect 7. against loss of crop yield. We support the coexistence of crops and 8. pollinators and urge that any pollinator risk assessment required for 9. registration or regulation of crop protection products be based on field-relevant, sound scientific data.

We support expedited approval of emergency labeling of chemi-12. cal treatments for beekeepers fighting colony collapse issues.

11.

13

14.

15.

16. 17.

22.

28.

56.

57.

HORTICULTURE CROPS

We recommend that Kentucky Farm Bureau, the University 18. of Kentucky, the Kentucky State University, and the Kentucky 19. Department of Agriculture assist growers in working with wholesale 20. buyers and processors in matters of pricing, food safety, receiving 21. schedules and handling facilities.

We encourage the Kentucky Department of Agriculture in 23. cooperation with the University of Kentucky College of Agriculture 24. Food and Environment, Kentucky State University, and the 25. Kentucky Horticulture Council to update and make publicly available 26. the database for the Kentucky Horticultural Products and Services 27. Directory.

We urge the Colleges of Agriculture to continue their commit-29. ment to all areas of horticulture research and the providing of time-30. ly and current information on production, handling, processing and 31. marketing of the wide array of horticulture products grown 32. in Kentucky.

We recommend that the University of Kentucky Horticulture 33. 34. Research Farm be maintained as the primary horticulture facility for 35. research and extension and undergraduate education in central 36. Kentucky because the location, topography and soil types are 37. extremely suitable for the type of research afforded to the growing 38. horticulture industry. We also urge extensive horticulture crop 39. research at the Princeton and Quicksand facilities.

40. We recommend that all fruits and vegetables sold on the 41. wholesale and retail markets in Kentucky be labeled as to the point 42. of origin.

43. We support continued efforts and further development of the 44. Arboretum at the University of Kentucky.

45. We recommend that the Kentucky Department of Agriculture and 46. the University of Kentucky make a strong effort to determine out-of-47. state nurseries that supply disease and insect free strawberry plants, fruit trees, vegetable transplants, etc.

We encourage the Agricultural Statistics Service to continue to 50. develop collection and reporting procedures on sales of all horticul-51. tural products (nursery, greenhouse, fruits, and vegetables).

We recommend the enforcement of regulating transient roadside 53. vendors, especially those selling from highway rights-of-way, which 54. are hazardous, do not contribute to the local tax base and compete 55. unfairly with local producers.

We further recommend that Farm Bureau publish information 2. pertaining to monitoring and regulation of transient roadside 3. markets and their effect on local producers.

We encourage priority being given to Kentucky-grown 5. greenhouse and nursery stock and sod for all state and county 6. funded construction and maintenance projects.

Horticulture should be included in the definition of agriculture wherever agriculture is defined in statute.

We recommend that the Natural Resource Conservation Service 10. make funding available for high tunnel structures to farmers statewide.

We recommend that the University of Kentucky maintain an 11. 12. extension viticulturist and an extension oenologist to work full-time 13. with the Kentucky Wine Industry and to interact with the Kentucky 14. Grape and Wine Council.

We recommend a tiered permitting cost for companies register-16. ing crop protective agents used only on specialty crops.

18

19.

20.

FORAGE CROPS

We urge the Agricultural Development Board to maintain 21. 22. the existing forage-related County Agricultural Investment Program, 23. and expand these programs to address the needs of Kentucky 24. agriculture.

25. We support the Kentucky Forage and Grassland Council in an 26. effort to improve forage production on farms.

We commend the University of Kentucky and the USDA 28. Agricultural Research Service Forage-Animal Production Research 29. Unit (FAPRU) in Lexington for establishing and maintaining an 30. internationally recognized forage program. We support funding for 31. continued research and extension in the establishment, production. 32. storage, and marketing of forage crops in Kentucky.

We support all Kentucky livestock and forage-related events, 34. such as the Kentucky Grazing schools, the Kentucky Grazing 35. Conference and the Kentucky Alfalfa and Stored Forage Conference.

We recommend that the regulatory services, seed companies 38. and dealers monitor seeds and inoculant supplies in Kentucky to 39. ensure that quality products are available.

We recommend that Kentucky Department of Agriculture funding 41. be increased to maintain and improve forage testing.

We encourage farmers who raise forage either for sale or their 43. own use to use the forage testing facilities at the Kentucky 44. Department of Agriculture to monitor the quality of their forage.

We favor the continuance of upgrading and tightening hay 46. grading standards.

We recommend that forage crops be maintained at maximum 48. levels to conserve soil and preserve water.

We urge farmers to consider the use of alfalfa and other forage 49. 50. crops as a means of increasing income and reducing soil erosion.

We urge continued research and development on the use of 52. forage crops for alternative fuels.

We recommend that the Kentucky Department of Agriculture 54. explore the possibility of harvesting forages from public lands and 55. rights-of-way.

We recommend efforts to increase the use of forage crops as a 57. biofuel source.

We support the availability of crop insurance for all forage crops
 and pasture.

We support the research, production, processing, commercial ization, marketing, and utilization of industrial hemp and that it be
 regulated by USDA rather than the Drug Enforcement
 Administration (DEA).

7.

8.

9.

10.

TOBACCO

We recommend Kentucky Farm Bureau continue leading a
 coalition to speak with one voice as we address post-buyout issues
 in the tobacco industry.

For continuity with growers and companies, we encourage
 multi-year contracts. We encourage tobacco companies announce
 contract intentions by February 1.

We support exploring the possibility of establishing a national
 checkoff for U.S. Tobacco Export Promotion.

We believe that all U.S. Tobacco Export Promotion Committee
 members should be active tobacco producers.

We support a marketing initiative for tobacco not sold under
 contract and for other excess tobacco.

We recommend that all tobacco be reported on Form 578 to the
 Farm Service Agency.

We support continuing availability of crop insurance for tobacco
 including fields with an acceptable crop rotation management plan.
 We also support allowing tobacco to be fully insurable in sod
 ground.

We support a two-tiered crop insurance program for tobacco; the
 base rate being available for all tobacco with a second tier buy-up
 level for tobacco grown under contract from a company GAP
 member in good standing.

We support the final planting date for dark tobacco be moved to
 July 1.

We support final harvest date of October 10 for burley tobacco
 and October 20 for dark tobacco in Kentucky for crop insurance
 purposes.

We support any tobacco graded as no value and receiving an
 insurance adjustment being required to be destroyed and destruction witnessed by a representative of the insurance company.

We support the USDA tobacco advisory committee, or a similar
 committee representing the tobacco industry being established to
 represent the new issues facing growers.

44. Because we encourage tobacco companies to limit the use of
45. foreign grown tobacco, we believe that cigarette packs should
46. contain information regarding the percentage of the geographic
47. content by country of the tobacco in the pack.

48. We support the use of peer-reviewed, sound science, as the 49. basis for any regulatory decision.

FDA regulation of tobacco should be limited to processing and
 distribution

We support a Kentucky tobacco grower being placed on any FDAAdvisory Boards for developing tobacco regulations.

54. We oppose FDA or any other federal agency regulating tobacco 55. products in a manner that could mandate modifications to 56. components which naturally occur in tobacco leaf used in

conventional tobacco products.

We oppose the banning of flavorings in tobacco products by any
 agency

We encourage the FDA to be more transparent and to inform the
 general public, especially tobacco producers, of upcoming meetings
 and agendas, and to accept public comments from all interested
 parties.

We believe that smokeless tobacco products should be treated
 differently in FDA regulations than smoking products.

We support smoking policies that accommodate the rights of
 smokers and nonsmokers. We support current state law restricting
 the sale of tobacco products to minors, but we oppose delegating
 this authority to local governments. While we oppose a ban on
 tobacco advertising or other restrictions on free access to tobacco
 products for those of a legal age, we recommend that Kentucky
 Farm Bureau work with allied organizations to curb youth smoking.

In order to protect the important tobacco industry of Kentucky, we
 encourage elected officials to oppose any regulation or tax on
 tobacco products that would put Kentucky at a competitive
 disadvantage with surrounding states.

We oppose ad valorem taxes on tobacco products.

20.

We oppose the sale of e-cigarettes and vapor products to
 minors, and recommend liquid nicotine products be packaged in
 childproof containers.

We encourage that all substances or ingredients in e-cigarettes
 or vapor products fall under the same regulatory oversight as
 domestic or imported tobacco.

We support the concept of inspection, labeling, regulation and
 taxation of vapor products.

We urge continued exploration and development of market
 outlets and new uses for tobacco and we recommend that a
 coalition of tobacco organizations employ a marketing specialist to
 sell tobacco in potential markets.

We are opposed to federal lawsuits brought by the Department
 of Justice against tobacco manufacturers.

We request that tobacco be graded uniformly.

Recognizing that tobacco is a legal crop, we support USDA
 collecting data and issuing reports on tobacco acreage, production,
 and prices received by tobacco type. We also support authorizing
 the Economic Research Service and Foreign Agricultural Service
 (FAS) to collect data and provide market analysis on tobacco.

We support the funds necessary to maintain the Tobacco
 Research and Development Center at an adequate level to carry
 out their purpose. We urge the Tobacco Research Board to
 continue to carry out an effective program with adequate revenue
 provided for this purpose.

46. We support procedures being established to prevent 47. GMO tobacco from being commingled with, or contaminating 48. traditional tobacco.

49. We ask all burley producers to join in the checkoff fund.

50. We recommend that the University of Kentucky continue 51. to develop mechanical methods for the production, harvesting, 52. and curing of tobacco, develop disease resistant varieties, and 53. continue their work with herbicides, insecticides, fungicides 54. and nitrosamines.

55. We support research and education to help farmers comply with 56. new contract requirements as a result of FDA regulations.

57. We support universal Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) training.

We recommend all tobacco producers participate in a GAP certification program.

We support GAP certification training requirements be good for 4. three years rather than requiring annual training.

We oppose GAP fees or assessments being the responsibility of 5. 6. the grower.

We recommend that GAP compliance must contribute to a 7. 8. tobacco growers improved financial sustainability.

9. We continue to support efforts to restrict and eliminate blue mold 10. including spraying of tobacco transplants with preventive fungicides 11. by plant producers. Producers should then certify their plants as 12. having been sprayed. We also recommend labeling of effective 13. chemicals for use in tobacco greenhouses.

14. We recommend Farm Bureau work with the Extension Service, 15. the Kentucky Department of Agriculture, and the tobacco 16. companies to develop an informational campaign to promote use 17. of locally grown plants to prevent the spread of blue mold from out-18. of-state plants.

We recommend the Kentucky Agricultural Statistics Service 20. include more detailed reports on tobacco which should be broken 21. down to specific types.

19

25.

27

28.

29.

30.

44.

50.

57.

We recommend that Farm Bureau work toward getting the Risk 23. Management Agency (RMA) to continue to insure dark tobacco in 24. the barns as they have in the past.

We support tobacco being included in a Disaster Title of the 26. Farm Bill.

TOBACCO SETTLEMENT FUNDS

31. We recommend that the Agriculture Development Board funding 32. (50% of Master Settlement Agreement Phase I) and structure 33. be made a permanent part of state government and the 34. current, operational structure remain in the Governor's Office of 35. Agricultural Policy.

We support more dollars of Ag Development Funds be spent for 37. the purpose of improving the net farm income of individual farmers 38. in production agriculture.

39. We recommend restoring and maintaining funding for existing 40. agricultural programs and services through the General Fund rather 41. than using Master Settlement Agreement funds.

42. We support the creation of tobacco projects in the County 43. Agricultural Investment Program.

We recommend that any Tobacco Settlement funds above the 45. agriculture community's request that are directed toward youth smoking programs be broadened to include alcohol and drugs and 47. be structured with sufficient safeguards and accountability.

We support the Kentucky Ag Finance Corporation Infrastructure 49. Loan Program and the Beginning Farmer Loan Program.

We recommend no changes in the Master Settlement 51. Agreement and encourage the state of Kentucky to leave the 52. settlement "as is."

We request the debt service for state projects be taken from the 54. General Fund rather than from the Agricultural Development Funds. 55.

We support the need for increased funding for the rapidly 56. expanding horticulture industry as Tobacco Settlement Funds 1. decrease and recommend the General Assembly finance these 2. programs with General Fund dollars. 3.

4.

5.

6.

COMMODITY PROMOTION

7. We recognize the right of producers to promote increased 8. research, sales and consumption of the commodities they produce and to work together as a group to promote Kentucky agriculture. 10. Therefore, we support the promotional activities of the following 11. Kentucky commodity groups: Corn Growers Association, Soybean 12. Association, Cattlemen's Association, American Dairy Association, 13. Egg Council, Poultry Federation, Pork Producers, Council for Burley 14. Tobacco, Small Grain Growers, Kentucky Aquaculture Association, 15. Kentucky Sheep and Wool Producers, Kentucky Forest Industries 16. Association, Sweet Sorghum Producers and Processors 17. Association, the Kentucky Forage and Grassland Council, the 18. Kentucky Goat Producers Association, the Kentucky Sheep and Goat Development Office, the Kentucky Dairy Development 20. Council, the Kentucky Horticulture Council, and its member 21. associations, the Kentucky Grape and Wine Council, the Kentucky 22. Alternative Livestock Association, the Organic Association of 23. Kentucky, the Kentucky Farmers Market Association, the Kentucky Agritourism Council, the equine industry and the Kentucky State Beekeepers Association.

We recommend the Governor's office engage in a multitude of 27. collaborative efforts with the Commissioner of Agriculture and Kentucky Farm Bureau on international trade.

We support legislation to authorize any new commodity 30. promotion program if the legislation provides:

- (1) For mandatory participation with a referendum to be held 32. before initiation of the program;
- 33. (2) For a referendum to be valid a majority of votes cast must be 34. affirmative;
- 35. (3) For periodic review and referenda to determine continuation 36. or termination of the program upon petition of 10 percent of the registered producers in the area covered by the program;
- (4) That collected funds shall be used only for promotion, 39. research, education, and market development as directed by the 40. growers and producers of these products; and
- (5) That only those persons who contribute monies to the 42. respective programs shall be eligible to serve on the boards which 43. administer such programs.

44. We recommend a refund option for all checkoff programs.

45. We recommend checkoffs be a percentage of net sales, instead 46. of a set rate per head, bushel, or pound with the exception of the 47. current cattle and cotton checkoffs, and information should be made available at the point of sale regarding refunds.

Opportunities should be offered to producers with existing 50. promotional programs to approve changes in operation and 51. administration under the guidelines of the existing legislation in order that the declared policy of such legislation may be carried out.

Any commission or body created under an agricultural 54. commodity promotion program should be required to provide 55. complete accountability to its producers of the expenditure of 56. funds collected from them, including funds released to any 57.

agricultural organization, public agency or private firm for promotion
 or research purposes.

We recommend that the Council for Burley Tobacco strive to
 include all burley tobacco producing states in the burley checkoff.

We support the national program for soybean product promotion
 and research as established by the Food, Agriculture, Conservation
 and Trade Act of 1990.

8.

9.

10.

11.

36.

37.

38.

FOOD QUALITY AND SAFETY

The American food supply is the safest and most abundant in the
world. Agricultural chemicals and other technological advances play
a major role in maintaining both the quality and quantity of our food
supply.

16. We support legislative and regulatory decisions concerning 17. food irradiation that are based on valid research. We support 18. irradiation of food products to control harmful bacteria and 19. pathogens, to extend shelf-life and to reduce dependency on 20. post-harvest treatments.

21. We will monitor initiatives to improve and streamline food safety22. to ensure that policies and procedures are in place that build trust23. and reliability in Kentucky Agriculture.

24. We recommend that EPA use an accepted scientific approach25. (when labeling or relabeling) in formulating and regulating26. chemicals under the Food Quality Protection Act.

We support educating all food handlers on the proper preparation,cooking and serving of food products, including sanitary practicesrequired by state licensing procedures.

We recommend that nitrates continue to be used as a meat
 preservative unless it is proved beyond doubt that the continued use
 of nitrates will be harmful to human health.

We support the state of Kentucky's adoption of the current food
 codes for all food and convenience stores.

BIOTECHNOLOGY

We support the investment required to make Kentucky a world
 leader in agricultural biotechnology including: recruitment and
 incentive programs for new biotechnology industry, and further
 development of world class facilities and research faculty in the
 Colleges of Agriculture.

44. We support the expanded use of agricultural products for 45. medical purposes by the biotechnology industry.

46. We support the use of genetically modified organisms (GMO) as 47. a viable option for agriculture production. We believe that current 48. federal approval procedures establish the safety of marketable 49. GMO products and that these approval procedures are stringent 50. and scientifically based.

We support research and establishment of internationally
 accepted levels/percentages for GMO and non-GMO agricultural
 content.

54. We oppose the production of any GMO product or crop that is not
 55. federally approved for human consumption with the exception of
 56. crops grown for medicinal or research purposes.

We oppose individual states establishing separate policies on
 GMO labeling.

3. We oppose the federal government's work and promotion of the 4. terminator gene in seed research.

We recommend that the term genetically modified organisms
 (GMO) be changed to genetically enhanced organisms (GEO).

We support the use of underground facilities for GMO research.

MARKETING AND CONTRACTING

10.

11.

We support activities and proposals that will bring about
 increased efficiency in the marketing of agricultural products,
 domestically and internationally. We encourage all Kentucky stock yard facilities to incorporate video auctions during livestock sales.
 We recommend close investigation of all mergers, ownership
 changes and other trends in the livestock marketing industry for
 actions that limit competitive marketing for livestock producers.

We favor a value based marketing system that allows farmers
 the flexibility to be legally engaged in value and volume based
 marketing agreements or contracts.

We encourage producers to seek value-added sales by
 networking with other producers, processors, and retailers to
 improve net farm income.

We support expanding the Kentucky Department of Agriculture'sOffice of Marketing and Product Promotion.

We urge county Farm Bureaus to support programs offered
 by Kentucky Farm Bureau such as the chemical program, Florida
 fruit program, beef mineral program, and the Certified Roadside
 Farm Market program.

We recommend the Kentucky Department of Agriculture offer
 guidance, information, and financial aid to producers in developing
 cooperative markets for farm products.

We recommend adequate funding for marketing promotion of all
 Kentucky agricultural products.

36. We encourage the utilization of Kentucky agriculture products by37. state and county agencies, state funded institutions, public schools38. and publicly funded projects whenever possible. We also encourage39. these institutions to contract with local growers when feasible.

We support the use of more U.S. red meat, poultry, and fish, as
 well as other farm products in the school lunch program.

42. We support research to find ways to help small meat processors43. lower their costs of production to facilitate the growth of Kentucky's44. direct meat marketing enterprises.

45. We recommend that Kentucky Department of Agriculture funding46. be increased to maintain and improve forage testing and marketing.

47. We recommend that a statewide wholesale marketing 48. infrastructure be continued and expanded to include the research 49. and development of wholesale marketing, packaging, and process-50. ing efforts for Kentucky-grown horticulture products.

We recommend continued cooperation between the Kentucky
 Department of Agriculture, the Cabinet for Economic Development,
 the Tourism, Arts and Heritage Cabinet, and the Agricultural
 Development Board in an attempt to promote farmer and roadside
 markets for the promotion of local grown products in the state with
 the ultimate goal of having at least one farmer market per county.

57. We support a prompt pay law to protect Kentucky growers.

We support legislation protecting agricultural producers in the 2. event of non-payment for their products.

We support all state and national efforts to help veterans 4. transition to the agricultural industry.

We encourage producers and processors to use a positive 6. approach in identifying their products in Kentucky or the U.S., such 7. as the Kentucky Proud program.

We support the Kentucky Department of Agriculture's campaign 9. for promotion of agricultural products, like the Kentucky Proud, 10. Homegrown by Heroes and Appalachia Proud.

We recommend continued funding and support for the Kentucky 12. Center for Agriculture and Rural Development and its activities.

We oppose any legislation that would adversely affect the ability 14. of farm wineries and small wineries to produce income for the 15. farm family.

We recommend that Kentucky Farm Bureau, the Kentucky 17. Department of Agriculture, the University of Kentucky, and Kentucky 18. State University work to expand internet marketing programs which 19. provide listings of available products and services for agriculture 20. commodities.

We recommend strengthening labeling and policing at the retail 22. level to maintain the integrity of products certified as organic.

We support legislation that would prohibit the misrepresentation 24. of a product as meat that is not derived from harvested production 25. of livestock, poultry or aquaculture.

DAMS AND LOCKS

28

29.

30.

We recommend that agencies funding watershed projects, 31. including PL566 and PL534 projects and pilot projects, be held 32. responsible for the upgrading of existing structures above regular 33. operation and maintenance. We support sponsoring entities' efforts 34. to maintain watershed structures.

We encourage USDA to allow landowners who have wetlands 36. that have been created by dams that were built under PL566 and 37. PL534 projects be allowed to enter them into the Wetlands Conservation Program.

39. We support finding common sense, least cost alternatives for 40. resolving hazardous dams classification issues.

We support reducing matching fund levels, and allowing for 42. in-kind contributions from local entities, to maintain state and 43. federal dams.

We recommend that flood control or retarding structures be 44 45. developed as multi-purpose facilities where feasible. In addition to 46. flood control, they should provide benefits such as municipal and 47. industrial water storage, recreational and agricultural development, 48. and/or for the purpose of generating power, etc.

We encourage the Division of Water to work with the 50. water conservancy districts and other appropriate authorities to 51. address the funding and development of emergency action plans 52. for high hazard dams that could impact life or property of 53. adjoining landowners.

We believe the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Kentucky 55. River Authority should give more consideration to the agricultural 56. land and stream bank erosion below their dams by monitoring land

1. and water conditions below these structures and by adjusting release rates and times

We oppose the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers charging fees to 4. water utilities for water storage, for water withdrawal based on the Corps' loss of revenue, for annual operation and maintenance costs. and for percentage of any major dam repairs.

We strongly support the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the 8. Kentucky River Authority's efforts to properly repair and bring up to 9. standard the dams and feasible locks on all Kentucky rivers, and 10. further encourage these entities to then maintain and operate the 11. locks and dams on all Kentucky rivers for the benefit of the people 12. of the Commonwealth of Kentucky.

We recommend those lakes controlled by the U.S. Army Corps 14. of Engineers be maintained for their primary purpose of flood 15. control, with special consideration given to agricultural activities.

We support an increase in the Kentucky Riverport Improvement Program and/or adequate funding to maintain riverports.

WATER RESEARCH

We encourage the Kentucky Soil and Water Conservation 23. Commission, local conservation districts and farm bureau to participate 24. in developing water quality regulations. We request state government to 25. include agricultural representation in the process of developing water 26. quality regulations to include, but not limited to TMDLs.

Classifications of the surface and ground waters of the 28. Commonwealth should be based on documented water quality and 29. quantity data. A state program should consider factors such as the 30. cost of pollution abatement, the needs of agriculture, the needs for 31. growth and the presence of naturally occurring substances.

32. Solving of difficult problems caused by the intrusion of naturally 33. occurring substances should not be at the expense of the estab-34. lished water users, including agriculture.

We support the Kentucky Agriculture Water Quality Act and the 36. Kentucky Water Resources Board. We support research on the 37. BMPs established in the state water quality plan. We support 38. producer development, implementation, and updating of individual 39. agriculture water quality plans.

We encourage producers to have their plan certified by a 41. qualified party, and to file their self-certifications and/or plan with the 42. local conservation district.

43. We support voluntary watershed awareness efforts. We recom-44. mend local communities take an active role in watershed research, 45. education and assessment. We support the use of agricultural 46. water quality plans and best management practices to address 47. water quality issues, using a targeted watershed approach.

Using accurate water quality monitoring, water use needs should 49. be addressed through research on the following:

- (1) The status of surface and groundwater quality in Kentucky;
- (2) The impacts of agriculture on water quality in Kentucky;
- 52. (3) The economics and maintenance of water supply structures 53. and transport mechanisms; and
- (4) The location, quantity and hydrology of groundwater 55. and springs.

56. 57.

18.

19.

20.

21.

We support the Energy & Environment Cabinet's efforts to review 2. all impaired streams and reassess their designation based on 3. actual scientific data.

We encourage the Agriculture Water Quality Authority (AWQA) 5, to coordinate research among the agencies and evaluate water 6. quality protection models.

We support collaborative efforts to conduct water resource 8. research, monitoring and education efforts by state and federal agencies and the universities.

We support United States Geological Survey (USGS) efforts 11. to collect data on Kentucky's major waterways that contribute to 12. the Mississippi River Basin. We encourage adequate funding 13. be allocated to continue maintenance and operation of USGS 14. super gages.

We oppose any voluntary water quality data being used as the 15. 16. sole source on which regulatory decisions are based.

We recommend the Division of Water use appropriate, applica-18. ble and scientifically defensible data when setting TMDLs.

We recommend the Kentucky Cabinet for Health Services 20. conduct research on sewage treatment systems and develop 21. low-cost alternatives for areas where septic tanks are inefficient.

22.

23.

24

25.

26.

27

56.

57.

WATER DISTRICTS/ASSOCIATIONS

When water districts/associations are established consideration 28. should be given to the communities' future needs, including 29. sufficient facilities to serve all people in the area, adequately 30. sized lines for fire protection, and sufficient infrastructure to 31. accommodate industry and agriculture.

We oppose any fee for water holding/storage by the U.S. Army

We recommend that water districts make a wholesale rate 35. available for agricultural uses that exceeds 50,000 gallons 36. per month.

We encourage local and state legislation that mandates 38. eliminating sewer charges on water used in production agriculture 39. that is never returned to a municipal system. The agricultural 40. definition should include horticulture production including green-41. houses and nurseries.

We recommend that costs for developing sewer lines be 43. established relative to each tap-on. We oppose sewer line 44. development costs being pro-rated on a footage basis as deter-45. mined by length of line on the individual's property. Landowners in 46. Agricultural Districts shall pay the cost of service connections from 47. the water line extension and the one unit share for each service 48. connection to the farming operation.

We recommend that any city, town or village that has a 50. central water supply system also have a feasible plan for 51. sewage disposal that meets state approved health standards and passes inspections.

We oppose mandatory sewer hook-ups for farmsteads beyond 54. the city limits that have an existing and functioning septic system. 55.

WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

3. State water resource policy and regulations should be based on 4. adequate scientific research data.

Agricultural waste water impoundments and groundwater should 6. be monitored only for demonstration, research projects, or 7. individual water quality plans.

We support state and federal legislation that specifically allows a 9. farmer to spread manure on fields at acceptable rates using the 10. most cost effective methods without it being considered a point source discharge under the Kentucky Pollution Discharge Elimination System of the Division of Water and the federal Clean 13. Water Act.

We support the Energy & Environment Cabinet's control over the 15. issuing of permits for domestic and industrial spreading of waste.

We urge the Governor and the Energy & Environment Cabinet to 17. continue the development and implementation of a comprehensive 18. water resources development plan while giving due consideration to 19. land use planning efforts and future water needs of the state and to 20. assist local government in implementing a water and sewer 21. resource plan in their own community. We urge farmer participation 22. in the water resources planning process and request an agri-23. cultural representative on all watershed basin teams.

We recommend that the Division of Water monitor and inform 25. local communities as to the status of their water resources and be 26. available to provide necessary guidance in the development of an 27. emergency plan. We recommend that during a declaration of a 28. water emergency that water for livestock be listed as a high priority 29. and that water remain available to producers in order to prevent 30. livestock owners from liquidating their herds. We support research, 31. cost-share, technical assistance, and further development of 32. existing programs for on-farm water supplies including irrigation 33. purposes. We support USDA modifying existing cost-share 34. programs to allow for NRCS technical assistance in assessing the 35. long-term availability of water resources and the planning and 36. development of new on-farm water supplies and irrigation systems. 37. We also recommend that during a water emergency, water for com-38. mercial producers of horticulture crops be listed as high priority, and 39. that water remain available to them.

In order to prevent future water supply issues, we believe human 41. consumption and agricultural usage needs should take precedence 42. over environmental issues when creating new or renovating existing 43. water resources.

We encourage Kentucky Farm Bureau to aggressively pursue 45. opportunities to increase water availability for farms and rural 46. communities.

We encourage the use of backflow devices on water supplies. 47.

48. We oppose state regulations on wild and scenic rivers that are 49. more stringent than federal protections.

We support moving Clean Water Act Section 319 Non-Point 51. Source Program for agriculture and light construction to the 52. Division of Conservation and silviculture to the Division of Forestry.

We support the Kentucky Water Resources Board and its coor-54. dinated effort to manage water resource projects and programs.

We support the University of Kentucky Grain and Forage Center 56. of Excellence concept and coordinated research efforts on water

57. resource development and irrigation efficiencies.

We support increased monitoring of Kentucky's water resources
 to observe both quantity and quality of these resources.

We support further expansion of the Kentucky Mesonet Program.
 We recommend the Kentucky Drought Mitigation and Response
 Plan be updated to include a specific "Agriculture Drought
 Mitigation" section. We recommend that in addition to the Kentucky
 Department of Agriculture that the USDA Farm Service Agency and
 a representative of the farming community be represented on the
 Kentucky Drought Mitigation Team.

We support the development of tax incentives for irrigation and
 livestock systems development.

12

13.

14.

15. 16.

CONSERVATION PROGRAM

We support the Division of Conservation staying a stand-alone
 agency.

We recommend the Division of Conservation continue to assist
 conservation districts with financial oversight and preparation for
 audits.

21. We support the bipartisan Kentucky Soil and Water Conservation 22. Commission's authority to employ necessary state personnel 23. and develop appropriate state policy to carry out the state's 24. conservation program. We urge that the federal, state and local 25. units of government provide adequate funds to maintain a sound 26. program, and appropriate technical assistance to implement the 27. program, for the local conservation districts. Conservation districts 28. and watershed conservancy districts should be administered by 29. local elected supervisors and officials.

30. We value partnerships that work together in promoting sound31. resource conservation practices, and we support increased efforts32. in planning and implementing such activities.

We support at least one full-time NRCS employee being
 available as a point of contact at all times in each district.

We support increased funding for professional NRCS staff at the
 state and county level to assist producers with state and federal
 conservation programs in a more timely manner.

38. Conservation programs should be targeted to productive 39. working farmland. We oppose the use of federal conservation 40. practices on land that is in the process of being developed for non-41. agricultural use.

42. We support the original concept of a Conservation Reserve 43. Program (CRP) to improve soil and water resources and 44. secondarily, to provide wildlife habitat.

Contracts for new and renewal acres enrolled in the program
 should take into consideration provisions for highly erodible
 farmland and land retired for water quality programs.

48. We support the original soil concept of the CRP and CREP 49. program to improve soil and water resources. We highly 50. recommend that this be restricted to highly erodible land or land 51, that is not prime row cropland.

We support automatic re-enrollment of CRP acres that offer soil,
 water, and wildlife benefits.

We support agricultural districts and recommend the Agricultural
 District and Conservation Act expand its protections for agricultural
 lands, as it relates to sewer line extensions. We also support
 changes to the act to include smaller farms.

We support tax breaks for farmers who practice environmentally
 sound soil conservation techniques.

We favor legislation, if necessary, to prevent the dissolution of
 watershed conservancy districts, as long as contractual
 commitments exist. We favor keeping all county conservation dis trict offices open and encourage cooperative efforts by the Division
 of Conservation, NRCS and FSA to maintain these services.

We support FSA and NRCS retaining the administrative function
 over the programs they currently administer in the Farm Bill.

We support training programs on the proper organization of
 facilities, fences, waterers, manure management, etc. to create a
 functional farmstead plan.

We support cost-share dollars for animal feeding structures.

We support conservation districts' efforts to increase funding to
 state cost-share back to \$9 million each year in the state budget.

16. We support continuation of General Fund dollars and Kentucky
17. Department of Agriculture dollars to the Kentucky Soil Erosion and
18. Water Quality Cost-Share Program administered by the State
19. Division of Conservation through local conservation districts to help
20. farmers install Best Management Practices (BMPs) to meet the
21. requirements of the Kentucky Agriculture Water Quality Act. We
22. support an increase in direct aid to conservation districts for office
23. support and technical assistance for implementation of the
24. Agriculture Water Quality Act. We support a groundwater protection
25. program based on pollution prevention through the use of BMPs.

We support continued cooperative efforts between the Soil
 and Water Commission and the Kentucky Department of Fish
 and Wildlife with the Revolving Loan Fund to purchase conservation
 equipment. We also support the use of Revolving Loan Funds to
 purchase conservation infrastructure.

We recommend the use of NRCS funds to aid in the
 maintenance of streams and waterways such as eliminating debris
 in order to prevent flooding.

We support the soil mapping program and request continued
 funding for upgrades which will make the soil maps and interpreta tions available through modern technology, particularly Geographic
 Information Systems (GIS) and Global Positioning Systems (GPS).

We support increased funding for existing farm construction of
 facilities that meet the state requirements for long-term manure
 storage. We recommend that end users of animal waste and poul try litter be eligible for cost-share funding to build storage facilities.

ALTERNATIVE FARMING METHODS

We support all alternative methods of farming, whether livestock,
 aquaculture or crops, being solely regulated by the Kentucky
 Department of Agriculture.

We support methods of farming that result in:

A profit for the farm operator;

42

43.

44.

45.

50.

- (2) Enhancement of environmental quality and our natural
 resource base; and
- 54. (3) The production of safe food, feed and fiber.

55. We are keenly aware that the means to accomplish these ends 56. may vary from farm operation to farm operation and that no single 57. method of farming will work with every operator.

We support:

- (1) Research aimed at reducing overall inputs needed to sustain 3. profitable farming operations;
- 4. (2) Efforts to provide information to farmers on proven means of 5. improving the efficiency of inputs;
- (3) Efforts to assist agri-tourism and non-traditional farming 7. enterprises particularly in obtaining affordable insurance coverage; 8. and
- 9. (4) Efforts to encourage use of bird boxes and bat houses to 10. increase natural controls of harmful insect pests.

We oppose:

11.

12.

13.

21.

22.

23.

24.

30.

38.

46.

47.

48.

49.

54.

- (1) Any attempt to mandate low input methods of farming; and
- (2) Requiring low input methods as a condition of participation in 14. government farm programs.

We support farmers of privately owned farm raised cervids 16. defined as livestock to be solely regulated by the Kentucky 17. Department of Agriculture. We support removal of the current total 18. ban on cervid importation into the state, and recommend restoration 19. of cervid importation following the Kentucky Chronic Wasting Disease guidelines as regulated by the state veterinarian.

WETLANDS

We recommend that tax credits be made available for wetlands 26. preservation. We are opposed to farmers being forced to pay 27. additional taxes for wetlands preservation. However, if a state fund 28. is created for wetlands preservation, we oppose the funds being 29. used with the power of eminent domain.

We strongly support the normal farming practices exemption.

31. We support compensation for the lost use of privately-owned 32. land due to wetlands delineation.

We support congressional efforts to address the inconsistent 34. interpretations of jurisdictional waters pursuant to Section 404 of 35. the Clean Water Act by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. We sup-36. port limiting the Corps' jurisdiction to the rivers and navigable water 37. resources of the United States.

We recommend establishing a process of re-evaluation of 39. wetland determinations by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

40. We recommend that logging access roads be removed by the 41. logger when logging is completed in wetlands.

42. We support the NRCS as the lead agency for agricultural 43. wetland determinations.

44. We support the Wetland Reserve Program and use of 45. mitigation banks.

STREAM RESTORATION

We oppose legislation, regulations or any actions that result in 51. hampering the appropriate application and maintenance of levees, 52. stream channels, and banks, including drainage and maintenance 53. of prior converted wetlands.

We support agencies involved in regulating waterways on private 55. property be able to ease regulations in times of disaster so that 56. landowners may effectively clean or clear waterways on their 57. property.

We support the landowner's right to manage, maintain and repair 2. existing private dikes and levees.

Normal farming practices should be exempt from permits or certification requirements.

We recommend landowners be able to remove log jams in 6. existing streams in order to prevent or correct soil erosion, crop loss 7. and changes in normal flow of existing streams. Removal should be 8, accomplished through practical means under advisement from 9. conservation officials in a timely manner and without fear of 10. repercussions or fines by government agencies. We also support 11. allowing state equipment to be used to help unclog creeks 12 and streams.

We recommend state and local governments clean and maintain 13. 14. ditches, culverts, and bridges on public rights-of-way to allow for 15. adequate water flow. 16.

17.

18.

19.

50.

51.

52

UTILITY EASEMENTS

All utilities should adhere to their contracts of easements as 20. 21. stated in their original agreement. We will work with soil and water 22. conservation organizations in studying erosion problems and if 23. necessary initiate legislation to solve them.

We recommend where possible new power transmission lines 24. 25. utilize existing easements and any new construction be done in a 26. way that will minimize the effect on agricultural activities.

We encourage utility and pipeline companies to hold public 28. informational meetings prior to negotiating with property owners for 29. easement rights or starting a new project that would impact multiple 30. landowners.

31. We support efforts to confine utility easements that interfere with farming practices to property lines where feasible.

We support utility companies or their subcontractors providing 34. notification prior to entering private agriculture property to perform 35. routine maintenance.

When trees are being trimmed on utility rights-of-way we support 37. that the whole tree be trimmed or removed whichever is more

We recommend that all commercial underground utility lines be 39. 40. marked and identified by the owner of the utility.

Since lands taken through easement by utility companies or 42. other deed restrictions are restricted from production, they should 43. be withdrawn from the tax rolls.

All subleasing of utility easements should require landowners' 44. 45, consent and compensation.

We urge utility companies to use selective herbicides that will not 47. harm cool season grasses to help control erosion when spraying 48. rights-of-way. 49.

UTILITY LINES AND PIPELINES

53. We favor legislation requiring all who construct underground 54. lines in Kentucky to:

55. (1) Lay all utility lines and pipelines at such depth that the top of 56. the line shall be at least 30 inches beneath the surface of the

- 1. ground, or the road, whichever is lower, and be checked, mapped
- (2) Prepare a diagram showing the location of all utility lines;
 - (3) Replace rocks below plow depth;

4.

17.

40.

45.

46.

47.

48.

52.

54.

- 5. (4) Place back the top soil to plow depth and re-establish 6. adequate vegetative cover;
- (5) Leave cropland in tillable condition;
- (6) Leave in first-class condition drainage systems disrupted 9. by utility lines and pipeline construction; and in cases where 10. disagreements as to what constitutes first-class condition 11. develops, the final decision should be left to the local or nearest 12. conservation district;
- (7) Adequately compensate property owners for property taken 14, and for damages to remaining property and any subsequent 15. damage thereafter;
 - (8) Salvage marketable timber that is economically feasible; and
 - (9) Be a member of Kentucky811.

We recommend prohibiting utility companies from wire flag 19. use and recommend they remove and dispense of all discarded 20. materials.

The multi-line rights-of-way agreement, which cheats farmers out 22, of income from pipelines and utility lines, should be eliminated. 23. Property owners should be paid for the damage to the property 24. as a whole rather than the immediate area used by the pipe or 25. utility lines.

We urge strict enforcement of the National Electrical Safety 27. Code pertaining to minimum height requirements for utility and 28. telecommunication lines.

The oil and gas industry should be held to the same standard 30. regarding landowners as the coal industry, in that all oil and gas 31. activities including roadways and pipelines should be permitted, 32. bonded, and placed under the Energy and Environment Cabinet 33. requiring quarterly inspections and enforcement for violations 34. including civil penalties. Landowner consent must be obtained 35. before any permits are issued.

Soil compaction should be considered in any damage claim from 37. construction of a power line or utility. The full costs of removing 38. such compaction and weed control should be covered at local equipment rental rates.

We support the appropriate federal and state agencies be given 41. the authority to develop and implement regulations governing the 42. natural gas liquid pipelines being proposed in Kentucky. 43. Repurposing of existing lines should also be included under these 44. regulations.

MINING

We strongly support the coal industry because it plays such a 50. critical role in Kentucky's economy.

We support funding research to develop alternative uses of coal. We urge strict enforcement of Kentucky's Surface Mining Control 53. and Reclamation Act.

We support coal mine safety standards that protect Kentucky 55. miners.

We recommend that provisions be made in strip mine regulations 57. for salvaging marketable timber. We encourage the development of 1. markets for pulpwood and other small materials removed in the strip

We oppose uneconomical, unreasonable requirements to return 4. strip-mined land to its original contour when such restoration will not 5. return it to its most productive use.

We support investments in research and promotion of ag crops 7. and commodities that can be produced on reclaimed mine land.

We support a common sense approach to regulating mountain 9. top removal of coal which recognizes the agricultural and economic development opportunities it can create.

We support incentive programs, including an early bond release 11. 12. for reclaimed coal mines to be used for agriculture.

13. We support mining reclamation for agricultural purposes.

We are concerned that the Longwall method of mining can be 14. 15. destructive to agricultural land. Therefore, we recommend better 16. enforcement of all mining laws and regulations to protect prime farmland from further destruction.

We urge the state to change the way it determines prime 18. 19. farmland by using soil analysis rather than historical use.

We support the rural abandoned mine program and the 21. abandoned mine lands program and encourage the government to 22. release the state's full allocation of fees collected from mining 23. operations for the reclaiming of abandoned mines.

24. We support reforestation of surface mined land on non-25. compacted soils using native species.

We support regulatory changes that allow environmentally 26. 27. protective and efficient mining of previously mined areas.

We support agencies involved in regulating waterways on 29. private property be able to ease regulations in times of disaster 30, so that landowners may effectively clean or clear waterways on 31. their property.

32 We urge the Kentucky courts and the General Assembly to 33. clarify that coal bed methane is the same as gas found in oil and oil 34. producing zones and is the property of the gas owner. Due to the 35. unknown value and marketability of this gas, owners should be 36. exempt from unmined or unproduced mineral tax.

We support establishing a limited Sand and Gravel Operation 37. 38. Permit for off-farm commercial operations.

39.

41.

42

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

43. We support reasonable environmental protection programs, 44. including air quality protection programs that are based on sound 45. science. We are increasingly fearful of an over-reaction by some 46. preservation groups to the extent that sound farming practices may 47. be jeopardized and farmers cannot economically produce American 48. grown food and fiber to feed and clothe the American population. 49. We, therefore, recommend:

(1) the Agriculture Water Quality Authority, working in 51. conjunction with the University of Kentucky College of Agriculture, 52. Food and Environment assume an active role in developing Best 53. Management Practices (BMPs) and conducting agricultural pollu-54, tion research, including analyzing the effectiveness of BMPs; and

(2) agriculture be adequately represented on all environmental 56. commissions and other entities that establish air, water, land use 57. and other environmental regulations and standards.

- 1. A consistent long-term state working lands conservation and 2. environmental policy should be pursued that would:
- (1) recognize the importance of improving agricultural productiv-4. ity, while maintaining a productive natural resource base;
- (2) ensure individual freedoms including the right to own and 6. use private property;
- (3) balance economic and social costs with real environmental 8. benefits:
- (4) encourage voluntary, local and incentive-based approaches 10. in which outcomes are well-defined, identifiable, verifiable and 11. realistic:

12.

57.

- (5) rely on market solutions, performance-based approaches, 13. and/or funding effectiveness in which outcomes are well-defined, 14. identifiable, verifiable and realistic:
- 15. (6) base decisions on sound, scientific principles and peer-16. reviewed science;
- 17. (7) recognize that education and technical assistance are key components needed to achieve conservation and environmental 19. goals and objectives;
- 20 (8) recognize farmers as stewards to the land and protectors of 21. the environment:
- (9) use agricultural water quality plans as the basis for 22. 23. environmental quality compliance; and
- (10) minimize potential loss of acres from fencing restrictions 25. adjoining waterways, creeks, ponds and lakes.
- 26. We support amending state law to give the Agriculture Water 27. Quality Authority direct oversight and approval authority of any state 28. environmental regulations and/or permit programs potentially 29. affecting agricultural operations.
- 30. We urge the Energy & Environment Cabinet to:
- 31. (1) give more attention to effective sewage treatment and solid 32. waste disposal;
- (2) develop laws and regulations pertaining to the disposal of 33. 34. agricultural waste based on sound technical data and require that 35. cost and benefit consideration be given;
- 36. (3) create a separate permit classification for on-farm livestock 37. truck washes;
- 38. (4) assist local governments in establishing programs for 39. recycling and proper disposal of items such as tires, batteries and 40. motor oils, plastic (such as hay wrappings, baler twine and 41. greenhouse covers) and Styrofoam (such as tobacco float trays); and
- (5) consult with the Agriculture Water Quality Authority in its 42. 43. quest for 404 certification jurisdiction.
- 44. We support additional oversight and auditing of the Petroleum 45. Storage Tank Assurance Fund to prevent excessive charges.
- 46. We support farmers being compensated for farming practices 47. that put carbon back into the soil.
- 48. We support the development and the voluntary implementation of 49. both Water Quality Trading Programs and Carbon Credit Trading 50. Programs.
- 51. We support the "Rinse and Return" program and the Department 52. of Agriculture's efforts in agriculture chemical collection.
- We oppose legislation that would hold a farmer or commercial 54. agriculture applicator liable for chemicals found in groundwater if 55. the chemicals were used according to label recommendations or 56. EPA standards.

- We oppose holding the current landowner responsible for the 2. actions of the previous owner. We are strongly opposed to 3. legislation extending citizen's right to sue when they are not 4. directly affected by the condition.
- We oppose the implementation of state and local policies as 6. substitutes for validly enacted laws and regulations. We oppose the 7. enactment of regulations that go beyond the intent and authority of 8. state and federal laws.
- We support the USDA-ARS research projects on forage and 10. animal manure nutrients management at the University of Kentucky 11. and Western Kentucky University, and encourage producers to 12. participate in these projects.
- We support using livestock and poultry manure nutrients as a 13. 14. viable, sound nutrient source and soil amendment option. Any 15. proposed law or regulation which would restrict a farmer's nutrient 16. management practice shall only be implemented if consistent with 17. Best Management Practices (BMPs) developed at the state level with 18. the cooperation and assistance from the land grant institutions with 19. considerations given for local conditions. Government cost-share 20. funding should be made available to producers for construction of 21. manure handling facilities to correct existing problems.
- We are opposed to any regulations which require joint liability as 23. a part of any permitting process. We support agriculture integration 24. as a viable option of farmers who voluntarily choose to partner with 25. corporate entities. We expect those who own or operate such 26. agricultural facilities to do so responsibly to ensure the protection of 27. water and air quality and quality of life for local citizens.
- We recommend a site-specific evaluation of appropriate Best 29. Management Practices for a particular livestock confinement 30. operation and site-specific interpretation of regulations.
- We support conserving Kentucky's biodiversity through 32. voluntary efforts.
- 33. The United Nations should not be given any authority or 34. regulatory power over the natural resources of the United States.
- We support discouraging the EPA from enforcing air quality 36. standards and implementing a new national ambient air quality 37. standard for particulate matter until scientists determine the health 38. and economic consequences of their actions.
 - We oppose:

52.

53.

54.

- (1) mandatory air quality standards for ozone, greenhouse 40 41. gases, and particulate matter on farmers and agricultural 42. businesses;
- 43. (2) emission control rules for farming practices, farm equipment, 44. cotton gins, grain handling facilities, etc., and urge EPA to 45. reevaluate the imposition of standards on farm and ranch 46. equipment and other non-highway use machinery;
- 47. (3) mandatory cap and trade regulations that will increase 48. energy costs in Kentucky by penalizing coal-based energy genera-49. tion; and
- (4) EPA regulations on wood burning stoves. 50.

WASTE MANAGEMENT

We encourage incentives for the recycling of waste and strongly 55. 56. support research to find packages and containers utilizing renew-57. able agricultural and forestry resources that are biodegradable.

We urge actions that would increase availability of recycling 2. services in rural areas.

We strongly encourage the University of Kentucky to conduct 4. research that would result in methods for recycling discarded net 5. wrap, haylage wrap, silage/grain bags, and styrofoam.

We urge Kentucky landfills to accept agricultural wastes, 7. and dead animals at a reasonable fee, to prevent improper disposal.

We encourage research on high temperature incineration as a 9. possible replacement for landfills.

10. County Farm Bureaus should work with fiscal courts to develop 11. and implement waste collection programs. We believe that 12. adequate and appropriate funding to the counties should be 13. provided in any statewide solid waste collection legislation.

We recommend that agencies and organizations work together 15. to encourage composting and other means including bio-16. fermentation to deal effectively with both on-farm and processing 17. animal waste.

We recommend market research be conducted by the University 19. of Kentucky to identify markets for sheep and goat byproducts, to 20. reduce the volume of offal generated at Kentucky processing plants.

We support the implementation and funding of a Tire Amnesty 22. Program every two years and efforts to protect and ensure the 23. integrity of the program.

We believe the cost of administering trash pickup should be 25. limited. We do not believe the administrative costs should exceed 26. actual trash pickup costs.

When salvage yards are established, we recommend that the 28. facilities be located a reasonable distance from flood plains, lakes, 29. streams and other waterways.

We support tighter control on landfills to avoid the dumping of 31. dangerous chemicals.

30.

32.

33.

34

35.

36.

AGRICULTURAL INPUTS

We are opposed to rules and regulations that prohibit farmers' 37, reasonable use of agricultural pesticides, fertilizer and chemicals.

We oppose legislation that defines any crop management practice as point source pollution.

40. We oppose legislation that forbids the use of any agricultural 41. input that has a federal label and established tolerance for certain 42. usages.

We recommend the establishment and continuance of studies 44. designed to test the effects of varying levels of persistent and 45. short-residual pesticides.

We support a "beneficial insects" category in USDA's competitive 47. grants program.

We recommend that colleges and universities in Kentucky:

49. (1) strengthen their research, implementation and education of 50. farmers on integrated crop management;

51. (2) continue recommending short-residual materials as a 52. substitute for persistent pesticides where advisable:

(3) strive to educate the public of the proper use of all 54. agricultural inputs;

(4) support programs to inform and educate the public of the 56. benefits of agricultural chemicals and animal health aids; and

(5) participate in the generation of data (IR-4 Program) for the 2. registration of pesticides for "minor-use" crops.

We encourage legislation that would require registration of 4. soil-plant amendments ("miracle" plant growth products) with 5. Regulatory Services and gives the director of the Experiment 6. Station authority to require manufacturers/distributors of such 7. products to furnish acceptable data for evaluating the product 8. under conditions applicable to those of Kentucky as a basis for registration.

We support the concept that farmers should be able to buy 11. insecticides, pesticides and veterinary supplies at farm supply 12. stores or other outlets. We are opposed to any move to make 13. the use of subtherapeutic drugs in livestock feed available on a 14. prescription basis only. We oppose attempts by the Food and Drug 15. Administration (FDA) and USDA to restrict veterinarians from extra-16. label usage of prescription drugs.

We oppose the elimination of methyl bromide from the list of 18. labeled chemicals until a substitute can be developed.

Atrazine is a critically important product to Kentucky agriculture. 20. Any regulatory re-evaluation should adhere to a transparent and 21. systematic process as outlined by FIFRA, allowing producer input to 22. ensure a high quality science based conclusion. This same trans-23. parent and systematic process should be followed for all pesticides.

24. We oppose hazardous industrial and radioactive waste being 25. mixed with fertilizer.

We encourage fertilizer retailers to sell phosphorus-free fertilizer for homeowners who don't need it according to soil test.

We support improved training programs on the proper handling 29. and safe use of pesticides. We will work with the University of 30. Kentucky, the Department of Agriculture and other agencies in 31. assisting agriculture producers in the implementation of the Worker 32. Protection Standard Act. We believe that the private pesticide 33. applicator training and certification program should be periodically 34. reviewed to ensure they are a sound and effective source of 35. training and information.

We support legislation requiring chemicals be available in 37. reusable or recyclable containers. We also support containers be 38. made biodegradable when possible. We encourage manufacturers 39. to utilize chemicals in dissolvable packaging and urge the industry 40. to promote this technology whenever possible.

We support elimination of KRS statutes that require commercial 42. pesticide applicator license holders to be charged a dealer fee to 43. apply incidental amounts of pesticides.

We support expedited approval of emergency labeling of chemi-45. cals to fight crop losses from diseases such as sovbean rust.

We encourage quarries to continue grinding quality agricultural 47. lime.

48.

49

50.

REGULATORY SERVICES

We support the programs provided by Regulatory Services at the 53. University of Kentucky and encourage increased public reporting of 54. the various analyses.

55. We encourage farmers to take advantage of the analyses of bulk 56. lime, fertilizer, feed, and animal waste.

We recommend strict enforcement of Kentucky's lime law.

LITTERING

1.

2.

20.

21.

22.

23.

We support enactment of beverage container deposit legislation.
 We favor strict enforcement of laws regarding littering of
 highways and private property adjoining highways. We urge all
 citizens to cooperate with enforcement of present littering laws. We
 urge the broadcast and print media to call the littering problem to
 the public's attention.

We recommend that the size and number of signs pertaining
 to roadside dumping be increased and include the penalty
 for violation.

12. When landowners discover and report illegal dumping on their13. land, we recommend that the landowner not be held responsible for14. cleanup of the illegal dumping.

15. We encourage farmers to police their own operations in properly16. disposing of the containers from farming operations and the17. cleaning of farm machinery.

18. We recommend that all garbage trucks be enclosed to 19. avoid littering.

PEST AND WEED CONTROL

We urgently request highway departments, railroad companies,
 industries and all owners of public or private land adjacent to
 farmland to cooperate with farmers in the control of Johnson grass,
 nodding thistle, multiflora rose, honeysuckle, kudzu, water hemlock
 and poisonous hemlock, and other noxious weeds.

We urge the Department of Fish and Wildlife to work with the
 University of Kentucky Extension Service to ensure that native
 grasses they are promoting are managed so as not to interfere with
 nearby farming operations.

We oppose the use of non-native invasive landscape varieties of
 plants that are spreading uncontrollably and negatively impacting
 agriculture.

For federal, state and local highway departments, we
 recommend appropriations be made to carry out the noxious
 weed program.

We encourage continued and adequate funding for the
 Kentucky Department of Agriculture's noxious weed program on
 agriculture land.

42. We support strict enforcement of noxious weed laws on all 43. public and private property.

44. We urge state and county highway departments to spray for45. Johnson grass, nodding thistle and other noxious weeds before they46. go to seed in accordance with pesticide application guidelines.

47. We support the allocation of funds for counties to control noxious48. weeds on county rights-of-way.

We encourage state and local road departments to use caution
 when spraying rights-of-way near agriculture production.

In the control of Johnson grass and other noxious weeds, we
 suggest state and county highway departments take special
 precautions to rinse or air spray equipment before moving from
 contaminated rights-of-way to non-contaminated areas.

55. We request that wild sunflower, wild cucumber, poisonous 56. hemlock, marestail, black nightshade, palmer amaranth and water 57. hemp be listed as noxious weeds. We are opposed to noxious weed seed being sold as bird feed
 unless it has been treated so that it will not germinate.

We recommend increased efforts in eradicating disease carrying
 insects, corn borer, Japanese Beetle, aphids, etc.

We support the use of waiver forms with landowners to relieve
 applicators of liability arising from thorough, effective use of
 herbicides or other control options.

We support the use of livestock grazing and browsing as a viable
 tool to improve resource conditions and to control weeds and/or
 other vegetation.

WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT

We recommend that Farm Bureau be represented in any
 decision-making process involving wildlife hunting restrictions and
 population control, and for the protection of animal health.

We support effective wildlife management that will reduce the
 wildlife population in an effort to alleviate continued crop loss,
 automobile accidents, human injuries, and loss of life.

We support a year-round hunting season for crows.

12

13.

14.

We urge research be conducted on the amount of crop damage
 done by government-controlled wildlife in order to help find a
 solution to this problem. We recommend that the state establish
 procedures for controlling wildlife pests such as beaver, black bear,
 deer, turkey, coyote, raccoon, etc., which are proving to be destructive in certain areas of the state. We encourage more liberal deer,
 elk, turkey, duck, geese, and black bear hunting seasons to control
 rapidly expanding populations.

We recommend that the Kentucky Department of Fish and
 Wildlife provide extra elk tag allotments for purchase to the
 "counties of release", and that extra consideration be given to local
 residents. We also recommend a nuisance provision be provided
 for farmers in production agriculture, specifically for elk.

We encourage the Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife to
 develop solutions to alleviate the problems that black bears cause
 farmers by increasing the number of bears harvested and
 depredation permits.

We encourage the Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources
 to develop programs to increase the doe harvest. We support the
 harvest of doe during modern gun season in zones where it is
 currently not allowed. We further recommend that landowners
 require deer hunters to harvest a doe before a buck can be taken.
 We support the Hunters for the Hungry Program. We urge the
 Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife to allow landowners with
 nuisance permits to donate the wildlife to the Hunters for the Hungry
 Program or a local food bank.

We support all wildlife trapped by nuisance trappers being
 destroyed and not relocated.

50. We support Kentucky Fish and Wildlife and USDA APHIS Wildlife
 51. Services work to eradicate feral hogs. We also support landowners
 52. expanding their means of control by allowing:

- 53. (1) The issuance of permits to landowners, to be used by them54. or their designee to hunt at night;
- 55. (2) The use of night vision, thermal imaging and electronic calls;
 - (3) The use of dogs for hunting; and
- (4) The continuation of trapping.

56.

We are opposed to the releasing of all wolves, bears, elk,
 hogs, venomous snakes, mountain lions, beavers and coyotes any where in the state of Kentucky. The Kentucky Fish and Wildlife
 Department should not be permitted to release any animals in a
 county until they have secured approval from the county fiscal court.
 We recommend a public hearing be held and a census be
 conducted before nuisance wildlife can be released in areas and
 that controls and limits should be placed on animals released.

We support the right of an individual to protect livestock,
 property, and human life against wildlife. We support establishing
 an indemnity fund to pay for any and all damages caused by wildlife
 in Kentucky and establishing a grievance committee to review and
 award claims with a majority of members being private landowners.

14. We recommend the Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife15. Resources provide a cost-share to all bee farmers to purchase an16. electric fence to protect their beehives.

We support developing conservation agreements for the
 protection of species in lieu of endangered species listings.

We recommend that the Kentucky Department of Fish and
 Wildlife Resources be responsible for damages caused by actions,
 or the prevention of certain actions, which prohibit landowners from
 making improvements to or maintaining their land.

We recommend the U.S. Department of Fish and Wildlife
 Services keep the East Fork Clarks River and its tributaries, (that lie
 within the boundaries of the National Wildlife Refuge), free of snags
 and other obstacles to prevent flooding and other problems that
 could affect other landowners.

28. We support the Kentucky Department of Agriculture and the 29. Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources work together 30. to ensure sound health practices for wild cervids to prevent any 31. disease for humans, livestock, or wildlife. We support cervids for 32. any operations being allowed into the state only from disease-free 33. areas. We oppose the private possession or interstate commerce of 34. native or exotic fish and wildlife which could change the local 35. ecosystem or which carry any disease presently under a state 36. eradication program.

We oppose any effort to restrict the intrastate movement of
 domesticated deer and elk in Kentucky.

We support funding for USDA wildlife services technicians to
 address nuisance wildlife issues.

We encourage a more readily available permit process for
 nuisance wildlife such as the American black vulture.

43. We support removal of the black vulture from protected status 44. and elimination of permit fees.

45. We support the Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife efforts46. to restore the quail population and encourage the voluntary47. participation in the quail restoration program.

Due to the potential risk of high path avian influenza, which can
 be carried by migratory birds, we recommend the Kentucky
 Department of Fish and Wildlife develop and allow practices that
 would relocate and/or eliminate migratory bird populations that pose
 a direct threat to the poultry industry in Kentucky.

We encourage the Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife to
 enact regulations to prevent the release of pen-raised quail during

55. the breeding season.

We believe the Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife
 enforcement officers should have probable cause that a game law

has been broken before they are allowed to enter upon private
 property.

We support market development and wild harvest of invasive,
 non-native carp species within the state of Kentucky.

5.

TRESPASS, GAME LAWS AND REGULATIONS

10. We urge strict enforcement of the law requiring permission to 11. enter property for the purpose of hunting, fishing, trapping or 12. digging ginseng. We also urge strict enforcement of laws relating to 13. hunting, fishing and trapping. We recommend that the Kentucky 14. Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources implement proactive 15. programs to educate landowners about the liability protection 16. offered by the statute. We further recommend the Kentucky 17. Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources continue to build 18. partnerships with Kentucky Farm Bureau for the purpose of 19. encouraging better hunter/landowner relations, and to increase 20. respect among hunters and anglers for landowners' property and 21. private property rights. We urge the League of Kentucky Sportsmen 22. to continue to encourage its members to be careful and show 23. respect for livestock, fencing and other farm property.

24. We recommend that Kentucky's law be changed to provide that 25. trespassing shall constitute a criminal offense subject to a 26. reasonable fine and/or other punishment. We recommend there be 27. a stricter penalty for person(s) destroying farm property, such as 28. cutting fences or trees, and other damages. We support requiring 29. all hunting and fishing licenses to be declared invalid unless the 30. license holder has written permission from the landowner. We 31. support Kentucky law that protects landowners from the negligent 32. or criminal actions committed by hunters who are guests of 33. the landowner.

We support a public education effort concerning the harmful
 effects of lawn and landscape refuse on livestock.

36. We recommend enforcing laws to prohibit ATV operators, 37. riders of horses, and other individuals from using private property 38. without owner's permission; penalties should be sufficient to 39. discourage this practice. We favor legislation to make it unlawful for 40. anyone, including the driver of the vehicle, commercial wrecker 41. service and state or local police to remove a vehicle that has 42. damaged private property, except in emergencies, unless the owner 43. of the property or his representative has been notified.

44. We support the current hunter orange clothing requirements to 45. ensure the safety of all hunters and trappers.

46. We recommend that the Department of Fish and Wildlife 47. Resources publicize and send to each county Farm Bureau 48. information pertaining to the selection of commission members 49. and encourage farmers and landowners to actively participate in 50. the process.

51. We recommend the Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife 52. Resources publish the procedure necessary for a landowner to 53. obtain additional deer and turkey tags. We recommend that 54. action be taken to alleviate damage caused by overpopulation of 55. wildlife on state parks, nature preserves and public areas, and that 56. the hunting season quotas be extended in those zones where 57. deemed necessary.

PRIVATE PROPERTY RIGHTS

We believe in the American capitalistic, private, competitive 4. enterprise system in which property is privately owned, privately 5. managed and operated for profit and individual satisfaction. Any 6. erosion of that right weakens all other rights guaranteed to 7. individuals by the Constitution.

2.

We support protection of private property rights from eminent 9. domain actions for any reason other than public use.

10. We oppose any legislation that would allow public access to or 11. through private property without permission of the property owner or 12. authorized agent. We oppose scientific or archeological activity 13. being conducted on private land without written permission from, 14. and compensation to, the landowner.

15. Any laws or regulations regarding upkeep of cemeteries on 16. private lands should be fair to the landowner.

We call for review of all regulations that encroach on the rights of 18. agricultural property owners.

We support the elimination of the Kentucky law that gives an 20. individual a permanent easement across the landowner's property 21. after 7 years of uninterrupted use.

We believe that any government action that diminishes an 23. owner's right to use his property constitutes a taking of that owner's 24. property. Therefore, government should provide due process and 25. compensation to the exact degree that an owner's right to use his 26. property has been diminished by the government action. 27. Furthermore, we believe that the only just basis for compensation in 28. such cases is fair market value.

We oppose government entities and railroads cutting off ingress 30. and egress which would cause a parcel of land to be landlocked.

We believe business owners should have the exclusive right to 32. prohibit tobacco use in their private business. We are adamantly 33. opposed to any level of government or agency mandating that 34. businesses prohibit tobacco use.

We support individual businesses right to make decisions based 35. 36. on religious convictions.

37. When regulations or legislation regarding wild and scenic rivers 38. and/or rare, threatened or endangered species alter agricultural 39. practices, agricultural producers should be compensated for the 40. cost of these altered agricultural practices.

41. We support a landowner's ability to have their property surveyed 42. at any time to establish property boundaries without encumbrance.

43. We support surveying all excepted property from existing deed to 44. establish proper boundaries.

45. We support the rights of individual farmers to voluntarily sell the 46. development rights of their farms.

We encourage the General Assembly to increase funding for 48. the PACE Program in order for the state to receive the maximum 49. federal funds available.

50. When a government agency demands a flood control structure 51. developed under the direction of KRS 151.250 be removed, they 52. must present proof that the removal of this structure would eliminate 53. the flood problem. Should the courts force the removal of these flood 54. control structures, the funding for their removal should be used from 55. the agency that forced the removal.

We recommend anyone, group or organization that video tapes 57. or records images on a farm with the intent of using them in an anti-

1. farming or animal welfare campaign, without the permission of the 2. land/farm owner, be charged with a criminal offense and subject to 3. a reasonable fine and/or other punishment.

4. Unmanned Aircraft Systems

5. We support:

- (1) The use of unmanned aircraft systems (UAS) for commercial 6. purposes (i.e., agriculture, forestry, and other natural resource use);
- (2) Requiring the operator of the UAS to gain the written 9. consent of the landowner and/or farm operator if the UAS will be surveying or gathering data above private property;
- (3) Allowing landlords and tenants to fly over their fields for any 11. 12. reason without being considered commercial activity;
- 13 (4) The FAA developing reasonable certification and safety 14. training requirements for the operation of UAS; and
- 15. (5) The use of safety features to notify manned aircraft that a 16. UAS is in the vicinity.

We oppose:

17.

18.

21.

22

23.

24

25.

26.

27.

28.

31.

33

34.

35.

36.

37.

50.

- (1) A federal or state agency using UAS for the purpose of 19. regulatory enforcement, litigation and as a sole source for natural resource inventories used in planning efforts;
 - (2) FAA regulations of UAS as aircraft; and
 - (3) FAA regulations that require a pilot's license and third-class medical certification to operate a UAS.

RIGHT TO FARM

We support responsible actions designed to permit and protect 29. the privilege and the rights of farmers to produce without undue or unreasonable restrictions, regulations or harassment from the public or private sectors. We support actions to ensure that farmers be protected from undue liability and nuisance suits.

LAND USE PLANNING

We believe that any state land use planning initiatives should 38. respect local control and private property rights, and not contain any 39. mandates from state or regional entities. We believe this can only be accomplished through new incentives for local governments.

41. We support reforms which will provide greater protection to 42. surface landowners from broad form deeds.

43. We are strongly opposed to the taking of prime farmland for the 44. purpose of wildlife refuges.

45. We support the USDA program providing for the identification 46. and retention of prime and unique agricultural land in Kentucky and the use of such information by local officials in the decision-making process to determine future use of such land.

We support the retention of these prime and unique agricultural lands to the maximum extent possible to ensure the capacity for 51. food and fiber production. We recommend the re-establishment and full utilization of the Interagency Farmland Advisory Committee with 53 regards to all state transportation projects.

We strongly support the concept of compensation for loss of land 55. or land uses. Before the land use planning maps are adopted they 56. should be reviewed by local agricultural groups.

1. We recommend that state funds be made available to assist 2. counties in developing a land use policy and a comprehensive plan 3. to protect their agricultural base. Such policy and plans should take 4. into consideration land, water, forest and other natural resources 5. within their capabilities. We support such programs only if the land 6. use decisions and controls are left at the local level and/or regional 7. level with local representation.

In addition to the above, we recommend the following:

- (1) A definition of small farms should coincide with the same 10. criteria used with agricultural assessment. We recommend that 11. after a tract of land is divided into two sections of 10 acres or less, 12. it should be subject to planning and zoning review;
- 13. (2) Location of all rights-of-way such as utilities or pipelines 14. should not interfere with agricultural prime farmland; and
- 15. (3) The development of less desirable land for non-agricultural 16. land use should be encouraged.

17. We support and promote the development of agricultural districts 18. and recommend additional protection incentives to encourage farmers to form agricultural districts.

We oppose arbitrary zoning of green space areas for farms 21. adjacent to environmentally sensitive areas, residential, commercial 22. or business zoned property.

23.

24.

25

26.

57.

PLANNING AND ZONING

27. We hold to the principle of planning and zoning being 28. locally administered through locally established planning and 29. zoning commissions.

We further oppose any legislation that will allow the creation of 31, regional boards that may result in the loss of the present home rule 32. authority over local areas and/or the control of rural areas by urban 33. dominated boards, unless approved by the fiscal courts of each 34. county involved.

35. We urge county Farm Bureau leaders to familiarize themselves 36. with Kentucky's planning and zoning law, and be more aggressive in 37. working with their fiscal courts, planning and zoning commissions when planning county-wide zoning.

Agriculture interests should be protected when a merged 40. government takes effect in any county in the state.

41. We encourage planning and zoning boards to include farm 42. representation as well as an active farmer member of the board of 43. supervisors of the conservation district in the area, and the same 44. principle apply in regard to regional planning commissions.

45. We support planning efforts that consider the needs of 46. agriculture for the future which allow for both the continuation of 47. normal farming practices and residential and/or commercial 48. development of agriculture property and recognize Kentucky Proud 49. and agritourism as agricultural ventures.

We support the present law which prohibits regulation or 51. requirement of permits for farm buildings and will oppose any

We recommend that planning and zoning regulations for 54. developers be strengthened and enforced to prevent and control 55. erosion and flooding. 56.

We urge landowners, land purchasers and developers to 2. become familiar with the Federal Flood Control Zoning Act and 3. its limitations of flood insurance on structures in flood plains.

We support boundary fencing by the developers of residential, commercial or industrial development adjoining agricultural 6. property.

Residential and commercial developments adjacent to agricultural land often disrupt farming operations and present safety hazards to people and livestock; therefore, we urge establishment of regulations requiring buffer zones between such properties at the expense of the developers and require that such buffer zones be in 12 place before infrastructure is developed.

We recommend that when a person establishes or expands an agricultural business within the laws acceptable to the planning and zoning regulations of the community, he should not be forced out of business by new regulations.

17. We recommend the owners be compensated for the monetary 18. losses of development rights when major changes occur in zoning laws affecting the building lots size in agriculture areas.

20.

25

26.

27

28.

29.

30.

31.

32

33.

40.

We believe all division and sale of farm land that results in multiple housing tracts should be subject to review by local planning and zoning boards (where such boards exist). The use of the so-called 23. ag exemption under KRS 100.111 (22) by creating resulting tracts of slightly more than five acres is being misapplied.

We support continuing the current exemption for agriculture buildings and dwellings under the uniform building and electrical codes.

COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

We support rural economic development efforts to attract new agricultural biotechnology and processing plants and expand existing local industry, including production agriculture, that compliments and adds value to Kentucky-produced agricultural commodities. This support should be through existing government bodies, community organizations and institutions, including the University of Kentucky, working together in identifying the relationship of agriculture and rural development.

The Cabinet for Economic Development should be encouraged 42. to provide incentives for the establishment of tobacco industries that expand the market for leaf.

44. We believe that if any government entity sells goods in competition with private industry, that they be required to sell at current market prices.

We urge the Cabinet for Economic Development to develop 48. incentives and programs for the establishment of agribusinesses 49 based on job generation, economic impact and/or the use of Kentucky-produced agricultural commodities.

We recommend that the Cabinet for Economic Development 52. place extra time and effort in locating processing plants or establishing cooperative processing plants in Kentucky for 54. agricultural commodities.

We support the continued education on and promotion of programs in the Kentucky Agricultural Finance Corporation and sup-57. port its continued growth and financial support.

We encourage coordination of efforts of county and regional 2. economic development foundations. We urge local Farm Bureaus 3. to become involved with these groups.

4. We support agricultural leadership in all areas of economic 5. development to strengthen rural communities.

We support the strengthening of the entrepreneurial and 7. leadership development activities of the Agriculture Development 8. Board, the Agricultural Finance Corporation, the University of 9. Kentucky, Kentucky State University and all regional universities, the 10. Kentucky Department of Agriculture, and the Kentucky Center for 11. Agricultural and Rural Development.

We recommend one staff member of the Economic Development 12 13. Cabinet work solely with agriculture issues.

We recommend that the Tourism, Arts and Heritage Cabinet continue to provide support for agritourism activities.

We support legislative initiatives to amend the Kentucky Cultural 17. and Recreational Signage Program to provide additional signage 18. components designed to increase awareness of farm destination 19. agritourism enterprises.

We support the Shaping Our Appalachian Region (SOAR) initia-21. tive and its efforts that continue to look for additional options to build 22. the cattle market in eastern Kentucky, including but not limited to 23. expanding opportunities for cattle on reclaimed mine land.

20.

25.

26.

27

33.

34.

35.

36.

37.

48.

51.

52.

53.

54.

FOREIGN INVESTMENT

We favor strict enforcement of the laws requiring registration, 29. disclosures and report of holdings and purchases of land by foreign entities. We feel there should be no preferential tax treatment and 31. that all tax loopholes including property, production and capital 32. gains be closed.

ANNEXATION

We encourage strict enforcement of annexation laws to protect 38. the interests of rural people.

We oppose annexation of any property without providing all 40. public services to that owner.

We recommend that affected property owners be notified by 42. certified return receipt mail at least 60 days before a public hearing 43. is held and before final annexation or incorporation can be 44. consummated there must be an approval of two-thirds of the 45. affected property owners. We are opposed to mandatory spot 46. annexation. We also recommend that any challenges in court be 47. heard by a jury.

Any legislation providing new guidelines for establishing county 49. wide urban government should require approval by the voters in 50. both the incorporated and unincorporated areas.

EMINENT DOMAIN

55. We recommend that Kentucky laws dealing with eminent domain 56. be amended to provide that the power of eminent domain could not 57. be used for parks, wilderness areas, wildlife refuges, riding and 1. hiking trails, other recreational projects, sludge or waste disposal 2. projects. We favor repeal of the law which gives the Kentucky 3. Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources the right of eminent

We oppose the power of eminent domain being used to take 6. private property for private use.

We oppose the principle of giving credit to the acquiring agency 8. for potential value of remaining acreage when paying for land 9. acquired under eminent domain. In cases where private property is 10. taken, we support prompt, just and adequate compensation. In 11. cases of partial takings of real property, depreciation in the value 12. of any remaining real property should be recognized, and compensation paid for any damages which result.

Any entity using eminent domain to seize private property for 15. public use shall reimburse the landowner the costs of private 16. engineering, consulting studies, and appraisal fees needed to fairly 17. determine land value.

18 We support an exclusion from all taxes for land and improvements sold through an act of eminent domain or the threat thereof.

20. In situations where eminent domain is used to acquire land, 21. provisions should be made whereby the landowner shall have access to any of his property divided by the eminent domain action.

23. We recommend that the owner of land acquired under eminent 24. domain be reimbursed adequately to facilitate replacement or 25. relocation. If property taken under eminent domain is not used for 26. the purpose for which it was secured within 5 years, the original 27. owner should have an opportunity to purchase it back at the price 28. received less damages.

29 We recommend the establishment of a time limit in which court 30. decisions shall be made on contested condemnation procedures, 31. not to exceed nine months.

32. We believe that officials of each county are best prepared to 33. control the activities and development within their county through planning, zoning and other means.

35. We further oppose any legislation that will allow the creation of 36. regional boards that may result in the loss of the present home rule 37. authority over local areas and/or the control of rural areas by urban 38. dominated boards, unless approved by the fiscal courts of each 39. county involved.

40 We support protecting the rights of Kentucky's landowners when dealing with regional planning issues and eminent domain laws.

42 We recommend that when eminent domain condemnation takes 43. private property, the value received for the condemned land should 44. not be considered in tax assessment for the balance of the land. 45.

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS

46.

47

48.

49. Rural areas of Kentucky must be adequately represented in the 50. state legislature. A system similar to that used for the U.S. Congress 51. where only one house is apportioned by population would accom-52. plish this and be fair to everyone. We recommend that any revision 53. of Kentucky's Constitution provide for this.

Inasmuch as the Supreme Court has held that state legislative 55. districts may have considerable variance in population, we 56. recommend that the General Assembly, where possible, restore 57. legislative district lines to coincide with county lines.

Elected officials who are convicted of a felony associated with 2. public service, or who resign to avoid prosecution, should lose all 3. government retirement benefits.

We support legislative oversight of state regulations.

8.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

28.

29.

30.

31.

38.

44.

46

47.

48.

49.

50.

55.

57.

We strongly encourage the Kentucky General Assembly to pass 6, a budget within the allotted time the Constitution allows them to 7. meet, making the state budget the priority of the legislative session.

We request the Kentucky General Assembly to decrease spend-9. ing where possible instead of raising taxes.

GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS

We will oppose any efforts to change the procedure for selection 15. of county officials, including property valuation administrators.

Property valuation administrators and property evaluation 16. 17. personnel salaries should not be indexed or earmarked from 18. property tax values, and their salaries should be based on what 19. other county officials are paid.

20. We are opposed to any change that would require county judge 21. executives to be attorneys.

We recommend staggered terms for members of the city and 23. county governing bodies.

We oppose officials of county government using taxpayers' 24. 25. money to lobby for additional taxes.

We are in favor of strict enforcement of a code of ethics for all 27. elected and appointed government officials.

HOME RULE

We recommend that Kentucky Farm Bureau study any proposals 32. 33. to change the Home Rule Law and take such action as deemed 34. advisable to protect the rural areas of Kentucky.

We recommend that each county Farm Bureau appoint a 36. committee to attend fiscal court meetings and report back to the 37. county Farm Bureau Board of Directors.

We recommend that self-governing privileges of cities be 39. confined to matters within their boundaries. Any authority including 40. zoning beyond the corporate limits and into unincorporated areas 41. should be limited to matters other than taxation and regulations; 42. require expressed permission of the fiscal court; and not include any 43. authority the fiscal court does not already possess.

We oppose any local ordinance that is more restrictive than state 45. regulations governing agricultural operations.

ELECTIONS

Kentucky Farm Bureau urges county Farm Bureaus to conduct 51. voter registration and get-out-the-vote campaigns on a regular 52. basis. We encourage Farm Bureau members to become actively 53. engaged in the campaigns of candidates whose policy positions 54. reflect Farm Bureau's ideals.

We support all registered voters showing photo identification 56. when reporting to the polling place to receive a ballot.

We support the public distribution of voting records and policy 2. position statements and how candidate positions compare with 3. Farm Bureau policy.

We oppose any action designed to permit college students who are not legal residents of the college community to vote in local

We recommend all registered voters of the county be allowed to 8. vote in elections conducted by a city for alcohol referendum.

9. We oppose the use of public funds and franking privileges in the 10. financing of political campaigns.

We oppose the concept of centralized voting.

12 We recommend general voting hours be extended to coincide 13. with absentee voting in the county clerk's office during general office hours within 7 days prior to any election. 14.

15. We recommend that any wet/dry election be held on the next scheduled election day. If not possible, then the individuals seeking the special election should be required to cover the cost rather than the county.

EDUCATION

23 We recommend the teaching of basic moral values, basic eco-24. nomics and responsibilities of living in a democratic society at all 25. levels of education.

We support financial literacy and Science, Technology, Engineering, Agriculture, Mathematics (STEAM) to be included in Kentucky school curriculum at all appropriate levels.

We favor public school courses on the structure and function of democratic government. However, students should not be subjected to indoctrination for political purposes.

Elementary and Secondary

19

20.

22

40.

56

57

We recommend cross curriculum support for the teaching of 34. reading, mathematics, writing, science, and social studies. We recommend providing innovative classroom strategies focusing on the basic skills which are included in the common core standards guidelines. We recommend providing programs for those who need remedial assistance and/or for those needing advanced challenges.

We urge the Kentucky Department of Education to abide by the guidelines set forth in the individual education plans for Kentucky students for state assessments.

We support changes to the testing system that reflect the 43. yearly education improvement of the child while also evaluating the 44. school. We recommend the Kentucky End-of-Program Assessment 45. testing window and industry certification be aligned with the state 46. testing window. We support exploring alternative ways of calculating 47. test scores of special needs students that would stay within the parameter of the Every Student Succeeds guidelines.

We recommend the Department of Education adopt a uniform grading scale to be used across the Commonwealth concerning grade point averages.

50 We encourage efficient use of school funds and urge the General 53. Assembly to continue its efforts to achieve this goal. We support 54. the state appropriation of adequate funds for teachers' salaries 55. and benefits.

62

63

We urge more emphasis be placed on collaboration between
 postsecondary schools, elementary and secondary schools to
 improve teacher education, recruitment, and retention.

We support local school districts' control in setting compensation
 criteria, considering needs and ability while operating within the
 state salary structure.

7. We support lowering the classroom student-to-teacher ratio 8. while maintaining adequate staffing of schools.

We recommend maintaining a percentage of the General Fund
 to go to elementary and secondary education at an equal or higher
 level than the 1990-1991 funding level.

We encourage and support funding the free textbook structure
 for elementary and middle schools and when state funds are not
 available we recommend local school boards be encouraged to buy
 such books that are needed.

We favor reducing the cost of new educational facilities by
 eliminating features not essential to a sound education program.
 Local school boards should consider renovation whenever practical
 rather than new construction. The state should consider the county
 growth rate when approving the size of new construction for
 schools; as well as review the justification for new construction ver sus renovation prior to approving a bond sale for new construction.

We favor the present method of selecting county school
 superintendents and county school board members. We encourage
 Farm Bureau members to be active in this process.

We support local school districts in providing professional
 development and/or workshops to improve teaching methods of
 new and ineffective teachers.

A teacher effectiveness rating should be designed and used as a
 means of removing ineffective teachers.

31. We support the original intent of teacher tenure to protect 32. teachers against political abuse. However, tenure should be 33. reformed so that it cannot be used to unduly protect incompetent 34. teachers.

We challenge school principals and superintendents to be more
 responsible in the evaluation of teachers and administrators.

We strongly oppose teacher strikes. We oppose legislation that
 mandates collective bargaining for public school employees.

We recommend that local boards of education consider keeping
 schools for grades K-5, 6-8, 9-12 in neighborhood locations.

41. We recognize that boards of education have the responsibility for 42. developing the school calendar. We urge county Farm Bureau 43. boards to work with local boards of education in developing the 44. school calendar. We further recommend all school districts that 45. service area career or technical centers to coordinate their 46. calendars to support all programs at those centers.

We recommend that when a student needs to attend an
 adjoining school district, the county Farm Bureau work with the local
 school boards to obtain approval of a reciprocal agreement.

50. We support adequate state funding for staffing and facilities for51. the state agency children's programs and for district-operated day52. treatment and alternative programs.

53. We support the school board's efforts to establish district wide
54. discipline codes and the efforts of site based councils to implement
55. instructional strategies or appropriate techniques to ensure the
56. safety of students and staff while ensuring a conducive learning
57. environment.

We encourage the local school districts to support participation
 in the state fair activities and/or 4-H, FFA, and other youth
 educational opportunities and not penalize students for their
 absence. We support the Kentucky Farm Bureau working with the
 Kentucky Department of Education to enforce the state statute
 allowing students to attend and/or participate in Kentucky State Fair
 activities. We further encourage schools to value the State Fair for
 the educational opportunities it offers and incorporate it as a
 learning experience in their curriculum.

We oppose schools declaring themselves gender neutral.

Alternative lifestyles should not be taught in public schools.

12. We support "Agriculture in the Classroom" and encourage school 13. districts to implement this program in their curriculum and 14. encourage administrators to support professional development 15. training concerning "Agriculture in the Classroom." We support 16. teaching the concepts of the food and fiber system for the 17. enhancement of the current Kentucky Core Academic Standards.

18. We support implementation of agriculture education programs19. within practical living career studies classes and clarification on20. different concepts related to agriculture.

We encourage Kentucky Farm Bureau, the Kentucky Department
 of Agriculture, and the Kentucky Department of Education to work
 together to develop K-12 agriculture education curriculum and
 resources for use by agritourism and farm operators in facilitating
 school tours.

We urge schools to incorporate into the curriculum conservation
 and environmental education. We urge that agriculture interests be
 given fair consideration in environmental matters and that farmers
 be recognized as leaders among environmentalists.

We support the continued utilization of Project Food, Land and
 People resources for learning as the most recommended curriculum
 toward the enhancement of environmental and agricultural literacy.

33. We favor increased emphasis on teaching of respect for law and
34. order, and recommend maximum use of law enforcement officials
35. and other appropriate public officials in this type of instruction. We
36. support safe school programs that are comprehensive in nature
37. from pre-school through grade 12. We encourage continuation of
38. these services for all students from agencies which are charged
39. with preventive intervention on behalf of public school age children.
40. We favor continuing cursive writing instruction in our school

We favor continuing cursive writing instruction in our school
 systems.

42. We recommend that instructional CPR courses be made 43. available in grades six through twelve.

We support a physical activity as part of the school curriculum for
 grades K-12.

46. We favor inclusion of the study of the Bible in the curriculum of 47. world religions.

48. We believe teachers should devote some time to spiritual 49. meditation and the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag at the beginning 50. of each school day. We believe that the use of prayer should be 51. allowed at school functions.

We support legislation that would allow the inclusion of the Ten
 Commandments in historical documents displays.

54. We support the selection process for school principals that 55. includes a requirement for a meaningful screening of candidates by 56. the local superintendent.

57

10.

- We suggest caution and exercise of good judgment in offering
 sex education courses and recommend that parents be consulted in
 this matter.
- Extracurricular activities should be limited to those times when
 they will least interfere with regular instruction.
- We support "High Schools That Work" and other initiatives
 which provide for the integration of academics with career/
 technical education.
- We urge our public schools to develop more effective "drop out"
 prevention programs. We support the placement of a guidance
 counselor at each elementary school.
- We support the use of licensed social workers within the school
 system.
- 14. We support the concept that individuals under 18 years of age15. must be enrolled in a certified school and maintain passing grades16. in 50% of their classes or be a graduate to keep their driver's17. license.
- 18. We urge a stronger program of career guidance in the later years 19. of elementary schools and continuing through postsecondary 20. programs. We encourage all school districts to implement an 21. advising system to provide individualized career guidance and 22. academic counseling for all students which includes parent/ 23. guardian participation to ensure preparation for postsecondary 24. education and workforce. We encourage local school districts 25. require 25% of continuing education hours for counselors be in the 26. area of career and technical education (CTE) for grades 5-12. We 27. further recommend that sufficient clerical help be provided so that 28. counselors can spend more time with their students.
- We urge the Kentucky Department of Education to continue to
 support and seek funding for effective programs for the gifted and
 talented students.
- We encourage the local schools to provide a driver's training
 program, with emphasis on driver's attention, and that it be funded
 by the state.
- We support having an educational page in the driver's education
 manual and curriculum in driver's education classes pertaining to
 wide or slow moving vehicles on public roadways.

38. Career & Technical Education

- We support all school districts in providing more equitable
 access to career and technical education based on workforce
 development needs.
- We recommend that an adequate and equitable level of funding and
 state staffing be permanently established for all areas of career and
 technical education.
- 45. We continue to support a strong up-to-date program of
 46. agriculture education and family consumer sciences at the
 47. elementary, secondary, postsecondary, adult and continuing levels.
 48. We urge county Farm Bureaus to assume leadership in encouraging
 49. students to enroll in these and other career and technical education
 50. programs as a means of preparing themselves for a career. We
 51. recommend students pursue all career opportunities available in the
 52. agriculture field.
- 53. We recommend:
- (1) County Farm Bureaus to work with local school boards and
 local site-based councils to ensure that each county has an
 adequate agricultural education program, FFA chapters and
 4-H clubs;

- (2) An agriculture teacher be employed in every county in
 Kentucky.
- (3) That teachers of agriculture education continue to be
 employed on a 240-day basis;
- (4) That agricultural education teachers be diligent in planning
 and providing an effective instructional program and FFA leadership
 development activities for each student which is reviewed
 and supervised by local administrators. We favor the inclusion of
 an agriculture economics, agriculture biology, and agriculture
 mathematics courses in high school agriculture programs. We
 further favor these courses being accepted in their respective
 discipline in high school graduation requirements. We favor the
 inclusion of an agriculture unit in the basic biology course taught in
 both middle schools and high schools in Kentucky; and
- (5) We support a long range goal for agricultural education to
 help create new programs in communities not yet served by
 agricultural education and FFA and ensure the quality and
 high performance of current programs providing personal,
 academic, and career education in agriculture. We support an
 increase in federal funding and necessary personnel to advance
 the initiative.
- 22. We strongly support more integration of career and technical 23. education by development of a joint curriculum combining academic 24. and career instruction in the classroom. School administrators 25. and school councils should work more closely together to 26. accomplish this.
- We support the statewide implementation of the Career
 and Technical Education legislation and encourage the full
 implementation of workforce education and development programs
 which are designed to combine secondary and postsecondary edu cational programs.
- 32. We urge the leaders of the community college system and career 33. and technical education to coordinate their programs in such a 34. manner as to better utilize their finances, faculty and facilities.
- We support the implementation of an annual funding stream and
 the continued procurement of funds as needed for the renovation
 and improvement of the Kentucky FFA Leadership Training Center
 in Hardinsburg and 4-H camps.
- We strongly support reauthorization and appropriation of funding
 of the Carl D. Perkins Act which provides federal funding for career
 and technical education.

42. Postsecondary Education

- 43. We support the implementation of the Kentucky Community and 44. Technical College System (KCTCS). We recognize the need to 45. establish a working relationship with all state universities.
- State financed colleges should accept all credit-hours obtained
 at any other state financed college.
- 48. We suggest parallel course requirements for equal degrees.
 49. Kentucky's statutes state that three members of the Board of
 50. Trustees of the University of Kentucky shall be agriculturally
 51. oriented. We urge the Governor to continue the appointment of an
 52. active full-time farmer to the Board of Trustees of the University of
 53. Kentucky. We recommend that an individual with an agricultural
 54. interest be appointed to the Board of Regents of each of the
 55. regional universities that confer Ag degrees.

57.

We recommend that qualified individuals with an agricultural 2. interest be appointed to the Kentucky Board of Education and the 3. Kentucky Council for Postsecondary Education.

We believe that greater attention should be given to career and 5. technical education programs in the elementary, middle, secondary 6. and postsecondary schools. These programs should prepare 7. students for employment and postsecondary education. Adult and 8. continuing education should be available to the people on the basis 9. of their needs.

We support the development of more vocational training 11. programs for displaced farmers and farm related workers.

We encourage the Council on Postsecondary Education to care-13. fully review any tuition increase proposal for state-funded 14. universities to ensure the proposal is justified and does not place an 15. undue financial burden on students.

We oppose colleges and universities denying students the 17. full value of scholarships earned and oppose caps on reimburse-18. ments from scholarships.

We endorse the concept of preparation for the workforce by 19 20. improving Kentucky's education system and preparing students for 21. a career for which they are best suited. We support centralized 22. testing for online college courses.

We support agricultural workforce development through state 24. funding for agriculture technology programs such as the Kentucky 25. Community and Technical College System (KCTCS) programs to 26, seek competent and skilled agriculture workers for modern 27, farming operations. We support the Adult Agriculture Upgrade 28. Program offered through KCTCS.

29. General Education Policy

30 We support the continued development of the state educational 31. television system. We appreciate Kentucky Educational Television's 32. interest in the programming needs of the agricultural public of 33. Kentucky. Farm Bureau supports the general equivalency degree 34. now being offered by KET and urges county Farm Bureaus to assist 35. in publicizing this service.

We believe that since locally elected Boards of Education are 37, accountable for the effectiveness of local schools, our schools 38. should be operated within the general policy provisions and district 39, goals adopted by local boards.

40 We support additional and enhanced technology that provides 41, immediate feedback and real-time interaction with teachers and 42. students.

We support local boards of education establishing a professional 43. dress code for educators.

We recommend the legislature review the requirements and 45. 46. criteria for home schooling. Any guidelines should be conducive to 47, traditional values, should not infringe on basic parental rights, and 48. should only address instruction of core subjects.

We believe that statutory models and all alternative models 50. for school site-based council composition should ensure equal 51. representation of parents and employees. We support strengthening 52, community governance of public education by local boards of 53. education, who are elected by all citizens. This gives all taxpayers 54. a voice in the expenditures of tax dollars and the policies which 55. govern the schools of the district.

We oppose addictive games of chance (poker, blackjack, etc.) 57. being held on school property.

1. Career Awareness & Preparation

11

12.

13.

14. 15.

21.

31.

48

49.

50.

51

We recommend that Farm Bureau continue to inform young people of the many rewarding career opportunities in agriculture. Furthermore, we encourage young people to consider their career opportunities early in their formal education and structure their education to allow them to meet their career goals.

We support programs that reduce barriers to success for students and prepare them for college and career readiness. 8

We support dual-credit courses, including agriculture classes, being offered to high school students.

TAXATION

Kentucky's tax policies should be based on public need and sound economic principles. Kentucky taxes should be apportioned 17. equitably among the citizens.

We recommend that state, county, local governments, special 19. taxing districts and school districts look at every possible way to 20. save money before raising taxes.

We oppose unfunded mandates on local government.

If county fiscal courts or city governments vote to raise taxes in 23. excess of 4 percent, the citizens in that county or city should be allowed to vote on the proposed tax, via a referendum, before it

We oppose legislation that would require licensing of farm 27. machinery and equipment and recommend that new farm equipment be exempt from inventory taxes.

29. We recommend that the appropriate state agency enforce tax 30. collection on highway diesel fuel.

We oppose severance taxes on renewable resources.

32. We believe health insurance premiums should be exempt from 33. premium taxes.

We support the creation of tax relief for farmers who are retiring 34. or leaving production agriculture and are transferring farmland to 36. individuals who will continue in production agriculture.

We are opposed to the state legislature allowing district school 38. boards to pass a non-recallable or forgivable school tax (e.g., 39. nickel or dime) without a community referendum.

We recommend that only elected officials have the authority to 41. increase or create new taxes. In addition, we strongly urge all 42. entities with the ability to increase taxes to be accountable for the expenditures of tax dollars to the citizens.

We support allowing fiscal courts the flexibility to lower a tax rate 44 45. submitted by a special taxing district.

We encourage the development of a centralized filing system of 47. all local business and payroll taxes.

LAND ASSESSMENT

We urge the Department of Revenue and county assessing authorities to comply with the principles of the Farmland Assessment Amendment and use new technology such as Global Positioning Systems (GPS) to obtain the most accurate surveying 56. information.

Landowners should be permitted to use Global Positioning 2. Systems (GPS) to obtain accurate surveying information.

We believe the Department of Revenue should not override 4. an elected county official's assessment of residential and farm 5. properties unless proof of mistakes are publicly given.

We encourage equitable regional farmland and forest land 7. assessments.

8. We support the agricultural land definition and assessment 9. process that is currently in place.

We recommend when property is being transferred into a 11. revocable trust of the current owners the assessed value may be 12. used or the value statements may be omitted from the deed.

PROPERTY TAXES

14.

15.

16.

39.

53.

54.

55.

56.

57.

17. To prosper in a modern economy, property taxes should be 18. gradually phased downward in favor of broad base sources of revenue.

We strongly oppose freezing the state real property tax rate.

21. We recommend that local officials' authority to increase revenue 22. from property taxes be confined to 4 percent plus new growth. Any 23. proposal to increase revenue more than that formula should 24. automatically be decided by a voter referendum.

We recommend that property taxes collected for school 26. purposes be levied and administered locally. We also recommend 27. that the sheriff, county attorney, and Department of Revenue be 28. more diligent in collecting delinquent taxes and urge property 29. valuation administrators to ensure that taxes are collected on mobile 30. homes and other tangible property.

31. We believe that churches should be allowed to exempt church 32. buildings, parsonages, or other property used from property 33. taxes, but should be taxed on property owned or used for 34. commercial profit.

We oppose farm structures being assessed at values higher than 36. their farm value.

37. For farms not raising tobacco, tobacco barns should be 38. exempted from property taxes.

We oppose taxing farm equipment with property taxes.

We oppose greenhouses being taxed as permanent structures.

41. We recommend that when a farm happens to end up in the 42. middle of commercial development, its tax assessment rate should not be changed from agriculture.

44. We support using University of Kentucky's farm analysis rental 45. data on tax assessment.

We recommend that public utility companies be taxed equitably 47. with other similarly situated property owners.

If a farm is annexed into a city, the city shall provide services 49. equal to others in that city. If the services are not provided, the city 50. taxes on the property shall be adjusted.

51. We support strict regulatory control of selling unpaid property 52. tax bills.

SPECIAL TAXING DISTRICTS

We oppose taxation by petition.

2

3

28

29.

30

31

33

43

4 We recommend the repeal of the annual fee requirement for special purpose governmental entities.

We support more stringent rules for the establishment of special taxing districts and the selection of its directors. We recommend that before any special taxing district is formed that it be placed on the ballot for the affected people to vote on.

We also believe that members of the taxing board should be 11. property holders.

The county judge executive should ensure that detailed maps of fire taxing districts are provided. 13

We recommend that legislation be enacted to allow fire 15. departments to continue to participate in charitable community projects. We recommend that the funds resulting from the Kentucky insurance premium surcharge be more equitably 18. distributed for the benefit of volunteer fire departments.

We recommend the fire tax rate should be 25% of normal rate 20. on farmland and full rate on buildings and improvements.

No taxing district should be allowed to increase taxes more than 22. 4 percent without recall, and that local taxing boards be required to clarify expenditures of all funds collected annually through some public information vehicle.

We encourage the General Assembly to develop statewide uniform guidelines, annual training and oversight rules for taxes and fees implemented by special taxing districts.

SALES AND USE TAXES

We believe that a broad-base sales and use tax is the fairest plan for financing government, while maintaining current agricultural exemptions for all taxing jurisdictions.

We recommend that all farm production items and services 36. including veterinary medicine and vaccines, electricity, sawdust and wood shavings, all livestock and poultry bedding, LP gas, and natural gas be exempt from sales and use tax. We recommend remov-39. ing the sales tax on bumper trailers for agricultural use.

We support a card identification system for qualified farmers for 41, sales and use tax exemptions.

We oppose adding sales tax to food items and prescription drugs. We encourage sales tax not be imposed on nonprofit or 44 charitable organizations.

We support the permanent extension of a motor vehicle usage 45. tax trade-in credit on a new vehicle.

Kentucky horse farms should receive the same sales tax 48. exemption that all other Kentucky farms receive.

Agriculture cannot pass along its increases in production expenses; therefore to keep our agriculture community viable, we need to keep our Kentucky agriculture sales tax exemption intact.

We support restoring the sales and use tax vendor's compensation back to the pre-2013 level.

We urge the Kentucky Department of Revenue to utilize auditors 55. with agricultural knowledge when performing agricultural audits.

We recommend that freight, being a service and not a tangible item, always be considered non-taxable.

INHERITANCE TAXES

1.

2.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

22

25.

27.

29

32

33.

34.

35

36.

37.

38

40

43

44

45

46.

47.

48.

49.

50.

57.

3. We thank the General Assembly for eliminating Class A 4. beneficiaries from Kentucky's inheritance tax and recommend the exemption be extended to Class B and C beneficiaries.

6. We recommend the removal of the requirement that farm value 7. exceed 50% of the fair cash value of the estate for the agricultural 8. use evaluation in computing the inheritance taxes.

We support the permanent repeal of Kentucky's estate and inheritance tax.

INCOME TAXES

We recommend reinstatement of the federal income tax 15. deduction on state income tax returns.

We recommend the repeal of the tax on interest income and 17. 18. recommend the exclusion of taxes on dividend income earned 19. with full exclusion of anyone over the age of 62.

We oppose mandatory withholding of state taxes from 20. agriculture employees.

We believe necessary college expenses for parents supporting 23. their children at fully or partially state or federally funded 24. universities should be tax exempt.

We believe an option transaction for agriculture marketing should 26. be treated the same as a hedge transaction for tax purposes.

We support an exclusion from all taxes for the sale of 28. agricultural land that remains in production.

We support Kentucky's depreciation schedule conforming with 30. the federal depreciation schedule including Section 179 rules and 31. regulations

We support an exclusion from all taxes for the transfer of a business, including farms, between parent and children.

REVENUE SHARING

We recommend that any revenue sharing proposals be closely 39. examined to protect rural citizens.

Rural areas and programs such as conservation, rural road 41. improvements and increased water lines, should receive their fair 42. share of federal revenue sharing funds.

Coal/gas producing counties should receive a greater share of coal severance taxes and should be permitted to use it for repairing roads and bridges and/or water projects.

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS

We recommend that the state of Kentucky conduct a thorough 51. investigation before approving additional bonds so that the state's 52. bonded indebtedness is not over-extended and that the general 53. fund and the road fund is not jeopardized.

54 We recommend that all bond issues that are to be financed by a 55. tax increase be presented to a vote of the people and that a two-56. thirds majority vote be required for approval.

HIGHWAYS, ROADS, AND BRIDGES

2

3.

13

16

20

26

28

31

51

52

57

We recommend continuation of the 22.2 percent allocation of the 5 state gasoline tax revenue for rural roads, and increasing county road aid funds to the point that all rural roads can have a 8 hard surface.

We support revenue options that ensure adequate financing for transportation infrastructure maintenance which take into account increased construction costs, improved fuel efficiency, and electric vehicles which currently pay no fuel tax.

We support amending KRS 138.210 to specify the motor fuels excise tax does not decrease by more than 10 percent under the 15. average wholesale price in any fiscal year.

We support measures that would prohibit all levels of government from enacting weight limit restrictions, other than where the safety 17. 18. of bridges is a concern, that would hamper the ability of agriculture 19. to produce and deliver their products to market.

We oppose requiring loggers to bond roads to remove timber 21. from private land.

We support the 10% weight exemption on farm trucks and trucks 23. servicing farms hauling agriculture products, similar to the same benefits currently given to the transportation of primary forest 25. products.

We support 97,000 pound gross weight for six axles on state and 27. federal highways.

Furthermore, necessary action should be taken to assure that all 29. road fund money is spent as originally intended and is used effectively by local jurisdictions.

We recommend that a policy be adopted regarding farm-tomarket roads using the same concept with weight and dimension and that consideration be given to farm machinery when widening these roads. We recommend county and state highway engineers to consider agriculture use in the design phase of road and bridge planning. We support the efforts to upgrade all bridges and all county, state and federal roads. We recommend that a better job be done in maintaining rural coal-haul roads. 38

We support legislation requiring the taking of equal amounts of 40. property from each side of the road when widening of the roads is planned. Furthermore, we recommend that property owners be fully informed when rights-of-way negotiations are taking place.

We recommend that county Farm Bureaus work with county 44. officials and the rural highway department in determining where 45. rural highway funds should be used.

We urge that consideration be given to the construction of 46 crossroads, underpasses or overpasses to allow the passage of livestock and vehicles when a farm is divided. 48

We request the Highway Department to refrain from seeding 50 rights-of-way with Bermuda grass.

We are opposed to fertilizing highway rights-of-way except when reseeding.

We recommend better markings for all dangerous intersections on rural highways using caution lights and rumble strips. We also recommend that all state and county roads be striped 55 56. where feasible.

We recommend that all paved public roads be white-striped on
 the shoulder.

We support the state using raised pavement markers in the
 center of the road on state highways.

We encourage transportation officials to ease restrictions on
 farm equipment access to multi-lane and/or limited access
 roadways.

We recommend that new surfaces laid upon old roads be
 accompanied by a comparable buildup of the shoulders. We also
 urge the guidelines, center and outside, be painted on blacktop
 roads and minimum width standards be maintained.

12. Asphalt strip patching should be done in a manner that will not13. alter a vehicle's direction or result in vehicle over correction.

14. We encourage the Kentucky Department of Transportation to
15. install and maintain all road signs and markers at least six feet
16. where possible from the pavement edges so that large farm
17. equipment can travel easier and safer on all public roads.

We further recommend that reflectors be installed on the ends of
 all culverts and bridges and that paved highway markers be
 installed in the center of the roads.

21. We recommend that all county roads be a minimum of 18 feet22. wide where feasible.

We support policy that all highway rights-of-ways be mowed
 completely at least three times and the first mowing be completed
 before the seed head formation of noxious weeds. We urge the
 state highway department to more closely supervise contract mow ers.

We recommend that highways be kept clean and that limbs
 are pruned in a timely manner.

We support enforcement of laws requiring complete roadside
 cleanup and removal of roadside debris from accident scenes.

32. We recommend all respective entities work together in highway
33. planning so as to maximize development of Kentucky water
34. resources through use of highway fills and dams.

35. We recommend that bona fide farm equipment and operations36. be exempt from the beautification laws and/or regulations.

37. We urge exemption of ad valorem taxes on commercial vehicles38. to encourage licensing trucks in Kentucky.

We recommend that the speed limit on four lane, partially
 controlled access highways, be raised to 65 mph with exceptions for
 congested areas.

42. We recommend that all farm machinery and equipment driven on43. public roads have rubber tires, including horse drawn buggies.

44. We support legislation to have scooters, bicycles and horse
45. drawn equipment display slow moving vehicle signs (SMVs) and/or
46. be adequately illuminated when ridden off private property.

47. We encourage a statewide system for removal of animal remains
48. from highways and disposal through composting or other approved
49. methods.
50. We urge the development of

We urge the development of regulations that would make riding
 bicycles on roads safer for all.

52.

53.

54.

55

56.

57.

FARM VEHICLE LICENSE

We recommend the reduction of license costs on vehicles
 involved in the practice of moving soil conservation equipment.

We oppose mandatory licensing of farm trailers.

We suggest the creation of a farm truck tag for larger farm trucks.
 Furthermore, the license tag fee should be prorated per pound in accordance with the 38,000 pound farm tag.

We favor prorating the cost of a farm truck license whenpurchased in the middle of the license year.

We favor the continuation of the exemption up to the 80 thousandpound weight limit on Kentucky's roads for trucks transporting itemsof agriculture commodities, to and from the farm.

We support legislation that, for the purpose of vehicle enforcement, farm trucks and farm truck-trailer combinations, licensed with
the 38,000 pound farm tag shall not be considered commercial
vehicles when used for agricultural purposes.

We recommend reciprocity agreements with adjoining states, to allow operations of licensed Kentucky farm trucks without the required purchase of fuel permits or additional licenses. We also recommend that Kentucky weight limits and regulations be honored in such cases.

TRANSPORTATION

23.

24

25.

26

30

We support the exempt commodity provision of the Motor
 Transportation Act and oppose any effort to regulate the
 transportation of agricultural commodities or supplies.

We support legislation that clearly defines the right of farmers to transport their products to market and to move their equipment by the most economically feasible method.

We encourage the Kentucky Justice and Public Safety Cabinet
 and the Department of Transportation to use uniform enforcement
 policies across the state.

36. We support a review of the trucking regulations including a 37. suggested escalating fine system for overweight trucks.

We recommend that the state regulations on width of trailers be seen changed to 102" on all state and local highways. Trailers that are sold currently don't comply with the old regulations of 96".

We oppose road tractors pulling any more than two trailers.

We support changes to Kentucky's Transportation Cabinet
43. laws and regulations which conflict with long term practices of
44. agriculture; such as the requirement when hauling grain that it be
45. 100% owned by the landowner, which prevents trucking of grain
46. and/or livestock in a swap work environment where no cash
47. exchanges hands.

We recommend the maximum driving and on-time-dutyexemption for agriculture purposes be increased to a 200 air-mile on radius.

We recommend that farm trucks that travel 7,500 miles per year
 or less be exempt from annual vehicle inspections; but inspected
 every three years.

54. We support a waiver for drivers DOT restrictions in disaster 55. situations.

We recommend that farm trucks have a year-round exemption on \$\opin\$, log book entries.

We support the extension of non-CDL farmers to travel anywhere 2. within the Commonwealth from the farm to the market.

We support an exemption from the Department of Transportation 4. Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration's 30 minute break 5. period for livestock haulers in consideration of animal welfare.

6. We support the soldiers to semis initiative to get more qualified 7. CDL drivers in the workforce and support a similar initiative be 8. enacted for coal miners.

9

10.

11.

12

16.

19.

21.

22.

23

24

25.

26.

50.

54. 55.

56.

57.

RAILROADS

We recommend that Kentucky Farm Bureau assist property 13. 14. owners in obtaining title to abandoned railroad easements adjacent 15. to their property.

We are opposed to the abandoned Rails to Trails Program unless 17. all affected property owners are in agreement with the project.

We support crossing arms at all public railroad crossings. 18.

We support increasing the fine for railroad companies that 20. obstruct a highway, street or navigable stream.

LIABILITY ISSUES

We believe that many awards in personal injury cases are excessive and have resulted in higher insurance premiums.

27 We support tort reform to include, but not be limited to, a cap on 28. the amount of damages, such as a maximum of \$250,000, that can 29. be awarded for non-economic loss. Tort reform should also limit 30. attorney's fees paid from the awards.

31. If a plaintiff files a class action lawsuit or civil lawsuit and loses, 32. the plaintiff should reimburse any court expenses and attorney fees 33. incurred by the defendant.

We urge state legislation be enacted to require individuals or 34. 35. groups who seek injunctions to reimburse the defendants for all court costs, legal fees, losses and costs arising from such actions 37, that are eventually shown to be unfounded or are overturned in a 38. court of law. We support a requirement that court jurisdiction for 39. regulatory actions and lawsuits stay within the county where the 40. alleged offense occurred.

41. We support legislation to exempt the contract grower from 42. product liability issues.

Landowners should be protected from any trespassers, hunters, 43. and criminal offenders seeking liability damages.

We encourage the adoption of anti-disparagement legislation 45. 46. that provides a legal cause of action against those individuals, 47. groups or government bodies who make disparaging statements 48. against agricultural products, farm animals, and/or production 49 without scientific proof.

We favor legislation that would require a car owner, law 51. enforcement officer or wrecker operator be liable for damages to 52. private property or damages caused by livestock getting out as a 53. result of an auto accident, if landowner is not notified immediately.

2

9

10

12

19

20

21.

22

25.

29

50

57

We encourage programs that promote the proper use of farm 4 machinery.

We encourage all local media to promote educational 5. programs in helping to make the public aware of safety consideration year round with special emphasis during planting and harvesting seasons.

We strongly support and encourage the continuation and expansion of the Department of Agriculture safety programs. We nationally recognize the benefits of the safety programs and encourage the General Assembly to provide adequate funding.

We encourage county Farm Bureaus to explore the possibility of 13 organizing local fire protection associations. We favor legislation 14 that would require railroads to eliminate excessive weed growth, installation of flashers at crossings with obstructed views and prompt repair of rough crossings.

We encourage the proper use of hazard lights and escorts when moving farm equipment.

We strongly urge that police flag wrecked cars so that other motorists will know that the wreck has been worked.

We recommend that all mailboxes be placed a reasonable distance from the edge of roads and not directly across from other obstructions to accommodate farm implements where feasible.

We support legislation requiring headlights being illuminated if windshield wipers are in use.

We encourage the wearing of safety gear when staffing road blocks for the purpose of solicitation.

We oppose unreasonable interruptions and enforcement of occupational safety and health regulations when they deal with 30

We recommend that businesses with ten or less employees be 30 exempt from occupational safety and health regulations.

We recommend that entities involved in safety education programs cooperate and support each other, and that Farm Bureau 35. support all safety educational programs.

Due to the increased number of injuries and deaths with ATV sage, we recommend more emphasis be put on education, training and enforcement of legislation for all ages.

40. Dealers who sell ATVs should be required to provide all purchasers with basic safety instructions and materials for comprehensive ATV safety usage.

We strongly support enforcement of all ATV laws for protection of 43. the ATV riders and the public.

We recommend the continued promotion of rollover protection 45 structures and seat belts by all groups and associations involved in farm safety.

We recommend that all addresses should be properly marked and displayed on houses and mailboxes. 49

We encourage the use of "dry hydrants" as a way of improving 50 51. fire protection in rural areas.

We recommend water districts and 911 coordinators work so together to assign all fire hydrants and dry hydrants a physical address to assist fire departments in responding to 911 calls.

55 We recommend the laws be enforced on tinting of motor 56. vehicle glass.

Guard rails should, if practical, be recessed from the edge of 2. the traveling lane an adequate distance, no less than 3 feet, to 3. allow disabled vehicles and farm equipment a safe area from 4. moving traffic.

We support having questions on the Kentucky driver's license 6, test pertaining to what actions motorists should take when 7. approaching wide or slow moving vehicles on public roadways.

8. We recommend that all state and local driver's education 9. classes include a section dealing with driver awareness when approaching farm equipment on roadways.

We strongly urge Kentucky Farm Bureau to work with appropriate 11. 12. agencies, such as the National Safety Council, to encourage the 13. automobile industry and the consumer products industries to 14. develop standardization on all car seats and booster seats and 15. methods of installation and operation.

We support educational efforts to prevent the death of children 16. 17. left in unattended vehicles and encourage research for the 18. development of safety features to prevent these incidents.

19. We strongly support and encourage the Department of 20. Agriculture to continue and expand their safety programs.

21. We recommend all tractor kill switches be marked by a sticker or

23. We support a statewide public education safety campaign 24. to discourage the practice of distracted driving, including texting and 25. cell phone usage.

We oppose using slow moving vehicle signs on anything other 26 27. than slow moving vehicles.

28 We encourage individuals to wear a helmet and other appropri-29. ate safety gear while riding motorcycles or bicycles.

30.

31.

32.

33.

INSURANCE

34. We recommend that all insurance remain a free enterprise with 35. minimum state regulations and that it continue to be a service that 36. may be purchased in accordance with the needs of the public.

37 We oppose further federal regulatory expansion into the 38. insurance industry.

39. We are against federal no-fault automobile insurance. We 40. strongly feel the best interests of society will be served if such 41. legislation continues under the supervision and control of state 42. legislatures rather than Congress.

43. We support eliminating fraud and abuse in Kentucky's Workers' 44. Compensation Law and encourage continuing review of the law to 45. prevent further abuse and fraud.

We oppose any state operated funds being used for the payment 47. of workers' compensation claims or claims on behalf of the 48. irresponsible motorist.

We support the continuation of agriculture being exempt from the 49. 50. workers' compensation law.

We oppose H-2A employers being required to carry workers 52. compensation insurance on all employees that are not engaged in 53. like work. We also oppose premium payments based on anything 54. other than wages paid to workers.

We support legislation requiring all institutions selling insurance 56. to provide written consumer disclosure and other consumer 57. protections as specified in current state insurance regulations.

We oppose the stacking of vehicle insurance coverage.

We oppose any undue or unjust rate increases in vehicle insurance on farm pick-ups or sport utility vehicles.

We strongly recommend the vehicle insurance liability law be 5 enforced. Uninsured motorists should be required to surrender license plates to local authorities.

We support establishing a schedule, as it relates to charges for 8. PIP coverages, similar to that of worker's compensation.

We support immediate enactment of Federal Natural Disaster Protection Legislation, with special emphasis for earthquake and 10 flood loss, to form a workable partnership between the federal government and the private insurance industry.

We oppose a private cause of action under the Unfair Claims 14. Settlement Practices Act.

13.

18.

19.

20

22

28.

29.

30

30

38.

43.

48

50

53.

55

We favor increasing the quarterly limit of workers compensation 15. 15. exemptions to small businesses and farmers, due to the increases 17. of hourly wages and expenses.

FARM LABOR

We recommend Kentucky Farm Bureau assist federal and state 23. agencies and private entities in disseminating information, evaluating and implementing the use of migrant labor.

We encourage the development of a standardized, expedited system within the U.S. consulate's offices for processing and verification of H-2A workers.

We strongly urge the Department of Labor to approve joint contracts among farmers for the H-2A labor program.

We support the use of the agricultural prevailing wage rate rather than the Adverse Effect Wage Rate (AEWR) in the H-2A program.

We oppose providing farm workers with private rights-of-action or 33 rights-to-sue under the H-2A program.

Federal H-2A program users should not be subject to Migrant 55 and Seasonal Agricultural Worker Protection Act (MSPA) 35. jurisdiction. Necessary action should be taken to deter frivolous lawsuits against farm labor employers. In the event of a lawsuit, court jurisdiction should fall within the state and/or county where the 39. alleged violation occurred.

We recommend that Farm Bureau work closely in cooperation 40 41. with the Office of Training and Employment in accumulating data for the yearly prevailing wage.

We recommend that an exemption for agriculture be added to the Kentucky Seventh Day Labor Law (KRS 337.050).

We recommend that, before making an H-2A referral, the State 45 5 Department of Employment Services be required to conduct 47 employment eligibility verification.

We support a meaningful agricultural temporary worker program and encourage the following reforms:

- a new agriculture visa that is portable (at-will) or by contract 51 and that also deals with ag sectors, including livestock, that need year-round workers;
- required reimbursement being paid at the 50 percent point of 54 the contract;
 - a streamlined system to receive workers:
- a change from the Adverse Effect Wage Rate (AEWR) to the agricultural prevailing wage rate; and

- staggered dates of worker entry under a single contract.

2 We recognize the importance of guest worker visa reform and 3. believe all immigration issues should be addressed at the federal level.

We support amending the Federal Migrant Seasonal Labor Act 4. 5. and the H-2A Act to require that court jurisdiction fall within the state 6. and/or county where the alleged violation occurred. 7

Understanding farm labor skills vary by commodity, we urge exploration of potential state and local workforce investment areas 8. 9. to help meet Kentucky's agricultural labor needs.

We recommend all farmers markets be covered under the 10 11. agricultural workers exemption and not be required to carry 12. workers' compensation insurance or pay overtime.

We support:

1.

13.

14.

22

25

26.

27.

28.

29

30.

33.

35.

39.

40.

41.

42.

43.

48

49

50.

51.

52.

53.

54

55

56.

57.

- (1) Retention of the present family farm exemption from the child 15. labor provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) regardless 16. of business structure where family members are owners;
- (2) Enforcement of federal child labor laws designed to prevent 17. 18. underage children from working in all industries:
- (3) Existing FLSA provisions, which specify and provide 19. 20. opportunities for young people of the proper age to perform certain 21. agriculture jobs; and
- (4) The family farm exemption in the Migrant and Seasonal 23. Agricultural Worker Protection Act (MSPA) and oppose any efforts to restrict its application.

LABOR-MANAGEMENT RELATIONS

We recommend that steps be taken to eliminate abuse in the 31. collection of unemployment compensation claims. We support 32. right-to-work legislation.

We oppose an increase in the minimum hourly wage.

We recommend that the federal prevailing wage law be repealed when dealing with government contracts.

We support a return to the \$1 million project level before the 37. prevailing wage law is triggered, and support indexing the level 38. for inflation.

UNIONIZATION OF **PUBLIC EMPLOYEES**

We strongly oppose any mandate that would require any 45. government entities to recognize and collectively bargain with 46. employee unions.

Furthermore, we oppose public employees being permitted to strike, organize work stoppages or slow-downs.

UNION ORGANIZATION OF FARMERS

We oppose unionization of farmers and farm laborers.

80

WELFARE

We urge the Legislative Research Commission to study the 4 entire welfare program and make recommendations for any 5 changes needed to correct welfare abuses and ineligible recipients.

We also urge strong penalties when fraud is identified.

2

10.

11.

12

14

15.

16.

17

18.

19

20

21

22

23.

24

25.

29

30

31

34

35.

36

37

38

39.

40.

41

45

46.

50

54

We support the idea that those who receive SSI, food stamps, s housing, or other government welfare payments should have to submit to random drug testing.

Persons on strike should not be eligible for food stamps, surplus commodities or unemployment compensation.

We support amending the public assistance and welfare program 13. to allow the participants to do part time work and earn up to \$6,000 per year without any penalty or loss of benefits.

DOG LAW

We urge the Farm Bureau leadership to work with fiscal courts and local dog law enforcement agencies to:

- (1) See that the laws are strongly enforced; and
- (2) Arrange with veterinarians and other appropriate persons to sell dog tags.

We recommend an increase in dog tag licensing fees and recommend that a portion of the increase go to the fund to pay farmers for loss of livestock. We recommend that a substantial effort be required by a county before funds can be collected for Evestock from the livestock fund.

We favor amending the law to designate county dog wardens as peace officers for the purpose of enforcing the dog law only.

We oppose any effort to transfer dog law enforcement from the Department of Agriculture to any other department of 33. state government.

ENERGY

We support government and industry working together to develop all possible sources of energy to alter U.S. dependence on other nations for energy resources.

We support further development of solar, geothermal, biofuels, wind, and other sources of energy and recommend that special 43. emphasis be given to converting to expanded use of coal including gasification, liquefaction and alcohol production.

We support providing incentive programs and tax relief for fuel-graded alcohol. We also support use of coal gasification technology to produce nitrogen based fertilizers.

We will publicly and aggressively promote the use of biofuels 49 and support legislation that promotes biofuels usage. We recommend aggressively combating anti-renewable fuels and anti-50 51. biofuels campaigns.

We recommend that if any oxygenate is needed to meet clean air 53 requirements that it be ethanol.

We recommend that all state vehicles use ethanol blends and 55. biodiesel when available.

We recommend that all gasoline engine vehicles purchased by state government be able to use e85 fuel when available.

We encourage expanded research for the use of ethanol for coal 2. desulphurization.

We recommend that Kentucky Farm Bureau aggressively 4. promote the required use of biodiesel throughout the state 5. of Kentucky.

We recommend that all diesel and gasoline offered for sale in 7. Kentucky contain a blend of biofuels or ethanol and urge increased 8. availability of e85 fuel. We support efforts to promote biofuel usage 9. by visible and identifiable signage.

We support quality testing of biofuels by the Kentucky 10. 11. Department of Agriculture, in a cost-effective manner.

12. We urge top priority be given to agriculture when fuel rationing 13. occurs. We favor rationing programs be based on current annual 14. needs rather than a monthly basis.

We encourage economic incentives for farm owners to purchase 15. 16. their own fuel storage and propane tanks.

17. Energy is an important input to agriculture, and in times of short-18. age or other emergencies the state should implement an energy 19. emergency response plan to facilitate transportation and delivery of 20. fuel, propane and electricity.

21. We recommend that biofuels be taken into consideration when 22. fuel rationing occurs.

23. We support:

31.

34.

35.

24. (1) continued research and development to expand production 25. of renewable fuels from agricultural commodities, further reducing 26. America's dependence on foreign oil;

27. (2) establishment of state incentives to support increased 28. production and use of agricultural-based fuels;

(3) the use and conversion of waste products for expanded 29. 30. forms of energy;

(4) tax incentives for the usage of alternative forms of energy;

32. (5) state incentives and programs to promote energy efficiency 33. and conservation on farms;

(6) implementation of a state and national energy policy;

(7) University research on alternative fuels; and

36. (8) University research on processing and transporting biomass 37. products.

38. We support the Kentucky Biomass Initiative to research the use 39. of switchgrass and other forage crops for commercial electrical 40. generation and residential heating.

41. We recommend that the Kentucky Department of Agriculture 42. and the Kentucky Economic Development Cabinet recognize that 43. renewable energy and energy efficiency are economic drivers for 44. our farms, rural communities and businesses and encourage these 45. agencies to develop and support initiatives of the same. We further 46. recommend these agencies collaborate with the Kentucky 47. Department for Energy Development and Independence.

We support the goals of 25 X '25 which are: by the year 2025 48. 49. America's farms, ranches, and forests will provide twenty-five 50. percent of the total energy consumed in the United States without 51. sacrificing the production of safe, abundant and affordable food. 52. feed, and fiber.

53. We encourage enhanced education and demonstration efforts 54. on energy efficiency in the homes, farms and businesses of 55. Kentucky.

We oppose the establishment of new city-owned electric systems 57. to serve new or expanded electric loads because of the obvious negative impact this would have on the electric rates of residential and commercial customers of the electric utility currently providing service.

We support the use of wood, wood pellets, wood biomass, and wood products as a source of renewable energy.

We oppose climate change legislation that establishes mandatory cap and trade provisions which would lead to higher energy costs and negative impacts on the agricultural economy.

Fracking should not be permitted on current oil and gas leases 8. without notifying landowners and renegotiating the lease with the

11

13

15

16.

17.

18

21

28.

29

30.

33

34.

35

36

37

38

46.

488

50.

We encourage state government to return to agriculture a portion of any settlement funds from energy distributors and suppliers due to unfair practices and unfulfilled contracts.

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION

Rural electric cooperatives serve thinly populated areas which 19. produce a low return on investments, thus, we support continuation of an adequate program for securing necessary finances, their right to generate and transmit power and their territorial integrity.

Every safeguard should be taken to ensure that control of rural electric cooperatives remains with the members. We further support the continued existence of the territorial law which establishes the boundary lines relative to the service provided by electric utilities for residential, commercial and industrial customers.

We recommend in areas where electrical rates are higher for farming operations than residential rates, that these be adjusted to the lowest rate possible.

We recommend the Public Service Commission encourage utilities to develop a new rate classification specifically designed for farms to address seasonal peak demand charges.

We oppose mandated retail deregulation of electricity through legislative or regulatory action at the federal and/or state level.

RURAL COMMUNICATIONS

39 We support expanded and improved cellular phone coverage throughout Kentucky, but want safeguards to protect and maintain landline service for those who do not want wireless.

We urge the Public Service Commission to study the service being offered to all telephone users in rural areas and to encourage the companies to upgrade the service to compare with that in

We support toll-free county-wide telephone service.

We are opposed to mandatory measured service.

We are opposed to the present overlay district system as proposed by the Public Service Commission.

We are encouraged by the progress that has been made and the attention that has been given to increasing affordable broadband and high speed internet in all rural areas, and we urge continuation of this effort because there is an urgent need.

We support all efforts and incentives to improve all telecommunications in rural Kentucky.

We support efforts to provide affordable broadband, high speed internet, and precision ag service to all rural areas of Kentucky

1. through improved access to, and prompt and efficient use of, all 2. available federal and state funding opportunities.

We strongly oppose free cell phones being funded by the 4. federal government with the exception of those provided for the 5. elderly.

We urge the state of Kentucky to open state and federal property to improve rural mobile and broadband coverage.

UTILITY RATES AND REGULATIONS

We encourage the Public Service Commission to monitor and 14. investigate the activities and operating costs of utilities to ensure 15. that the rates approved are fair and equitable, particularly as this 16. relates to the fuel adjustment clause.

17. We recommend the Public Service Commission encourage 18. utilities to develop a new rate classification specifically designed for 19. farms to address seasonal peak demand charges.

20. We recommend that customer meter charges be kept to a 21. minimum for farm operations.

22. We oppose municipal water utilities raising water rates only on 23. rural water districts, water associations, and small cities to pay for 24. expanding their water plants.

25. Steps should be taken to maintain Kentucky's favorable utility 26. rates. Construction of new power generating facilities and 27. associated infrastructures should not be allowed to adversely affect 28. Kentucky consumers and specifically rural customers.

We encourage all electric utility providers to monitor and 30. maintain rates at levels below the national average to attract and 31. retain commercial and industrial customers.

We recommend there be rural representation on the Public 33. Service Commission.

We support the procedure in which Public Service Commission 35. members are appointed by the Governor and not elected.

HEALTH

3.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10

11

12.

13.

34.

36.

37.

38.

39.

40.

44

- (1) The development and implementation of programs to 41. 42. provide incentives for consumers to practice wellness and 43. disease prevention;
 - (2) Efforts of Kentucky's cancer and disease research programs.
- 45. (3) A personal file added to an individual driver's license, similar 46. to the organ donor's list currently used. This file should upon a 47. voluntary basis include medication alerts, immediate family contact 48. information, and/or other needed important data that could be used 49. at the time of an accident or medical emergency;
- 50. (4) Efforts of medical schools to train additional qualified 51. family physicians, nurse practitioners, physician assistants and 52. other qualified health care professionals who intend to practice 53. medicine in rural areas;
- (5) Residency programs to provide postgraduate family 55. physician training away from major metropolitan-based medical 56. training centers;

- (6) All programs which support efforts to eradicate sexually transmitted diseases:
- (7) Closer working relationships between organizations of primary care providers, medical societies and health agencies and 5 the Board of Education;
- (8) Education of primary care providers, teachers, and other health professionals to include the clinical application of sound nutritional principles: and

2

95

12

13.

20.

21

29.

31

35.

40.

41

44

45

46

47

48.

49

56 57

- (9) The Certificate of Need Board being more diligent in their work in approving hospital expansions, including hospice, home health, and long-term care, concerning facilities and services. We oppose:
- (1) Legislation or regulations that would jeopardize present volunteer emergency medical technician (EMT) systems; and
- (2) Guidelines that would close the obstetric wards in hospitals that do not meet annual requirements for number of births.

We urge county Farm Bureaus to support and participate with community health clinics, free clinics and rural health programs such as bloodmobiles, mobile X-ray units, dental and cancer clinics and ambulance service.

We believe the disability programs are too lenient and should be monitored closely by the health care providers. Both the patient and health care providers should be held accountable. A system to regulate the program should be in place.

We support the county health departments and recommend they be used to their full potential.

We urge the passage of legislation that provides that the membership of county health boards include at least one farmer.

We encourage timely domestic production of critical health waccines as a policy of national security.

We urge continuing research and development of a human vaccine for any strain of influenza or other communicable disease.

We support Advanced Life Support Systems (ALSS) being available in all Kentucky counties.

We urge the development of a secure electronic medical records system, such as the E-Health Network, to increase the efficiency of healthcare delivery.

We support the location of a Veterans Administration long-term se care facility in southeast Kentucky; one that will provide care to veterans who are unable to care for themselves.

We support a dedicated suicide hotline for farmers and agricultural workers, and encourage Kentucky Farm Bureau to promote its

HEALTH INSURANCE

We support health care insurance reform and encourage the Kentucky General Assembly to continue to address this issue.

50. We support being able to modify coverages, such as increasing deductibles, without losing the status of legacy or grandfathered health insurance policies.

We recommend that all insurance remain a free enterprise with minimum state regulations and that it continue to be a service that ay be purchased in accordance with the needs of the public.

- We support changes in Kentucky's health insurance laws that 2. will stabilize the market, encourage competition and increase 3. consumer choice.
- We believe that all health care providers should be allowed to set 5. their own individual rates for health care services. However, we 6. recommend legislation that would require individual providers 7. to charge consistent fees for like services.
- We encourage continued transparency by health insurance 8. 9. providers on costs and coverages to ensure competitive pricing and service.
- 11. We recommend that the state rate all medical services, facilities, 12. and equipment. This would enable consumers and health care 13. providers to determine the most cost effective service of 14. comparable quality.
- 15. We reaffirm our support for state and federal legislation that 16. would increase the flexibility for individuals to benefit from 17. Association Health Plans.
- 18. Associations should be able to provide adequate health 19. insurance. We oppose any action that would impede that ability.
- 20 We support the creation of additional tax incentives for self-21. employed and small business owners that purchase health 22. insurance for their employees.
- 23. We are opposed to requiring employers to provide health 24. insurance. Employers who choose to provide health insurance 25. benefits for full-time employees should not be required to provide 26. seasonal and part-time employees with health insurance throughout 27. the calendar year of their employment.
- 28. Future health care policy changes should embrace the following 29. principles:
- 30. (1) Promotion of personal wellness, fitness and preventive care 31. as basic health goals;
- (2) Minimal government intervention in decisions between 33. providers and receivers of health care;
- (3) Tax policies that encourage individuals to prepare for future 35. health care needs such as making long-term care insurance 36. premiums 100% tax deductible:
- (4) Direct government financial assistance to providers for those 38. who are economically unable to pay for health care needs;
- 39. (5) Government programs like Medicare and Medicaid properly 40. compensate providers;
- 41. (6) Protect the right of patients to choose health care providers 42. and methods of treatment; and
- (7) Ensure that health care providers, not insurance companies, 44. determine patient treatment.
- 45. We support comprehensive affordable health care for all U.S. 46. citizens.
- 47.
- 48 (1) Every possible effort to affect cost management while 49. providing accessible high quality health care;
- (2) A law that would limit health care costs to no more than the 50. 51. level of federal cost of living increases and furthermore, recommend 52. that hospitals be required to reveal their pricing structures;
- 53. (3) The development of legislation that will lead to changing our 54. present health care delivery system through the following:
- (A) Cost incentive/rewards (providers, insurance companies 55. 56. and consumers):
- (B) Protection against monopolies; and 57.

- (C) Periodic review of regulations.
- (4) Efforts to eliminate or significantly reduce cost shifting from Medicaid and Medicare to individuals and third-party payers;
- (5) The reduction of government mandates which require certain provisions be included in all health insurance policies:
- (6) Greater use of non-physician providers, such as physician's assistants, nurse practitioners and midwives, to help improve the a distribution of health care;
- (7) Economic incentives at state and local levels to encourage primary care providers to practice in rural areas and the restoration of equitable Medicare payments to rural hospitals and primary care providers;
 - (8) Government policies that provide incentives for medical and mental health services in rural areas;
 - (9) Privately funded optional care delivery systems such as health maintenance organizations;
 - (10) Efforts to reduce medical malpractice insurance costs;
- 18 (11) Third-party payer recognition for payment of outpatient 19 treatment and preventive measures; and
- (12) Government incentives to the private sector for providing 21 long-term health care.

We oppose:

13.

14.

17

20

22

23.

25

36

27

31

33

35

36

37

41.

45 45

47

48

49

- (1) Government interference with private enterprise by subsidizing professional medical services;
 - (2) The practice of charging for medical services solely on the ability to pay; and
 - (3) Compulsory national and/or state health insurance.
- 28. We encourage strict oversight of Medicare and Medicaid programs with the intent of reducing fraud and to decrease health 29 30. care costs.

We encourage individuals to seek a high deductible and/or co-payment plan as a way of reducing overall health insurance premiums.

We support and encourage the use of health savings accounts as an opportunity to lower health insurance costs and be able to contribute after age 65 as long as you continue to work.

We support action by the Kentucky General Assembly that will ensure that patients in nursing homes receive quality care including 38. sufficient, better trained front-line care givers and a statewide system to help consumers find available beds.

We support the use of KASPER (Kentucky All Scheduled Prescription Electronic Reporting) to assist health care providers in monitoring narcotic prescriptions and support the cooperation of surrounding states that have similar programs.

KENTUCKY FAIRS

We support the State Fair Board and management in their efforts to make the State Fair the pride of Kentucky's agriculture, industry and natural resources. We encourage the Kentucky State Fair Board be composed of a minimum of fifty percent voting members who are active members in agriculture leadership. We support funding for the expansion and maintenance of facilities at the Kentucky Exposition Center. We urge the Kentucky General Assembly to assume the remaining debt service on capital expenstures at the Kentucky Exposition Center and for the funding to be 1. taken from the general fund. We will cooperate with all interest 2. groups in efforts to maintain an educational State Fair free from 3. political interference with continuity of competent management. For 4. efficiency and effectiveness, we support the Kentucky State Fair 5. Board maintain its independence as a state agency as provided in 6. KRS 247.

We urge county Farm Bureaus to support and participate in their local fairs and to encourage good local entries to participate in the 9. State Fair.

7.

8.

10.

13.

16.

17.

18.

19. 20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

30.

32.

43.

47

We support state funds for the continued upgrading of county fairs 11. and encourage the legislature to reinstate and fund the County Fair 12. Building Program.

All requirements for participating in all shows and fairs should be 14. strictly adhered to and all entries should be visually inspected and 15. certified before unloading.

We support fair and uniform guidelines and enforcement of animal livestock inspections for shows and fairs and support proper training for inspectors.

KENTUCKY DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

We support an efficient, well-administered and adequately 25. financed Kentucky Department of Agriculture. We support the 26. Kentucky Department of Agriculture be primarily financed by 27. dedicated sources of revenue, and recommend an increase in the 28. budget's baseline to bring the marketing and promotion budget in 29. line with other states.

We support adequate funding for all 4-H and FFA livestock 31. shows.

We support the current equal distribution of proceeds generated 33. by the voluntary \$10 donation made when renewing Kentucky farm 34. license plates to the Kentucky FFA, Kentucky 4-H, and Kentucky 35. Proud and we encourage county clerks to promote participation.

We support the Kentucky Department of Agriculture's efforts to 37. continue to provide organic certification program services and to 38. maintain and promote the standards of the national organic 39. program.

We recommend that the State Board of Agriculture consist 40. 41. of commodity specific members and at least one member 42. representing Farm Bureau.

We are concerned about the number of state appointed 44. boards and commissions representing agriculture. Efforts should 45. be made to consolidate the work of these groups into one mission 46. for agriculture.

We recommend that a more adequate training program be 48. developed for inspectors responsible for testing equipment, grading, 49. checking moisture meters and scales. We further recommend the 50. licensing of all moisture meter operators and we endorse a unified 51. system of measuring moisture content in grain, soybeans and 52. tobacco at all purchasing stations.

We encourage the Kentucky Department of Agriculture to create 53. 54. procedures for the sanitizing of testing equipment, including probes, 55. instruments, grinders and containers, between the gatherings of 56. grain samples. 57.

We urge the Department to provide strict inspection of all scales 2 used in buying and selling agricultural products.

We recommend the Department continue to work with the 4 Cabinet for Economic Development and others in seeking 5 market opportunities for Kentucky commodities domestically and internationally.

We recommend that the National Agricultural Statistics Service include more detailed reports on horticultural crops. We recommend mproving the efficiency and conversion to computerization of market ata collection and utilize a more producer friendly schedule for the data collection.

12 We recommend that the Kentucky Department of Agriculture 13. continue to employ an equine specialist to work jointly with the 14 Cabinet for Economic Development and the Tourism, Arts and 15. Heritage Cabinet to foster and develop both domestic and foreign 16

We support the Kentucky Department of Agriculture's and the 18. Kentucky Tourism Arts and Heritage Cabinet's efforts to promote 19. Agri-tourism in the Commonwealth with city and county level

17.

30

36

37.

21 We recommend that century and older farms be certified and 22 recognized in Kentucky.

We recommend equine inventory data be included in the Kentucky Agricultural Statistics book.

We recommend the annual survey of Kentucky livestock numbers include sheep and goats, and that the state statistician work with the Kentucky Sheep and Wool Producers Association and the 27 Kentucky Goat Producers Association to assist with the design of 25 this survey.

We recommend the annual survey of Kentucky livestock numbers include privately-owned, farm-raised cervids.

We support the Kentucky Department of Agriculture's efforts to support and promote the privately-owned, farm-raised cervid 34 industry.

We recommend that data on the poultry industry be combined to include broiler, layers, eggs, and the breeder industry in the Kentucky Agricultural Statistics book.

38. We recommend continued funding for the Kentucky Department 39. of Agriculture to employ horticulture/aquaculture marketing specialists to better communicate marketing opportunities to 41. producers and to identify local Kentucky agriculture products for wholesale buvers.

We support providing the Kentucky Department of Agriculture with sufficient resources to fund increased enforcement of organic

46 We recommend establishment of poultry, equine and woodland specialists in the Kentucky Department of Agriculture.

We recommend the Kentucky Department of Agriculture and both state diagnostic laboratories employ poultry veterinarians to some ensure the health of avian species.

51. We urge the Kentucky Department of Agriculture to amend their existing regulations pertaining to Pullorum Typhoid testing to conform with federal guidelines.

54 We encourage the Kentucky Department of Agriculture's Division 55 of Environmental Services to be more consistent in their inspections of agricultural operations.

89

We encourage the Kentucky Department of Agriculture to 2. support the Kentucky Hay Marketing initiative.

We urge the Kentucky Department of Agriculture to fully staff the 3. 4. hay testing program to ensure quality forages throughout the Commonwealth.

We recommend that the Kentucky Department of Agriculture fully 6. 7. staff their market news positions.

We support the Kentucky Department of Agriculture's efforts to 8. 9, monitor proper harvesting and sales of ginseng.

We support the Kentucky Department of Agriculture's efforts to 10. 11. strengthen industrial hemp production in the state of Kentucky.

12. We recommend the Kentucky Department of Agriculture enter 13. into reciprocal agreements, or establish legal documents, with other state's governing agencies to establish a Boll Weevil Eradication Program, and to allow out-of-state commercial agricultural chemical 15. 16. applicators the ability to operate in Kentucky.

17. We support the Kentucky Department of Agriculture as the 18. Commonwealth's primary agency for implementation of the produce safety rule of the Food Safety Modernization Act.

We encourage the Kentucky Department of Agriculture to use 21. science-based information when forming any regulations dealing 22. with crop production.

20.

23.

24.

25

26.

27.

28.

49.

We believe that all Kentucky farmers should be under the same regulations set by the Kentucky Department of Agriculture.

FARM AND FAMILY CREDIT

We favor continuation of adequate agriculture credit at the 29. 30. lowest possible cost.

We believe farmers should be able to access credit from 31. 32. available equity, rather than having credit access based on 33 cash flow.

We continue to support the cooperative associations in the Farm 34. 35. Credit System (FCS) now completely farmer-owned. We oppose 36. any restructuring of the Farm Credit System which would replace farmer-elected members of system boards or grant commercial banks access to money procured by virtue of the agency status 38. enjoyed by the FCS or otherwise threaten the viability of the 40. system. We support legislation that would prohibit Farm Credit 41. Banks from exiting the Farm Credit System.

We recommend that efforts be made to establish a method of 43. financing farms through the use of local, state, federal or other funds 44. that would enable young people to start farming.

45. We urge our farmer-members to be aware of special financial 46. schemes that require "up-front" fees.

We support the Farm Service Agency (FSA) assisting farmers 47. 48. who cannot qualify for other financing.

We recommend federal funding for low income housing as 50. presently administered by the Housing and Urban Development 51. Agency and Rural Economic Community Development (RECD) be 52. discontinued.

We support the continuation of guaranteed and insured loan 53. 54. programs of RECD. Adequate funding should be provided to meet 55, the legitimate needs of eligible farm families including those which 56. are either partnerships or corporations. Adequate, competent coun-57. seling and supervision should be available to all borrowers.

We recommend RECD expedite the processing of loans to farmers allowing them ample time to make farming decisions before planting season. We further recommend providing loans that will be sufficient to carry out farming operations for a full year or rejecting 5 the loan.

We support uniform anti-predatory lending standards for mortgage companies and consumer lenders.

We recommend medical liabilities not be listed as a derogatory on a credit report.

9.

10

11.

12

13.

114

15.

17

19.

26

21.

22.

23.

25

27

29.

30

31.

32

34

37

39

50.

51

53

55.

56.

57

FARM SERVICE AGENCY

We discourage the closing of local Farm Service Agency offices. However, if offices are combined, we urge the USDA to allow farmers to only have to travel to adjoining counties that maintain an adequate workforce.

We recommend that USDA fund FSA in a manner that lets them be timely with their services.

We encourage streamlining of the FSA lending process, including formulation of partnerships with other lending institutions to simplify the process for applicants.

We support utilizing FSA's data and assistance for Premise ID Registration.

We encourage FSA to allow authorized insurance personnel to obtain any necessary producer information forms free of charge from their office.

We support a change in FSA regulations to accept certified printed scale weights.

We urge eligible producers to participate in local FSA committee elections.

We recommend that to be eligible for any FSA committee, the candidate must be actively engaged in agriculture production.

We urge local FSA committees to assist cooperating landowners in the utilization of the forestry incentive programs for tree planting and timber stand improvement.

Federally certified disaster years should be omitted from county FSA vield calculations.

We recommend that the local FSA office display a discount schedule to ensure that each producer in the loan program realizes the cost that he can expect to incur.

We recommend that endophyte-free fescue be considered when 42 FSA cost-shares in pasture renovation.

We suggest that FSA require the establishment of irrigated yields for farmers who irrigate their crops.

We request that FSA, NRCS and the Division of Water collectively provide cost-share information for waste handling facilities to producers when construction permits are issued.

We ask that Kentucky law be changed to allow a parent to sign documents that would be legally binding upon their minor children.

We support extending office hours of local FSA offices during 30 peak sign-up periods.

We are opposed to the open office concept at USDA service senters as being defined as removing walls and having all the agencies in one big room.

1. We oppose mandatory consolidation of farm serial numbers.

4.

6.

7.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

32.

35

36

37.

38

39.

41.

43.

45.

47.

2. We oppose compliance status of one farm affecting the ability to 3. receive benefits on another farm.

AGRI-TERRORISM

8. In order to ensure national security, we recommend that all 9. farmers adopt biosecurity measures and work with agri-terrorism 10. officials whenever necessary.

We condemn acts of terrorism and support the protection of our 11. 12. people, resources, and industry.

13. We encourage a coordinated plan of prevention and remediation 14. between the Kentucky Department of Agriculture and other 15. emergency management organizations in response to acts of 16. terrorism. We encourage the University of Kentucky Extension 17. Service to conduct educational/awareness programs on 18. Agri-terrorism.

We support the appointment of an oversight committee capable of 19. 20. investigating bio-level three and four labs, and their effect on 21. agriculture. This committee would be comprised of community 22. members and professionals, and would be funded by state government and completely independent of Homeland Security. 23.

AGRICULTURE DISASTER

We recommend that Kentucky Farm Bureau work with Congress 29. to provide an appropriation which would be used to trigger National 30. Farm Disaster Programs when the Secretary of Agriculture declares 31. an area a disaster.

We support emergency legislation to allow farmers to receive 33. cost-share monies in a timely manner for obtaining and maintaining 34. agriculture water supplies, including pond cleanout.

PUBLIC RELATIONS

In an effort to improve the public image of agriculture and Farm 40. Bureau, we will work to:

- (1) Build and communicate a better image of agriculture and to 42. stress its importance to the well-being of the American consumer;
- (2) Create and communicate better understanding between rural 44. and urban people;
- (3) Emphasize the marked difference between benefits going 46. directly to farmers and those of benefit to the general public;
- (4) Inform the public that food is affordable and a great value, 48. and that farmers receive a small share of the price paid for food;
- (5) Familiarize the public with the importance of the businesses 49. 50. serving agriculture and their contributions to the growth of the 51. national economy; and
- (6) Conduct an aggressive communication program to promote growth in Farm Bureau membership and commercial services.
- We endorse the National Farm-City Program and urge county 55. Farm Bureaus to participate in such activities.
- We recommend that information regarding the environmental 57. effects of insecticides, pesticides, feed additives, etc., be

disseminated to the general public through non-farm publications and on radio and television.

We support building and maintaining a Kentucky Agricultural Heritage Center with primary funding from General Fund dollars and private sources.

We discourage government officials and media from naming diseases after livestock commodities such as "swine flu" due to its a negative financial impact.

We oppose media bias and encourage the media to be fair and objective in their reporting.

10

TI.

12

13.

14

15

18.

19

20

21

22

23.

26.

28

29

30

32

34

35

38

39

40

41

20

43.

44

45

50

53

35

RADIO AND TELEVISION

We recommend that the radio and television stations continue to provide agriculture programs.

We strongly oppose anti-farming propaganda in children's books, videos and television.

We support Kentucky Farm Bureau's continued promotion of Kentucky agriculture on RFD-TV and KET.

We recognize the value of KFB Studios Productions in enhancing the understanding of agriculture in Kentucky and we encourage local TV stations to utilize this valuable resource in a favorable time slot.

We encourage the viewing of KFB Studios Productions, and other educational programming, be used in the classroom to enhance the understanding of all aspects of agriculture production.

PATRIOTISM

We recommend that the American flag be properly displayed at all state and district Farm Bureau meetings and by farmers on their farms.

We recommend that it be a crime to desecrate the American flag. We recommend that the words, "Under God" remain in the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag and the words "In God We Trust" remain on our nation's currency.

We support our armed forces defending our freedom.

We support the preservation of all historical markers and monuments in the Commonwealth of Kentucky.

COURTS AND LAW ENFORCEMENT

We believe in the principle that the government should protect the rights of life, liberty and property, and we support a strong law enforcement and first responders policy.

We support law enforcement agencies and believe they should be equipped with safety items for their officers, including but not imited to, bullet resistant glass and hands free communication devices to limit distractions while on duty.

We support efforts and laws to strengthen the sanctity of 54 families.

We urge the courts to be more diligent in dealing with those who wolate the law. Furthermore, we support swift action by the courts

- 1. including dealing with juveniles who violate the law and believe that the 2. names of juveniles who commit felony offenses should be made public.
- We recommend that small claims court actions be increased to 4. \$5,000 to reflect modern financial conditions.
- 5. We support parents being held more responsible for cases of 6. vandalism and destruction by juveniles.
- We urge strict enforcement of our anti-pornography laws with 8. harsher penalties imposed when children are involved.
- We support the segregation of juvenile offenders from 9 10. adult criminals.
- We recommend a prompt and thorough trial by jury in all cases 11. 12. where indictments have been returned by a grand jury. We believe 13. that "plea bargaining" should be used only when guilt is acknowl-14. edged and a minimum penalty has been fixed by statute.
- 15. We support legislation to increase the penalties of speeding and 16. reckless driving that result in an auto accident.

We support capital punishment.

17.

51.

52.

53

54.

55.

56.

57.

- We oppose release of sex offenders on shock probation. 18.
- We recommend strict and more careful consideration of the use 19. 20. of shock probation
- We oppose the enactment of gun control laws, but propose that 22. mandatory sentences be given in crimes involving the use of firearms. We support the rights of individuals to protect their property.
- We support prosecution of individuals that are proven guilty of 24. 25. price gouging.
- We support the sheriff's office becoming more involved in law 27. enforcement and that the responsibility of tax collection be handled 28. by personnel in his/her office.
- We recommend that there be more rural law enforcement officers. 30. We feel that existing laws dealing with criminal acts by reason of
- 31. insanity should provide for proper criminal punishment. We believe that non-violent prisoners should be worked while 33. serving in county detainment facilities and should be closely super-34. vised while engaged in the work.
- We recommend stronger punishment for drunken drivers 35. 36. and/or drug offenders, especially repeat offenders, and more rigid 37. enforcement by both the law enforcement agencies and judges.
- We recommend that authority be given to confiscate vehicles 39. and property when an individual is convicted of drunk driving or 40. drug-related charges.
- 41. We support family courts in Kentucky.
- 42. We recommend that persons stealing or destroying county road signs be punished to the maximum extent of the law.
- We support states' rights to determine citizenship of anyone in 45. violation of the law.
- We recommend the state legislature enact comprehensive 47. forfeiture reform by requiring that individuals be convicted of a crime 48. before their property is seized and ensure that any forfeiture 49. proceeds go to the general fund to be allocated by our elected representatives.

CRIME PREVENTION

1

2

3.

12.

15.

20

21.

22

23

24

25.

26

27

28

30

31

32

33.

35

36.

38

43

45.

50

We support the state police and local law enforcement agencies in the fight against crime.

5 We oppose any violent crime targeting a first responder with the 6. offender prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

We support recyclers and consignors to record the name, 8. address, and obtain a copy of a photo ID of individuals who bring in g. recyclables to discourage the recycling of stolen equipment. All items should be held for a period of five days by recyclers before 11. processing.

We encourage and support activities on the part of extension 13. homemakers' organizations. Farm Bureau women and other groups developing programs associated with rural crime prevention.

We recommend that an educational program be developed 16. for our schools regarding the danger of child abuse and how to recognize and guard against such.

We recommend that rural crime prevention programs, including PNA kits for children, be continued by the state and county Farm Bureaus and that all members be encouraged to participate.

We urge the Career and Technical Education and the FFA Chapters to implement the rural crime prevention program and develop model programs with county Farm Bureaus.

DRUG CONTROL

We pledge our cooperation to organizations in their efforts to 29 curb drug and alcohol abuse.

We are strongly opposed to any efforts to legalize narcotic and recreational marijuana.

We support more stringent laws to deal with drug offenders. We also support educational programs designed for students that would teach them the harmful effects of drug use, including prescription

We recommend that innocent property owners not have their property seized or encumbered with federal tax liens when illegal drug activity is found on their property.

We recommend the Task Force on Drugs become aware of the destructive ability that their helicopters and equipment are having on property. Innocent landowners should be compensated for their loss or damage.

We recommend that every effort be made to eradicate marijuana from all land in Kentucky.

We recommend that street value not be given out on a drug raid or destroyed marijuana crop.

We support the efforts of the partnerships for a Drug 48 Free Community Program and encourage Farm Bureau members 49 to participate.

We encourage the use of additives in anhydrous ammonia to 51. prevent its theft from farms and suppliers for use in making methamphetamine.

53 We support the use of seized drug money to help clean up methamphetamine contamination on private property.

55 We strongly support increased funding for the state's drug task forces.

We strongly support pain management clinics be subject to 2. increased regulatory scrutiny.

We support a rigorous evaluation of the needle exchange 4. program in Kentucky.

3.

5.

6.

7.

8

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

28.

29.

30.

47.

48.

49. 50.

54.

MECHANICS LIEN LAW

9. We urge the state and county Farm Bureaus to inform the 10. membership about the provisions of the Kentucky Mechanics Lien 11. Law and the advisability of farmers protecting themselves against 12. having to pay the same bill twice for services and materials.

We support a modification of the statutory liens statute to specif-14. ically list hay sold as an inclusive in the persons who may apply an 15. agister's lien on farm animals and property.

REGULATORY AGENCIES AND COMMISSIONS

We recommend that the state legislature provide specific guide-22. lines and restraints on the agencies that are to administer the laws 23. and are given the power to adopt rules and regulations.

24. We oppose state regulations that are more stringent than federal. Any new regulation should not restrict expansion or sale of 26. existing agricultural operations.

LINE FENCES

31. We support the Kentucky line fence law which allows an owner 32. of agriculture property to file an amendment in district court 33. requiring the construction or the replacement or repair of a 34. boundary line fence. We also support the provision of language 35. which allows the district court flexibility in determining what type of 36. fence is to be used.

37. We support amending the Kentucky fence law to award 38. reasonable attorney fees and court costs to the prevailing party in 39. the event of litigation required to resolve a fencing dispute.

We support that developers be required to build a boundary 41. fence when a residential, commercial or industrial development 42. adjoins farm property.

We support the provision in the line fence law that says each 44. landowner shall stand in the center of his boundary line facing each 45. other, and each shall be responsible to build and maintain that 46. portion of fence to his right.

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

51. We oppose a change in the Constitution which would allow 52. residents to get proposed changes in the Constitution on a ballot by 53. obtaining signatures from any percentage of the state voters.

We are opposed to annual regular sessions of the Kentucky 55. General Assembly; however, we recognize the need for the 56. General Assembly to have greater control over the enactment and implementation of regulations, appointment oversight, and budget revisions.

We support the office of the Commissioner of Agriculture being a cabinet post whether elected or appointed.

We recommend the office of Constable be abolished.

5

6.

8

195

18.

20

21

35

36

37

43

45

46

107

48

50

51

53

55

56 57

MISCELLANEOUS

We recommend that charitable and nonprofit organizations be 11. exempted from laws that require an auctioneer to be licensed. We support attorney's fees on foreclosures of real property being 13. limited only to hourly fees and expenses, as opposed to a percentage of the total indebtedness.

We oppose mandating that an attorney be present at real estate closings.

We recommend that regulations on unsolicited spam on Internet Services be put in force similar as to "No Call List" on phones.

We support asking the phone company to make larger print

We encourage the General Assembly, universities and local communities to use caution when considering making changes to, or removal of items of historic significance.

We oppose any government mandate that forces school districts to provide transgender bathrooms.

We oppose any infringement or additional restrictions on our right to bear arms.

We oppose the continuation of state pension fund diversions and recommend the state legislature take the necessary actions to stabilize and create sustainability in the Kentucky Employees Retirement System (non-hazardous) and the Kentucky Teachers Retirement System.

STATUS OF PREVIOUS RESOLUTIONS

38 It is our policy to keep our resolutions as current as possible without specifically restating all details of continuing policies every year. We therefore reaffirm resolutions passed at the four (4) previous meetings, except insofar as they have been dropped, fulfilled, modified or supplemented by later resolutions.

NOTES

2018 State Resolutions Committee Members

Eddie Melton, Chair

Mark Kinsey Gary Chaplin Vickie Bryant Tyler Ferguson Penny Fleming Wes Hargis Doug Hall Jerry Durham Gerry Hayden Kenneth Rice Bill Holbrook Scott Barnes Kevin Cashman Rob McClanahan Mitchel Logsdon Randall Wood Maurice Heard Berkley Mark Ray Sammons Scott Davis P.J. Milburn Larry Clay Holden Williams Sam Lafferty

NOTES



"Voice of Kentucky Agriculture"